

County of Inyo Board of Supervisors

Board of Supervisors Room County Administrative Center 224 North Edwards Independence, California

NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC: In order to minimize the spread of the COVID-19 virus, Governor Newsom has issued Executive Orders that temporarily suspend certain requirements of the Brown Act. Please be advised that the Board of Supervisors Chambers are closed to the public, the Board will be conducting its meetings exclusively online.

Board Members and Staff will participate via Zoom webinar, accessible to the public at https://zoom.us/j/868254781. Individuals will be asked to provide their name and an email address in order to access the videoconference. Anyone who does not want to provide their email address may use the following generic, non-functioning address to gain access: donotreply@inyocounty.us.

Anyone wishing to make either a general public comment or a comment on a specific agenda item prior to the meeting or as the item is being heard, may do so either in writing or by utilizing the Zoom "hand-raising feature" when appropriate during the meeting (the Chair will call on those who wish to speak). Generally, speakers are limited to three minutes. Written public comment, limited to **250 words or less**, may be emailed to the Assistant Clerk of the Board at <u>boardclerk@inyocounty.us</u>. Your comments may or may not be read aloud, but all comments will be made a part of the record. Please make sure to submit a separate email for each item that you wish to comment upon.

Public Notices: (1) In Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting please contact the Clerk of the Board at (760) 878-0373. (28 CFR 35.102-35.104 ADA Title II). Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the County to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting. Should you because of a disability require appropriate alternative formatting of this agenda, please notify the Clerk of the Board 72 hours prior to the meeting to enable the County to make the agenda available in a reasonable alternative format. (Government Code Section 54954.2). (2) If a writing, that is a public record relating to an agenda item for an open session of a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors, is distributed less than 72 hours prior to the meeting, the writing shall be available for public inspection at the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, 224 N. Edwards, Independence, California and is available per Government Code § 54957.5(b)(1).

February 16, 2021 - 8:30 AM

1. **PUBLIC COMMENT** (Join meeting via Zoom <u>here</u>)

CLOSED SESSION

- CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL ANTICIPATED LITIGATION Significant exposure to potential litigation pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of Government Code §54956.9: one potential case. Facts and circumstances: Claim for Damages filed by Jeffrey Hollowell.
- CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL ANTICIPATED LITIGATION Initiation of litigation pursuant to paragraph (4) of subdivision (d) of Government Code §54956.9:one potential case.
- 4. **PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT Pursuant to Government Code §54957 –** Title: Veterans Service Officer
- 5. **PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT Pursuant to Government Code §54957 –** Title: Director of Child Support Services

6. CONFERENCE WITH COUNTY'S LABOR NEGOTIATORS – Pursuant to Government Code §54957.6 – Regarding employee organizations: Deputy Sheriff's Association (DSA); Elected Officials Assistant Association (EOAA); Inyo County Correctional Officers Association (ICCOA); Inyo County Employees Association (ICEA); Inyo County Probation Peace Officers Association (ICPPOA); IHSS Workers; Law Enforcement Administrators' Association (LEAA). Unrepresented employees: all. County designated representatives – Administrative Officer Clint Quilter, Assistant County Administrator Leslie Chapman, Deputy Personnel Director Sue Dishion, County Counsel Marshall Rudolph, Health and Human Services Director Marilyn Mann, and Chief Probation Officer Jeff Thomson.

OPEN SESSION (With the exception of timed items, all open-session items may be considered at any time and in any order during the meeting in the Board's discretion.)

- 10 A.M. 7. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
 - 8. **REPORT ON CLOSED SESSION AS REQUIRED BY LAW.**
 - 9. **PUBLIC COMMENT**
 - 10. **COUNTY DEPARTMENT REPORTS** (Reports limited to two minutes)
 - 11. **PROCLAMATION -** Request Board approve a proclamation declaring March 2021 as Bleeding Disorders Awareness Month in Inyo County.
 - 12. COVID-19 STAFF UPDATE

CONSENT AGENDA (Approval recommended by the County Administrator)

- <u>Clerk-Recorder Elections</u> Request Board approve payment to Dominion Voting Systems in the amount of \$15,578.88 for annual ImageCast Software License Maintenance and Support Fee and Extended Warranty Fee.
- 14. <u>Sheriff</u> Request Board approve Resolution No. 2021-17, titled, "A Resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Inyo, State of California, Authorizing the Submittal of the State of California, Department of Parks and Recreation, Off-Highway Vehicle Grant Application," and authorize the Chairperson to sign.

DEPARTMENTAL (To be considered at the Board's convenience)

15. <u>County Administrator - Parks & Recreation</u> - Request Board conduct an Eastern Sierra Sustainable Recreation Partnership (ESSRP) Project Selection Workshop where staff will present recommended projects for consideration and:
A) Direct staff to input projects that have Board consensus into the "Sustainable Recreation Project Form - Partners;" and/or
B) Direct staff to add Inyo County augmentations to projects listed on the ESSRP Projects 1 to 83 project matrix (attached); or

C) Conclude that sufficient Inyo County projects are included in the ESSRP Projects
 1 to 83 project matrix.

- 16. <u>**County Counsel</u>** Request Board receive a presentation on the redistricting process following the 2020 Census and provide direction to staff as to whether the redistricting process will be managed by the Board or a committee.</u>
- 17. <u>Health & Human Services</u> Request Board ratify and approve the contract with the County of Mono for the provision of Eastern Sierra Area Agency on Aging (ESAAA) services to Mono County eligible residents, in the amount of \$600,000 for the period of July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021, and negotiable for a maximum of three additional fiscal year (one-year) periods, contingent upon the Board's adoption of future budgets, and authorize the Chairperson to sign.
- 18. <u>County Administrator</u> Request Board:

 A) Accept the Fiscal Year 2020-2021 Mid-Year Financial Report as presented;
 B) Approve the specific budget action items and recommendations discussed in the report, and represented in Attachments A & B (4/5's vote required); and,
 C) Direct staff to continue emphasis on revenue attainment and expense savings in order to maximize year-end Fund Balances.

<u>**TIMED ITEMS**</u> (Items will not be considered before scheduled time but may be considered any time after the scheduled time.)

 11 A.M. - <u>Planning</u> - Request Board: A) conduct a public hearing regarding Appeal No. 2020-01 (Cimino/Gleason) of Conditional Use Permit 2019-18/IMACA; and B) deny the appeal.

COMMENT (Portion of the Agenda when the Board takes comment from the public and County staff)

20. PUBLIC COMMENT

BOARD MEMBERS AND STAFF REPORTS



County of Inyo



Board of Supervisors DEPARTMENTAL - ACTION REQUIRED

MEETING: February 16, 2021

FROM: Staff

SUBJECT: Proclamation for March 2021

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Request Board approve a proclamation declaring March 2021 as Bleeding Disorders Awareness Month in Inyo County.

SUMMARY/JUSTIFICATION:

Michelle Kim, Esq., Executive Director of the Hemophilia Foundation of Southern California, has asked the Inyo County Board of Supervisors to approve a proclamation declaring March 2021 as Bleeding Disorders Awareness Month.

The Foundation hopes that this proclamation will help generate greater awareness and understanding of not only hemophilia but all inheritable bleeding disorders, and ultimately become part of the effort to enable the prevention of illness, unnecessary procedures, and disability.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

Your Board may request changes to the proclamation, or decline to approve it altogether.

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT:

The Hemophilia Foundation of Southern California

FINANCING:

N/A

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Bleeding Disorders Awareness Month 2021

APPROVALS:

Darcy Ellis Darcy Ellis Created/Initiated - 2/10/2021 Final Approval - 2/10/2021 Agenda Request Page 2



PROCLAMATION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF INYO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA DECLARING MARCH 2021 AS BLEEDING DISORDERS AWARENESS MONTH IN INYO COUNTY



WHEREAS, designating March 2021 as Bleeding Awareness Month will formalize and expand upon the designation 30 years ago of March 1986 as "Hemophilia Awareness Month" by President Ronald Reagan; and

WHEREAS, the federal Department of Health and Human Services designated March 2016 as National Bleeding Disorders Month; and

WHEREAS, these bleeding disorders, which share the inability to form a proper blood clot, are characterized by extended bleeding after injury, surgery, trauma or menstruation and can lead to significant morbidity and can be fatal if not treated effectively; and

WHEREAS, many individuals with hemophilia became infected with HIV and Hepatitis C in the 1980s due to the contamination of the blood supply and blood products; and

WHEREAS, this Awareness Month in Inyo County will generate greater awareness and understanding of not only hemophilia but all inheritable bleeding disorders, including von Willebrand disease – which alone impacts an estimated one percent of the U.S. population or more than 3.2 million individuals; and

WHEREAS, this Awareness Month will foster a greater sense of community and shared purpose among individuals with all inheritable bleeding disorders; and

WHEREAS, this Awareness Month will elevate awareness of and engagement in the inheritable bleeding disorders journey beyond our community to the general public, enabling the prevention of illness, unnecessary procedures, and disability.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT PROCLAIMED that the Inyo County Board of Supervisors designates March 2021 as Bleeding Disorders Awareness Month in Inyo County.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 16th day of February, 2021, by the Inyo County Board of Supervisors.

Jeff Griffiths, Chairperson, County of Inyo Board of Supervisors

Attest: CLINT C. QUILTER Clerk of the Board

By:_

Assistant Clerk of the Board



County of Inyo



Clerk-Recorder - Elections CONSENT - ACTION REQUIRED

MEETING: February 16, 2021

FROM: Michele Hartshorn

SUBJECT: Annual Payment to Dominion Voting Systems

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Request Board approve payment to Dominion Voting Systems in the amount of \$15,578.88 for annual ImageCast Software License Maintenance and Support Fee and Extended Warranty Fee.

SUMMARY/JUSTIFICATION:

Dominion Voting Systems is the sole source provider of election related services, support and software for Inyo County's voting system. This system includes the ImageCast Central Tabulators, BMD Accessible Units, Activation Stations as well as Adjudication Stations. The software license and support services for the voting machines and scanning systems currently owned by the County can only be purchased from Dominion Voting Systems.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

The Board can deny the payment of this payment. Without this contract Inyo County could not conduct an election that complied with Federal election requirements.

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT:

Auditor

FINANCING:

The monies are currently budgeted in the Elections 2020-2021 budget (011000-5311)

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Dominion Voting Annual Invoice

APPROVALS:

Michele Hartshorn Darcy Ellis Michele Hartshorn Created/Initiated - 1/28/2021 Approved - 1/29/2021 Approved - 1/29/2021 Agenda Request Page 2

Marshall Rudolph Amy Shepherd Kammi Foote Approved - 1/29/2021 Approved - 1/30/2021 Final Approval - 2/1/2021





1201 18th Street, Suite 210 Denver CO 80202 United States FED ID#27-0565149

Bill To:

Inyo County, CA Kammi Foote 168 North Edwards Street Independence CA 93526-0606

Invoice Date	1/27/2021
Invoice #	DVS139451
Page	1

Ship To:

Inyo County, CA Kammi Foote 168 North Edwards Street Independence CA 93526-0606

Purchase	e Order No.	Custor		Salesperson ID	Shipping Method	Paym	nent Terms	Req Ship Date	Master No.
		USCAI	NYOCO	KMCCULLY	BEST WAY	Net 3	0	1/27/2021	42,288
Ordered	Shipped	B/O	Item Descripti	on Item Number			Discount	Unit Price	Ext. Price
1	1	0	01/01/21-12/31	/21 Adjudication Mobile A	Innual License		\$ 0.00	\$ 1,102.50	\$ 1,102.50
1	1	0	01/01/21-12/31	/21 Democracy Suite Anr	nual License		\$ 0.00	\$ 1,874.25	\$ 1,874.25
10	10	0	01/01/21-12/31	/21 ICX Annual Firmware	License - Classic BMD 21	"	\$ 0.00	\$ 165.38	\$ 1,653.75
2	2	0	01/01/21-12/31	/21 ICC Annual Firmware	License - G2140		\$ 0.00	\$ 2,838.93	\$ 5,677.86
10	10	0	01/01/21-12/31	/21 ICX Annual Hardware	e Warranty - Classic BMD 2	21"	\$ 0.00	\$ 115.00	\$ 1,150.00
2	2	0	01/01/21-12/31	/21 ICC Annual Hardware	e Warranty - G2140		\$ 0.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 3,000.00
R	EMIT TO:						Subto	tal	\$ 14,458.36
		• •	stems, Inc.		ting Systems, Inc.		Тах		\$ 1,120.52
	.O. Box 53 tlanta, GA		2211	Lockbox #53			Freigh		\$ 0.00
	uania, GA	20222-6	0214	College Park	k Parkway, Suite 210		Trade Total	Discount	\$ 0.00
				I College Faik	, on 000 1 8		Total		\$ 15,578.88

Comments:

Coverage Period: Jan 01, 2021 - Dec 31, 2021



County of Inyo



Sheriff

CONSENT - ACTION REQUIRED

MEETING: February 16, 2021

FROM: Office of the Sheriff

SUBJECT: Off-Highway Vehicle Grant Application

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Request Board approve Resolution No. 2021-17, titled, "A Resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Inyo, State of California, Authorizing the Submittal of the State of California, Department of Parks and Recreation, Off-Highway Vehicle Grant Application," and authorize the Chairperson to sign.

SUMMARY/JUSTIFICATION:

The Department of Parks and Recreation is offering the Off-Highway Vehicle (OHV) grant program, to provide for well-managed OHV recreation by providing financial assistance to eligible agencies that develop, maintain, operate, expand, support, or contribute to well managed, high quality, OHV recreation areas, roads, and trails; and to responsibly maintain the wildlife, soils, and habitat of Project Areas in a manner that will sustain long-term OHV recreation in accordance with the legislative provisions and intent of the Act commencing at PRC section 5090.01.

If awarded, these monies would be used to assist with costs associated with overtime for OHV Patrol Deputies, purchase any project-approved motorized equipment, supplement and provide training to strengthen the Sheriff Department's Enforcement Detail for private and government owned property that is already in use, and enforce where it is not zoned and planned for.

The Inyo County Sheriff's Department has been participating in the OHV competitive grant since 2009. Both South-County and North-County are equipped with necessary OHV Enforcement equipment, and training has been provided yearly for Patrol Deputies.

This is a competitive grant, therefore we will not know what we are awarded until the Intent to Award is posted on the State Parks OHV Division website June, 2021; the preliminary application is due to the State by March 1, 2021. The OHV grant requires a twenty-five percent (25%) in-kind match. Any item that is eligible as a Project Cost is also eligible as a match. The Sheriff's Department anticipates applying for \$100,000. However, historically law enforcement applications state-wide rarely are awarded more than 50 percent of the total requested, and are often reduced significantly more than 50 percent of the total requested amount.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

Agenda Request Page 2

ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

Your Board could choose not to approve the Resolution, but this alternative is not recommended. The OHV monies have historically been be a valuable resource to Inyo County.

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT:

Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Forest Service

FINANCING:

If the grant application were approved, then a budget will be created – budget number to be determined by the Auditor's Office. The in-kind match will met through staff salaries and equipment dedicated to the enforcement detail as well as administrative duties associated with managing the OHV grant.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. OHV_Resolution_2021

APPROVALS:

Riannah Reade Darcy Ellis Riannah Reade Marshall Rudolph Amy Shepherd Jeffrey Hollowell Created/Initiated - 1/29/2021 Approved - 1/31/2021 Approved - 2/1/2021 Approved - 2/1/2021 Approved - 2/1/2021 Final Approval - 2/1/2021

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-____

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF INYO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AUTHORIZING THE SUBMITTAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION, OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLE GRANT APPLICATION

WHEREAS, the people of the State of California have enacted the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation Act of 2003, which provides funds to the State of California and its political subdivisions for Operation and Maintenance, Restoration, Law Enforcement, and Education and Safety for off-highway vehicle recreation; and

WHEREAS, the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation Division with the California Department of Parks and Recreation has been delegated the responsibility to administer the program; and

WHEREAS, procedures established by the California Department of Parks and Recreation require the Applicant's Governing Body to certify by resolution the approval of the Application to apply for Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Grant funds; and

WHEREAS, this Project appears on, or is in conformance with this jurisdiction's adopted general or master plan and is compatible with the land use plans of those jurisdictions immediately surrounding the Project; and

WHEREAS this Board finds that the County's receipt of such funding would be beneficial to the residents of Inyo County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Inyo County Board of Supervisors hereby:

- (a) Approves the filing of an Application(s) for an Off-Highway Vehicle Grant or Cooperative Agreement; and
- (b) Certifies that this agency understands its legal obligations to the State upon approval of the Grant; and
- (c) Certifies that this agency understands the California Public Resources Code requirement that Acquisition and Development of Projects be maintained to specific conservation standards; and
- (d) Certifies that the Project will be well-maintained during its useful life; and
- (e) Certifies that this agency will implement the Project with diligence once funds are available and the Applicant has reviewed, understands, and agrees with the Project Agreement; and
- (f) Certifies that this agency will provide the required matching funds; and
- (g) Certifies that the public and adjacent property owners have been notified of this Project (as applicable); and
- (h) Appoints the Inyo County Sheriff as agent to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents including, but not limited to Applications, agreements, amendments, payment requests and so on, which may be necessary for completion of the Project.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Inyo, State of California, this 16th day of February 2021, by the following role call vote:

AYES: NOES: ABSTAIN: ABSENT:

Chairperson, Inyo County Board of Supervisors

ATTEST: Clint Quilter Clerk of the Board

By:

Darcy Ellis, Assistant



County of Inyo



County Administrator - Parks & Recreation DEPARTMENTAL - ACTION REQUIRED

MEETING: February 16, 2021

FROM: Leslie Chapman

SUBJECT: Sustainable Recreation Project Recommendations

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Request Board conduct an Eastern Sierra Sustainable Recreation Partnership (ESSRP) Project Selection Workshop where staff will present recommended projects for consideration and:

A) Direct staff to input projects that have Board consensus into the "Sustainable Recreation Project Form - Partners;" and/or

B) Direct staff to add Inyo County augmentations to projects listed on the ESSRP Projects 1 to 83 project matrix (attached); or

C) Conclude that sufficient Inyo County projects are included in the ESSRP Projects 1 to 83 project matrix.

SUMMARY/JUSTIFICATION:

On Tuesday February 9, 2021, your Board heard a presentation from the Eastern Sierra Sustainable Recreation Partnership (ESSRP) on its Sustainable Recreation and Tourism Initiative. Discussion followed regarding Inyo County priorities including campgrounds, restrooms, trails, disbursed camping in climbing areas and making recreation areas more sustainable. Then your Board directed staff to prepare a workshop with recommendations for Inyo County proposed projects.

During the workshop, staff will present project ideas for discussion and your Board will have the opportunity to recommend changes to staff ideas, introduce new ideas, suggest enhancements to projects listed on the ESSRP Projects 1 to 83 that is attached to this agenda item, or conclude that the existing list of projects covers Inyo's interests. At the conclusion of the workshop, it is anticipated that staff will have sufficient information to complete the Sustainable Recreation Project Form - Partners for submission to the ESSRP.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

N/A

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT: Eastern Sierra Sustainable Recreation Partnership Agenda Request Page 2

FINANCING:

None at this time.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. ESSRP Projects 1 to 83

APPROVALS:

Leslie Chapman Darcy Ellis Marshall Rudolph Amy Shepherd Leslie Chapman Created/Initiated - 2/9/2021 Approved - 2/11/2021 Approved - 2/11/2021 Approved - 2/11/2021 Final Approval - 2/11/2021

ID	Score	Stewardship	Access	Benefits	Project Name	Project Location	Project type	Short summary
3	22.28	7.95	6.68	7.65	This is Our Home: Treat this like your home	Southern Inyo County	Outreach and Communications	A three-pronged education program to reach visitors, engage local businesses, teach local kids how to care for the environment.
143	21.71	7.07	7.33	7.31	Eastern Sierra Visitor Centers Exhibit Inspection and Review, Repair and Replacement.	Visitor Centers in Lone Pine, the Ancient Bristlecone Pine Forest, the White Mountain Ranger Station, Mammoth Lakes, and Lee Vining	Education	Visitor Center exhibits provide information and education for visitors. This project will inspect, repair, and/or replace exhibits needing rehabilitation because of wear, or to be more current.
5	21.64	7.6	6.95	7.09	Where to "Go" in the Alabama Hills	Alabama Hills; Regional	Outreach and Communications	Leverage agency partnerships to provide regular on the ground presence and establish a regionally coordinated information network (Signs, websites, apps) on etiquette, way finding and interpretation.
108	21.11	6.96	7.13	7.02	Celebrating Indigenous History and Culture	Entire east side	Education	Interpretive tours of local Indigenous sites, by local Indigenous guides
112	20.98	6.98	7.09	6.91	Kids in Nature	Mammoth Lakes area	Education	Provides science and nature-based outdoor education programs to get kids outside exploring and learning about nature and how to responsibly enjoy the outdoors
150	20.98	6.89	7.11	6.98	Eastern Sierra Visitor Center and Regional Interpretive Program and Visitor Services Review, and Development and	Lone Pine, Ancient Bristlecone Pines Forest, White Mountain Ranger Station, Mammoth Lakes, and Lee Vining	Education	All Eastern Sierra Visitor Centers and the region need quality interpretive programs and visitor services. This project would review these current programs and develop and implement improvement plans.

ID	Score	Stewardship	Access	Benefits	Project Name	Project Location	Project type	Short summary
					implementation of a Plan for Improvement.	and other recreation areas.		
50	20.42	7.54	6.07	6.81	Eastern Sierra Backcountry Responsibility Code	The Sherwins and Mammoth Lakes Basin	Education	This project will develop a "Backcountry Responsibility Code" to promote a local culture of safety, respect and stewardship while backcountry skiing and snowboarding in the Eastern Sierra region.
1	20.16	6.79	6.8	6.57	Education on Responsible Multi-use: A Place for Everyone	Lone Pine; Owens River	Education	Establish targeted and incentivized education programs; provide inclusive access to recreation areas and a variety of accessible recreation opportunities.
168	19.75	6.95	6.05	6.75	Noise and light pollution in the wilderness.	Eastern Sierra	Education	Research and education initiative to include noise and light pollution in Leave No Trace principles. Educate campers and backcountry users of the impacts of noise and light pollution on wildlife.
37	19.52	6.68	6.14	6.7	ESSRP Education Programs & Collections	Each public library branch within the region	Education	An annual grant to create/maintain/promote books, videos, online resources, etc that relate to "sustainable recreation"
53	19.31	7.04	5.58	6.69	Kiosk trailhead ohv Information	Winter/summer trailheads mono/Inyo co	Education	Provide trail map information to winter/summer ohv enthusiasts, include a code of responsibility to protect the area's natural,

ID	Score	Stewardship	Access	Benefits	Project Name	Project Location	Project type	Short summary
								cultural, social resources and maintain sustainability of the region
7	19.17	6.76	5.78	6.63	Rebuilding The Tower of Babel; Solving all the World's Problems	Eastern Sierra	Outreach and Communications	This project will identify ways to provide information, useful to locals, but targeted to visitors on stewardship and recreating sustainably.
77	19.15	6.5	6.15	6.5	Trailhead information kiosks	Inyo National Forest and Bishop BLM management area	Education	Update all trailhead information kiosks
33	18.91	7.35	5.33	6.23	AQUATIC ECOSYSTEMS	MAMMOTH LAKES	Outreach and Communications	RETURN THE MAMMOTH LAKES AQUATIC ECOSYSTEMS TO THEIR PRISTINE CONDITION & MAINTAIN THEM WITH OUR COMMERCIAL USE OF THEM.
78	18.63	6.52	5.95	6.16	Interpretive campground programming	Developed campgrounds in Mammoth Lakes and June Lake	Education	Interpretive "rangers" who would visit new campers and walk through the campgrounds at night teaching about bears, food storage, fire extinguishing and other information related to their visit.
84	18.18	6.61	5.33	6.24	Bear Box Signage	Mammoth Lakes Basin	Education	Place clear signage on bear boxes at popular trail heads to educate visitors on their proper use (i.e. they are not trash bins).
81	18.04	6.37	5.67	6	Give a Hoot	Mono & Inyo Counties	Education	Woodsy Owl & Woolly Mammoth lead program to care for the Great Outdoors, i.e., etiquette, resources, and maintenance. Kids rewarded with badges. Adults rewarded with local gift certs/deals.

ESSRP PROJECTS 1-183_SORTED BY PROJECT TYPE AND STAKEHOLDER DESIRABILITY SCORES

Project Name ID Score Stewardship Access **Benefits Project Location** Project type Short summary 22.36 7.51 7.4 7.45 Inyo National Forest **Forest Service** Partnerships with the campground 181 Maintenance Campground campgrounds from concessionaires should be Lone Pine to June expanded to provide for Improvements opportunities to upgrade the Lake water systems. Many are outdated and the Forest Service alternative is to close them. Invo National Forest 20.95 7.16 6.75 7.04 68 Invo National Forest Maintenance Revitalize and address maintenance issued for all forest Campground improvements campgrounds Define, limit and manage 73 20.73 7.56 6.12 7.05 **Dispersed Camping** Mammoth Lakes Maintenance Management & dispersed camping areas on Invo Area National Forest lands around Education Mammoth Lakes. keep Convict Lake "Keep Convict Lake clean" would 20.49 7.44 6.75 Convict Lake 153 6.3 Maintenance clean be a project in which more trash and recycling bins would be available near the lake and parking lots. Trash bins would be near transit areas. Mammoth Loop Trail Town of Mammoth 98 19.9 6.15 6.84 6.91 Maintenance The loop trail bike/pedestrian Repairs Lakes path needs help. 19.15 7.2 5.22 Community Clean Ups We try and get the community to 133 6.73 Lakes Bassin in Maintenance Mammoth come together and walk around different portions of Mammoth and clean up the trash and litter they see. 47 19.09 6.64 6.14 6.31 Coldwater Mammoth Lakes Fixing and **Redesign the Coldwater** Campground/Trailhead Basin/Coldwater improving campground and trailhead to re-Campground & route the access road so that Improvement existing Trailhead bathrooms, vehicles don't loop through the roads, trails, and campground. Repair roads, install

ID	Score	Stewardship	Access	Benefits	Project Name	Project Location	Project type	Short summary
							other infrastructure	vault toilets, and clean up the mine site.
106	18.93	7.16	5.4	6.37	Scheelite Canyon Stewardship	Scheelite Canyon (AKA Pratt's Crack Canyon), Pine Creek	Maintenance	Staging area improvements for popular climbing areas in Scheelite Canyon, Pine Creek.
38	18.52	6.13	6.09	6.3	Recreational Road Repair	Forest and Blm lands in the Eastern Sierra	Maintenance	Roads used for recreation on National Forest and Bureau of Land Management Land are often damaged by storms. Repair often takes a very long time.
132	18.49	6.78	5.16	6.55	Trailhead fees/donations to support maintenance	Eastern Sierra trailheads	Maintenance	Institute a system of either trailhead fees or donations to support maintenance. Fees via annual pass (free for low-income) or "iron rangers" at trailheads. Donations via iron rangers.
6	23.83	8.4	7.18	8.25	PLOP - "People Love Our Potties"	Eastern Sierra	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	A system of regional and well- maintained bathrooms as a statement that the Eastern Sierra takes the recreation experience seriously.
151	22.44	7.46	7.34	7.64	Eastern Sierra Campground Inspection, Repair, and Replacement Project.	Multiple locations across the Eastern Sierra region	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	This project will inspect all Eastern Sierra campgrounds, and identify, repair and replace needed infrastructure, equipment, or other facilities to make them adequate for recreation use.
157	22.02	7.45	7.05	7.52	Eastern Sierra Public Trails Inspection,	Multiple locations across the Eastern Sierra region.	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other	This project will inventory designated public trails across the Eastern Sierra and prioritize repair

ID	Score	Stewardship	Access	Benefits	Project Name	Project Location	Project type	Short summary
					Repair, and Replacement Project.		"Hard Infrastructure"	and maintenance as needed for each trail and related infrastructure.
137	21.41	8.03	6.04	7.34	Porta potties in popular climbing areas	Upper Owen's River Gorge, Sads	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	More porta potties in popular climbing/bouldering areas
147	21.24	7.33	7.02	6.89	Trail delineation and sustainability master plan	Alabama Hills	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	In tandem with the Alabama Hills recreation plan, a complete trails survey would be beneficial, followed by sustainability focused trail improvement and creation in order to establish a network
154	21.13	7.29	6.81	7.03	Eastern Sierra Developed Day Use Recreation Facility Inspection, Repair, and Replacement Project.	Multiple locations across the Eastern Sierra region.	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	This project will inspect all Eastern Sierra developed day use recreation facilities and repair and replace as needed all infrastructure and equipment to make them adequate for recreation use.
4	21.02	7.04	6.77	7.21	County Camp Revamp	Inyo County	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	A program to improve conditions at all Inyo County Campgrounds using tourism dollars.
142	20.74	6.25	7.23	7.26	Crowley to Lee Vining Multi-Use Bike Path	Hwy 395 corridor, Crowley to Lee Vining	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Plan and build a quality paved multi-use path along highway 395 corridor connecting Crowley, Mammoth, June Lake and Lee Vining communities, primarily for bikes, e-bikes and runners.

Access **Project Name Project Location** ID Score Stewardship **Benefits** Project type Short summary 7.25 7.2 Bike (multi-use) paths Many sections of Highway 158 are 144 20.69 6.24 New Bathrooms. June Lake Loop Buildings, Roads, in June Lake Loop dangerous for pedestrians and Trails or other cyclists. This proposal is to support "Hard the creation of multi-use paths Infrastructure" between the town and Silver Lake Resort and June Lake beach. Convert and existing vacant 20.64 6.44 6.89 7.31 New Eastern Sierra Downtown Bishop Visitor Centers 115 Visitor Information building into a new, enlarged and improved information center for Center Eastern Sierra guests. 118 20.57 7.16 6.57 6.84 Twin Lakes Vista Twin Lakes Vista New Bathrooms. Install a year round restroom at Restroom Buildings, Roads, the Twin Lakes Vista parking area. Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure" Buttermilks climbing Buttermilk Protection The Buttermilk Road area is 114 20.46 7.44 6.11 6.91 New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Project heavily overused year-round. This area Trails or other area needs developed campsites, "Hard bathrooms, signage and Infrastructure" protective signage. **Buttermilk Dispersed Buttermilk Country** 99 20.44 7.32 6.19 6.93 Develop a management plan for Visitor Centers traffic and dispersed camping Camping Management impacts in the Buttermilk area. Turn the old Carson and Colorado 21 20.4 6.4 7.04 6.96 Eastern Sierra Rails to Invokern to Laws Trail Planning Museum (aka Southern Pacific) railroad Trail (ESR2T) (approximately 120 grade into a trail: Eastern Sierra Rails to Trail (ESR2T) miles) Invo & Mono 90 20.39 6.84 6.39 7.16 Dark Sky Initiative New Bathrooms, Use energy saving technology to Buildings, Roads, reduce light pollution in urban Counties areas & outlying industrial Trails or other "Hard facilities. The result: Stunning stargazing rare in California & Infrastructure" restore nocturnal wildlife habitats.

ID	Score	Stewardship	Access	Benefits	Project Name	Project Location	Project type	Short summary
43	20.2	6.29	7.06	6.85	Bishop Creek Trail	Bishop Creek	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Construct a multi-use hiking, biking and equestrian trail from the City of Bishop to South Lake, Lake Sabrina and North Lake which is maintained by volunteer groups.
59	20.14	5.7	7.71	6.73	Wounded Warrior Access	Inyo National Forest, Toiabe National Forest, BLM Land	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	To provide Wounded Warrior access to all lakes and rivers that have road access.
25	20.12	6.32	6.92	6.88	West Walker River Parkway	Walker Canyon/ West Walker River	Trail Planning	This project will develop a system of trails and public access along the West Walker River aka "West Walker River Parkway" including Mtn. Gate Park, CDFW, BLM, and HTNF managed lands.
19	20.03	6.27	6.84	6.92	Southern Mono Trail System	From Lower Rock Creek trailhead and heading north connecting all our small towns together and Whitmore Sports complex	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Looking to create a 30+ mile soft surface trail system connecting our communities together - including our trailheads, campgrounds, and parks. Non motorized.
126	20.03	6.56	6.72	6.75	Fern Creek Bridge	About a mile up Fern Creek Trail.	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	The Fern Creek bridge was damaged in the Winter of 18/19. The bridge is hazardous to cross especially in spring.
167	19.95	6.51	6.81	6.63	Grover Hot Springs Waterfall Interpretive Trail	Grover Hot Springs State Park, Markleeville, CA	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	The ATA, in cooperation with H-T NF Carson Ranger District and CA State Parks, wishes to develop a safe and inviting interpretive trail

ID	Score	Stewardship	Access	Benefits	Project Name	Project Location	Project type	Short summary
								for the waterfalls above Grover Hot Springs in Markleeville, CA.
146	19.91	6.3	6.7	6.91	Eastern Sierra Visitor Center Facility and Grounds Inspection, Repair, and Replacement Project	Lone Pine, Ancient Bristlecone Pines Forest, White Mountain Ranger Station, Mammoth Lakes, and Lee Vining.	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	This project will inspect all Eastern Sierra Visitor Center facilities, including interior and exterior infrastructure and grounds, and repair and replace structures, grounds, or equipment as needed.
49	19.9	6.17	7.33	6.4	Horseshoe Lake ADA Picnic Area	Horseshoe Lake	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Modifying the existing asphalt auxiliary parking area by the bathrooms and start of Horseshoe Lake Loop Trail to permeable surface, ADA accessible, shaded picnic table pods.
45	19.88	8.02	5.11	6.75	South Fork Bishop Creek fire prevention/ meadow protection	South fork Bishop Creek	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Wonderful, fragile meadow near the Table Mountain Group camping area needs protection. Vehicles have created a path to drive off-road into a small meadow. Fire pits have created.
170	19.88	6.05	6.87	6.96	Eastern Grand Bikeway	Paralleling the east side of the Sierra Nevada potentially from Lone Pine to Bridgeport and beyond.	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	A regional bikeway comprised of both paved MUP and dirt single or double track trail connecting east side communities. System to be built in segments aiming for complete connection.
105	19.75	6.02	7.09	6.64	Owens River Gorge for All	Owens River Gorge	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Collaborate with LADWP to improve the unofficial trail in the ORG and make it accessible to all users.

ID	Score	Stewardship	Access	Benefits	Project Name	Project Location	Project type	Short summary
155	19.7	6.39	6.8	6.51	Eastern Sierra US 395 Scenic Byway Kiosk Inspection, Repair, and Replacement Project.	Multiple, developed existing locations along and directly adjacent to US	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard	This project seeks to repair and enhance the aging US Highway 395 Scenic Byway Kiosks through a program of inventory, prioritized
						Highway 395 in the Eastern Sierra.	Infrastructure"	repair, and meaningful enhancement where opportunities are available.
12	19.63	5.69	6.98	6.96	East Side Community Trails	Eastern Sierra	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Create a signature hardened surface trail in/around each community. The trails would be available for locals, visitors, and events/competitions.
173	19.61	5.76	7.37	6.48	Accessible Entry Points to Water	Mono Lake, Navy Beach	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Establish wheelchair access to the water at Mono Lake for people with disabilities.
139	19.57	6.68	6.38	6.51	Sherwins Meadow and Mammoth Creek Trails Restoration Project	Sherwins Meadow, Mammoth Creek corridor	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Reroute, rehab, restore and designate network of sustainable soft-surface system trails and build new connectors to enhance access and mitigate impacts to soils, vegetation, wildlife and archeology.
69	19.52	6.8	6.32	6.4	Bishop Creek Recreation Area	Bishop Creek drainage	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	A wholescale look at the Bishop Creek drainage as a recreation area
125	19.52	5.98	6.62	6.92	Eastern Sierra Regional Trail	Highway 395 Communities	Trail Planning	Revisit the Eastern Sierra Regional Trail Concept proposed from Topaz to Bishop

ID	Score	Stewardship	Access	Benefits	Project Name	Project Location	Project type	Short summary
66	19.48	5.73	6.84	6.91	Bishop to Laws	Bishop	Trail Planning	Create a paved pathway from the Visitor Center (with wayfinding) to Laws Railroad Museum.
141	19.45	6.21	6.5	6.74	Bodie Hills Mountain Bike Trails	Bodie Hills	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Build well-designed network of sustainable soft-surface nonmotorized singletrack trails to provide quality access to wildlands and opportunities for nonmotorized recreation.
24	19.25	5.31	6.88	7.06	Connected Communities	Eastern Sierra	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Every Main Street, school, park, campground, and neighborhood should have free public connections to extensive multi- use trail systems with connections between communities and transit services.
58	19.19	6.24	6.65	6.3	Markleeville Creek Restoration Project and Heritage Park restrooms, access, and signage	Markleeville, CA	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Construct new public restrooms, parking, accessible trails, viewing platform, and interpretive signage at a 5 acre site along Markleeville Creek as part of a major stream restoration project.
35	19.17	7.19	5.9	6.08	Access Trail to Mammoth Rock	Mammoth	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	There is now an informal trail to the rock which is a mess. The project will build/restore the trail to the rock.
124	19.11	5.69	6.62	6.8	Mono-Yosemite- Conway Trail System	Conway Summit, Mono Basin, Tioga Pass	Trail Planning	A trail system which links Conway Summit, Virginia Lakes turnoff, Conway Ranch, Matley Ranch, the Mono Basin, Mono Lake, Yosemite NP, and the Community of Lee Vining
ESSRP	PROJECTS	1-183_SORTED BY	PROJECT TYPE	AND STAKEHC	DLDER DESIRABILITY SCORES		·	11 P A G E

ID	Score	Stewardship	Access	Benefits	Project Name	Project Location	Project type	Short summary
27	18.99	5.3	6.82	6.87	Eastern Sierra Class 1 Bike Trail	Mono Lake to Olancha (or further)	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Construct a paved class 1 bike trail through Owens Valley and the Eastern Sierra.
122	18.92	6.08	6.3	6.54	Recreation Road System	Eastern Sierra	Road Planning, Maintenance and repair	Recreational roads in our area lack an organized system of planning, repair and maintenance.
36	18.81	5.34	6.9	6.57	Eastern Sierra Valley Rail Trails Network	Mono/Inyo Counties	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Connect Benton to Owens Lake and all communities inbetween with rail trails designed to encourage activity, learning and escape
96	18.79	5.44	7.16	6.19	Inclusive fishing	Mammoth Creek - TOML	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Add accessible paths and "platforms" that creates accessible means for all individual who desire to experience fishing that opportunity.
162	18.67	5.63	6.43	6.61	Community Connection Path	Crowley to Mammoth	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	This project would involve creating a path that goes in- between Crowley and Mammoth. This path allows people to travel on a bike or by foot between the two towns.
20	18.6	6.29	5.87	6.44	Improve Trailhead Parking	Inyo/Mono County	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Improve parking areas at trailheads by either adding parking spaces, signs that indicate when parking is full, or having staff enforce parking.
11	18.43	5.66	6.26	6.51	Bring it back: Eastern Sierra Passenger Rail.	Eastern Sierra	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	From LA to Mammoth, at least, restart passenger rail service

ID	Score	Stewardship	Access	Benefits	Project Name	Project Location	Project type	Short summary
15	18.29	6.5	5.65	6.14	buttermilks campground	Greater Buttermilk Area	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Dry campground next to the buttermilk climbing areas
91	18.25	7.07	5.38	5.8	East Fork Loop Trail	East Fork Rock Creek Canyon	Trail Planning	Reroute current "locals" trail up the south side of Rock Creek to protect sensitive wetland fens
119	18.17	6.55	5.38	6.24	Public dumpster at the Twin Lakes Vista	Twin Lakes Vista	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Provide a dumpster for the public to utilize at the Twin Lakes Vista.
180	18.06	5.89	6.04	6.13	Sherwins Trailhead	Sherwins Trailhead (Propane Tanks, Borrow Pit)	New Bathrooms, Buildings, Roads, Trails or other "Hard Infrastructure"	Develop the existing parking area into a functional trailhead.
138	21.17	7.82	5.81	7.54	Highway 395 Wildlife and Recreation Crossings	Highway 395, Long Valley	Partnerships	Plan, fund and implement wildlife and soft-surface trail crossings of hwy 395 to reduce wildlife migration mortality and traffic accidents and to enhance connectivity between Mammoth and Long Valley.
18	20.07	6.45	6.6	7.02	Bike Path - Crowley Lake to Mammoth	Crowley Lake to Mammoth Lakes	Partnerships	The Eastern Sierra needs more bike paths and a connector from Crowley Lake to Mammoth Lakes would allow community and public members to ride to and from for work and allow access that does not exist.

ID	Score	Stewardship	Access	Benefits	Project Name	Project Location	Project type	Short summary
158	18.14	6.3	4.98	6.86	Inventory and Description of all Eastern Sierra Fire- Related Resources and Creation of Clearinghouse for Information.	All over the Eastern Sierra region.	Partnerships	This project would review and summarize all Eastern Sierra fire- related resources, including all public government agencies and all volunteer fire districts and fire safe councils in the region.
159	20.22	6.33	6.89	7	Youth Recreation and Tourism Advisory Committee	Regional	Planning and Environmental Review	Empower the region's young people with opportunities for inclusion, influence, and deliberation on local issues which affect their lives through the creation of a advisory committee.
42	20.07	7.69	5.79	6.59	tangle free waters	mammoth lakes	Planning and Environmental Review	sustainable use of our aquatic resources
113	19.54	6.98	6.08	6.48	Science-Based Conservation Conversations	Mammoth Lakes area	Planning and Environmental Review	This project will provide a facilitated forum to address issues in planning for sustainable recreation with minimal environmental impacts
57	19.33	5.93	6.8	6.6	Bishop to Tablelands	Pleasant Valley	Planning and Environmental Review	This project would complete a full bike path from the City of Bishop to the Chalk Bluff Road, giving access to the Tablelands from town.
172	19.2	5.4	7.31	6.49	Providing Access to People of All Abilities	Regionwide	Planning and Environmental Review	Develop planning tools and a community based advisory committee to standardize the inclusion of accessibility in planning and decision-making for recreation related projects.

ID	Score	Stewardship	Access	Benefits	Project Name	Project Location	Project type	Short summary
52	18.87	6.66	5.53	6.68	Defining Sustainable: Where is the tipping point?	Entire Region	Planning and Environmental Review	As we work together to build additional recreational opportunities in the Eastern Sierra, a first step should be to define sustainable at various scales and locations in the region.

9	19.91	6.32	6.9	6.69	Paddle Trail Access for All on Lower Owens River Project	Lower Owens River	Programming	With a focus on making the Lower Owens River physically accessible. This project recommends three approaches looking at policy revisions, programming, and partnership.
177	18.91	5.96	6.38	6.57	Alpine County Gateway Project	Alpine County (Markleeville)	Programming	Create an Alpine County gateway experience that includes an interactive Visitor Center, satellite information booths, and education strategies that attract, educate, and engage visitors.
129	22.71	7.71	7.09	7.91	Sustainable Dispersed Camping	Eastern Sierra public lands	Several of the above - temporary seasonal infrastructure, signage, volunteers	Dispersed camping is exploding in popularity. Work with land management partners to support it more sustainably with increased dumpsters, porta-potties, signage, etc.
176	21.39	7.67	6.4	7.32	Bear Education Signage on Dumpsters	Mammoth Lakes	Signage	Add signage on dumpsters that are frequently used by visitors, such as at condo units, to remind visitors to hook the latch on the dumpster to prevent bears from eating garbage

156	19.97	7.04	6.32	6.61	Interpretive and Wayfinding Signs	Buttermilks, Happies and Sad Boulders	Signage	Provide signage about LNT and restoration areas; and wayfinding signs to popular boulders found in guidebooks to guide climbers and visitor to prevent trail and restoration areas.
145	19.96	5.96	7.19	6.81	Caminata en el los lagos ; Hiking at the lake	Crowley Lake	Señalización ; Signage	Caminata alrededor del lago; Hiking around the lakes
60	18.15	5.81	6.29	6.05	Alpine County Wayfinding Project	Alpine County	Signage	Implementation of highway monument signs, kiosks, and trailhead signage. Kiosks are intended to better direct the public to recreation opportunities and public services.
17	21.56	8.26	6.04	7.26	Tangle Free Waters	Inyo and Mono Counties	Stewardship and Volunteers	Reduce, remove, and recycle monofilament line through partnerships with federal, state, and local government agencies, non- profits, and businesses through stewardship and educational programs.
54	21.04	7.56	6.43	7.05	Friends of the Inyo Trail Ambassadors	Inyo, Humboldt- Toiyabe, and nSierra NFs, in Inyo & Mono Cou	Stewardship and Volunteers	Trail Ambassadors educate users on how to recreate responsibly, provide interpretive talks, engage the public in volunteer stewardship events, do trail maintenance, and are a presence on the ground.
169	20.53	7.05	6.64	6.84	Teen Corps for Healthy Forests	Forests surrounding Mammoth Lakes	Stewardship and Volunteers	Recruit community members (focusing on teens) to help remove dead and down trees in the forests surrounding Mammoth Lakes.

22	19.99	7.65	6.07	6.27	PCT Volunteer Project Leaders	Pacific Crest Trail	Stewardship and Volunteers	Fund two staff positions, a Crew Leader and Assistant Crew Leader, who will co-lead volunteer trail maintenance groups on the section of PCT running through the Eastern Sierra.
102	19.47	7.46	5.3	6.71	TANGLE FREE WATERS	MAMMOTH/MONO COUNTY	Stewardship and Volunteers	TO KEEP OUR AQUATIC RESOURCES CLEAN, PRISTINE, FREE OF FISHING LINE HOOKS LEAD SINKERS THAT BUILDUP YEARLY IN THE WATERS AND SURROUNDING HABITAT CAUSING IMPACTS TO PEOPLE AND WILDLIFE.
2	19.45	6.92	6.04	6.49	Alabama Hills Stewardship Ranger Program	Alabama Hills	Stewardship and Volunteers	Using restoration destination tourism projects to pay for staff and facilities that can engage with visitors.
14	22.41	7.7	7.31	7.4	Trails for the Future	Inyo National Forest	All of the above	Rebuild the trails of the Inyo National Forest for present and future generations.
48	22.29	8.11	6.58	7.6	We Care Where You Go	Owens Valley; multiple locations	"Soft" infrastructure that is not permanent in nature.	With increasing numbers of visiting climbers to the Eastern Sierra, there is an increasing need for where people can go to the bathroom. We propose seasonal portapotties to be installed.
161	21.05	7.39	6.43	7.23	Eastern Sierra Dispersed Camping Sustainability Project.	All over the Eastern Sierra region.	Improved policy and procedures	This project will review Eastern Sierra dispersed camping, and develop and implement management actions to balance dispersed camping with preservation and protection of our public lands.

51	20.57	6.56	7.27	6.74	Accessible Parks	Various- Alabama Hills, Buttermilks, Happy Boulders, Owens River, Hot Springs, etc.	To be successful, this would take a little bit from most of these categories	I'd like to see formal parks developed at some of the most popular outdoor recreation destinations that would provide restrooms, trash collection, and parking, etc.
32	20.07	6.15	6.88	7.04	Free ESTA For All	Eastern Sierra	Budgeting	All ESTA routes will be free. Ridership will likely increase dramatically.
130	20	6.98	5.82	7.2	Usage fees for dispersed camping	Eastern Sierra public lands	Usage fees	Managing agencies would charge a modest fee (annual or one-time permits) for dispersed camping to cover costs of sustainability measures. Would still be significantly less than developed camping.
79	19.72	7.22	5.43	7.07	ACTUAL BEAR PROOF DUMPSTERS	Mono County	wildlife management	upgrade all public trash and recycling facilities to actual bear proof containers. The carabiner is out of date technology. Example: Yosemite National Park
75	18.84	7.05	5.37	6.42	Forest Stewards & Trash Management	Lakes Basin Sites + Dispersed Camping Areas	Management; Enforcement; Forest Protection	Problem: "Camp Hosts" seem unable to address issues in developed camp grounds plus dispersed camping is destroying our forests as there is no management or oversite for those areas.
83	18.22	5.67	6.44	6.11	Resource Center for DEI Access Programs	Mammoth Lakes or Bishop	almost all of the above	Resource Center for DEI Access Programs
72	18.21	6.72	4.93	6.56	Large recycling /trash container	June Mountain parking lot	Anti litter /recycling	We need a LARGE recycling and trash container



County of Inyo



County Counsel

DEPARTMENTAL - NO ACTION REQUIRED

MEETING: February 16, 2021

FROM: Grace Chuchla

SUBJECT: Receive presentation regarding redistricting and provide direction to staff

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Request Board receive a presentation on the redistricting process following the 2020 Census and provide direction to staff as to whether the redistricting process will be managed by the Board or a committee.

SUMMARY/JUSTIFICATION:

Pursuant to Article 1, Section 2 of the US Constitution, the Census Bureau conducts a count of all individuals living in the United States every ten years. The data gathered during each census is used to determine how many seats each state has in the House of Representatives and to draw districts for state legislatures, county boards of supervisors, and city councils. Although it faced delays due to COVID, the census process recently wrapped up in late 2020. Initially, it was projected that the federal government would release census data in March 2021. However, in late January, the Census Bureau announced that it would not be releasing data before July 31, 2021. This delay, which is entirely outside of the County's control, may pose difficulties when it comes to redistricting.

Redistricting for California's 58 counties is primarily governed by Cal. Elections Code § 23000 et seq., Cal. Elections Code § 21500, et seq. (the Fair Maps Act), 42 USC § 1973, et seq. (the federal Voting Rights Act), the Fourteenth Amendment to the US Constitution, and the California Constitution. At their core, these statutes and constitutions have three basic governing principles: 1) follow proper procedure to encourage public engagement and ensure transparency, 2) create substantially equal districts ("one person, one vote"), and 3) do not draw districts in a manner that dilutes the voting power of historically disenfranchised minorities. There are also many other requirements to remain aware of when drawing districts, such as respecting existing district boundaries to the extent possible, not splitting "communities of interest," conforming districts with natural boundaries like roads or rivers, and drawing districts that are as compact as possible (i.e. no gerrymandering).

On the procedural front, recent amendments to the Fair Maps Act set out a carefully prescribed process that's intended to ensure public participation and transparency in the redistricting process. Specifically, your Board must first choose whether it will create an advisory, hybrid, or independent redistricting committee or whether your Board will forego a committee and manage the redistricting process itself. Depending on which path your Board chooses, either your Board or the committee must then hold at least four hearings where the public will have a chance to participate in the redistricting process and provide comments on draft maps. Today, staff is hoping to receive input from your Board on which type of committee (if any) Inyo County will utilize for redistricting and the parameters for committee selection and membership. Staff will then return to the Board with a resolution regarding the committee per the Board's guidance. More details about each type of committee, its

Agenda Request Page 2

requirements, and the redistricting process as a whole will be provided in the attached presentation.

Timing-wise, your Board or the committee must adopt final maps by December 15, 2021 to comply with the rule that districts must be finalized 174 days before the June 22, 2022 statewide direct primary election. Because maps must be finalized via ordinance, this means that we must back this deadline up by 30 days to permit the ordinance to take effect in time. So the redistricting process must be completed by November 15, 2021.

This November 15 deadline is somewhat worrisome given the Census Bureau's delay in providing census data. After the Census Bureau provides its data, California makes various adjustments to the data and produces what is referred to as the Statewide Database. Counties must use the data from the Statewide Database in redistricting. The Statewide Database is populated 30 days after the Census Bureau releases its data, which means that the earliest Inyo County could even begin thinking about maps is August 31, 2020. However, the County is not permitted to release draft maps until 21 days after the Statewide Database releases its data. This provides less than two months for public hearings and engagement after the release of the draft maps (September 21 to November 15). The attached presentation includes some recommendations from staff as to how we can plan ahead to minimize the negative effects of this tight timeframe.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT:

Planning Department, Information Services

FINANCING:

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Redistricting Presentation 2021-02-16

APPROVALS:

Grace Chuchla Darcy Ellis Grace Chuchla Cathreen Richards Scott Armstrong Marshall Rudolph Created/Initiated - 2/3/2021 Approved - 2/9/2021 Approved - 2/9/2021 Approved - 2/9/2021 Approved - 2/10/2021 Final Approval - 2/10/2021



Introduction to Redistricting

Inyo County, California
What Is Redistricting?

The redrawing of district boundaries to ensure "one person, one vote"

□ Occurs every 10 years after the census

□ Core concerns:

- Follow proper procedure to encourage public engagement and ensure transparency
- □ Create substantially equal districts
- Do not dilute the voting power of historically disenfranchised minorities

□ Cal. Elections Code § 21500, et seq. (the Fair Maps Act)

□ 42 USC § 1973, et seq. (the federal Voting Rights Act)

□ The Fourteenth Amendment to the US Constitution

□ The California Constitution

The Redistricting Process

Decide whether the County will use a redistricting committee, and if so, what type Committee or Board draws draft maps using census data and holds hearings to receive public input on the maps

Committee or Board adopts final maps via ordinance Step 1 – Choosing Committee Format

Elections Code § 23001 permits the Board to establish three types of committees to head up redistricting:

- □ Advisory
- 🗆 Hybrid

Independent

Or the Board can manage the entire process and forego any committee

Types of Committees

Advisory – recommends district boundaries to the Board, but ultimately the Board decides

Hybrid – recommends 2 versions of district boundaries to the Board, and the Board must choose one of the two

Independent – has full authority to set district boundaries with no Board involvement

Who Can Be on the Committees?

\Box Advisory

Anyone, except elected officials or a family member, staff member, or paid campaign staff of an elected official

Board may set other rules or qualifications

Hybrid and independent

Generally, anyone who has done any work with or for an elected official or political party or who has contributed over \$500 to a campaign in the last 8 years (or has a family member who meets these qualifications) may <u>not</u> serve

Board may set other rules or qualifications

Board v. Committee

Board Runs the Redistricting Process	Committee Runs the Redistricting Process
No need for any recruitment	Staff manages a recruitment for committee members, per statutory requirements and Board direction
Board solicits public input on boundaries	Committee solicits public input on boundaries
Board works with staff to draw boundaries and address legal issues	Committee works with staff to draw boundaries and address legal issues
Board holds all four hearings required under the Fair Maps Act	Committee holds hearings required under the Fair Maps act, except for two hearings, which must be held by the Board
Board deliberates boundary choices	Committee makes recommendations to the Board to guide their deliberations, unless we are using a hybrid or independent committee
Board adopts ordinance setting new boundaries	Board adopts ordinance setting new boundaries, unless we are using an

Step 2 – Draft Maps and Hold Hearings

- Conduct community outreach to the groups listed in section 21508(a)
- □ Hold public hearings per section 21507.1
 - □ At least 4 hearings required
 - At least 2 must be in front of the Board (unless Board chooses independent committee)
 - □ I must be on an evening or weekend
 - □ I must be prior to drafting any maps
 - 2 must be after the committee / Board has drafted maps
- Provide a method for the public to submit comments (section 21508(e))
- Most importantly, draft maps and release them for public comment

Step 3 – Adopt the Final Map

 \Box Advisory committee or no committee \rightarrow the Board considers the drafts maps and either adopts, modifies, or rejects them

 \Box Hybrid committee \rightarrow the Board choose one of the two options presented

 \Box Independent committee \rightarrow the committee considers the drafts maps and either adopts, modifies, or rejects them

Timeline of the Process

February 2021	Board chooses whether a committee or the Board will run the redistricting process
March and April 2020	Recruit committee members (should Board choose committee). Prepare the required redistricting website.
May 2021	Finalize committee members and bylaws (should Board choose committee)
June and July 2021	Hold pre-draft map hearings
July 31, 2021	Receive census data (hopefully)
August 31, 2021	Receive CA adjusted census data (hopefully)
September 2021	Draft maps based on census data
September 21, 2021	First day the County is permitted to release draft maps
Sept. to Nov. 2021	Hold post-draft map hearings
November 15, 2021	Adopt final maps

Direction to Staff

Does the Board want to use a committee?

□ If so....

- □ What type of committee?
- □ Who should be on it? County staff? General public?

□What kind of hearings would the Board like to see?

- □ Number?
- □ Timing?
- □ Location?





Health & Human Services DEPARTMENTAL - ACTION REQUIRED

MEETING: February 16, 2021

FROM: Melissa Best-Baker

SUBJECT: Ratify and approve a Contract with County of Mono for ESAAA Services to Seniors

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Request Board ratify and approve the contract with the County of Mono for the provision of Eastern Sierra Area Agency on Aging (ESAAA) services to Mono County eligible residents, in the amount of \$600,000 for the period of July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021, and negotiable for a maximum of three additional fiscal year (one-year) periods, contingent upon the Board's adoption of future budgets, and authorize the Chairperson to sign.

SUMMARY/JUSTIFICATION:

Staff in Inyo and Mono counties have been working on this contract since June, including working with County Counsel to modify this contract to meet new State requested changes and reduce the administrative burden of bringing this contract to the Board or each County each time there is a funding change. During a State monitoring visit, the State required that we annually with the option to negotiate the funding for three additional years. This mirrors the State four-year cycle, with contingencies for annual updates and changes. We have changed the funding section to reflect funding based on the percentages that have been recommended by the ESAAA Advisory Council and approved by your Board, and will allow monitoring and payment of the allocations with those rather than the estimated amounts for the next four years.

Each year the California Department of Aging (CDA) sends out allocations for each Planning and Service Area (PSA). After the allocation is received, Inyo County HHS Staff further breaks down the allocations into what is available for Inyo County and what is available for the Mono County contract based on the percentages approved for the four year planning period, unless otherwise amended by your Board.

Contingent upon State allocations in future years, the above amounts could be lower or higher. The Department is respectfully requesting your Board ratify and approve the contract.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

Your Board could choose not to approve this request, resulting in the possibility of Mono County not being able to access the funds that are available to them and requiring Inyo County to provide direct services in Mono County.

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT:

California Department of Aging

FINANCING:

Funding for this contract comes from California Department of Aging State and Federal Funds. This is budgeted in the ESAAA Budget (683000) in Other County Contributions (5539). No County General Funds.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Mono County ESAAA Contract

APPROVALS:

Melissa Best-Baker Darcy Ellis Marilyn Mann Marshall Rudolph Amy Shepherd Melissa Best-Baker Marilyn Mann

Created/Initiated - 2/7/2021 Approved - 2/9/2021 Approved - 2/9/2021 Approved - 2/9/2021 New -Approved - 2/9/2021 Final Approval - 2/9/2021

AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY OF INYO AND THE COUNTY OF MONO FOR THE PROVISION OF SENIOR SERVICES

INTRODUCTION

WHEREAS, the County of Inyo (hereinafter referred to as "County") may have the need for the Community-Based Senior services of Mono County Social Services of the County of Mono (hereinafter referred to as "Contractor"), and in consideration of the mutual promises, covenants, terms, and conditions hereinafter contained, the parties hereby agree as follows:

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. SCOPE OF WORK.

The Contractor shall furnish to the County, upon its request, those services and work set forth in Attachment A, attached hereto and by reference incorporated herein. Requests by the County to the Contractor to perform under this Agreement will be made by Marilyn Mann, whose title is Director of Health and Human Services. Requests to the Contractor for work or services to be performed under this Agreement will be based upon the County's need for such services. The County makes no guarantee or warranty, of any nature, that any minimum level or amount of services or work will be requested of the Contractor by the County under this Agreement. County by this Agreement incurs no obligation or requirement to request from Contractor the performance of any services or work at all, even if County should have some need for such services or work during the term of this Agreement.

Services and work provided by the Contractor at the County's request under this Agreement will be performed in a manner consistent with the requirements and standards established by applicable federal, state, and County laws, ordinances, regulations, and resolutions. Such laws, ordinances, regulations, and resolutions include, but are not limited to, those which are referred to in this Agreement.

2. INTIAL TERM AND OPTIONS.

The initial term of this Agreement shall be from July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021 unless sooner terminated as provided below. In addition, County shall have three options to extend the Agreement for additional one-year periods as follows:

- a. From July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022
- b. From July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023
- c. From July 1, 2023 through June 30, 2024

County may exercise such options by giving written notice to Contractor at least thirty (30) days before the expiration of the Agreement, or an extension thereof.

The notice shall specify the period of the options being exercised. The option to extend shall be upon the same terms and conditions as stated in this Agreement.

3. CONSIDERATION.

A. <u>Compensation</u>. County shall pay to Contractor for the services and work described in Attachment A to be performed by Contractor at the County's request as follows:

Each year, Inyo County receives money from the California Department of Aging (CDA) to fund services provided in Program Service Area (PSA) 16. PSA 16 includes Inyo and Mono Counties. Funds are disbursed from the CDA to Inyo County, and Inyo County passes a certain percentage of the funds on to Mono County. The percentages to be passed on to Mono County are dictated by the PSA 16 Area Plan that is developed and approved by the Eastern Sierra Agency on Aging, the Inyo County Board of Supervisors, and the CDA. Pursuant to the current PSA 16 Area

County of Inyo Modified Contract with Mono County for Senior Services Page 1 Plan, the percentages for each service area to be disbursed to Mono County are (these percentages may change with Area Plan updates within the term of the contract):

IIIB Supportive Services: Assisted Transportation - 20% of funds received from CDA IIIB Supportive Services: Transportation - 14% of funds received from CDA C1 Congregate Meals - 16% of funds received from CDA C2 Home Delivered Meals - 20% of funds received from CDA

The parties understand that the exact dollar amount that Inyo County will pay to Mono County under this contract is dependent upon the allocations that Inyo County receives each fiscal year from the CDA. However, the exact dollar amounts shall be dictated by the percentages set forth above.

The parties agree and understand that the CDA releases its annual allocations on or about April of each year, that the CDA releases one-time-only (OTO) monies and/or adjustments on or about September of each year, and that the CDA may be releasing special COVID-19 response monies. The parties agree that all three types of allocations shall be distributed between and Inyo and Mono Counties pursuant to the percentages set forth above. In order to receive its percentage of the CDA allocations, Mono County will submit an Area Plan Budget (CDA 122) to Inyo County each fiscal year within 30 days of Inyo County's receipt of allocations, as required by the CDA.

Inyo County expressly reserves the right to deny any payment or reimbursement requested by Mono County for services or work performed which is in excess of the contract limit.

B. <u>Travel and per diem</u>. Contractor will not be paid or reimbursed for travel expenses or per diem which Contractor incurs in providing services and work requested by County under this Agreement.

C. <u>No additional consideration</u>. Except as expressly provided in this Agreement, Contractor shall not be entitled to, nor receive, from County, any additional consideration, compensation, salary, wages, or other type of remuneration for services rendered under this Agreement. Specifically, Contractor shall not be entitled, by virtue of this Agreement, to consideration in the form of overtime, health insurance benefits, retirement benefits, sick leave, vacation time, paid holidays, or other paid leaves of absence of any type or kind whatsoever.

D. <u>Limit upon amount payable under Agreement</u>. The total sum of all payments made by Inyo County to Mono County for services and work performed under for all terms of this Agreement shall not exceed six hundred thousand dollars (\$600,000.00).

E. <u>Billing and payment</u>.

Contractor will also submit an invoice for the actual monthly expenditures and County of Inyo will reimburse based on the actual expenditures. The monthly invoice shall be submitted by Contractor to County of Inyo by the 20th of the month for services delivered in the previous month, and shall be paid by County of Inyo by the end of the month after the invoice is received. Appropriate backup showing the actual expenditures must also be attached to the invoice.

Contractor will also provide a monthly summary of service activity by the 10th of the following month in the categories in the categories specified in the PSA 16 Area Plan. The monthly summary shall identify units of service provided in each category according to administrative requirements specified by the County. Payment will be conditioned on monthly submission of these service activity reports.

Contractor will submit, as required by the CDA, the Financial Closeout Report (CDA 180) within 25 days following the end of the fiscal year or within 30 days following termination prior to the end of the contract period, unless otherwise specified by the CDA.

Budgets, invoices, service activity reports and close-out reports shall be submitted to Inyo County Health & Human Services, P.O. Drawer A, Independence, CA 93526 or by electronic means specified by the County of Inyo.

F. <u>Federal and State taxes</u>.

(1) Except as provided in subparagraph (2) below, County will not withhold any federal or state income taxes or social security from any payments made by County to Contractor under the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

(2) County will withhold California State income taxes from payments made under this Agreement to non-California resident independent contractors when it is anticipated that total annual payments to Contractor under this Agreement will exceed one thousand four hundred ninety-nine dollars (\$1,499.00).

(3) Except as set forth above, County has no obligation to withhold any taxes or payments from sums paid by County to Contractor under this Agreement. Payment of all taxes and other assessments on such sums is the sole responsibility of Contractor. County has no responsibility or liability for payment of Contractor's taxes or assessments.

(4) The total amounts paid by County to Contractor, and taxes withheld from payments to non-California residents, if any, will be reported annually to the Internal Revenue Service and the California State Franchise Tax Board. To facilitate this reporting, Contractor shall complete and submit to the County an Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Form W-9 upon executing this Agreement.

4. WORK SCHEDULE.

Contractor's obligation is to perform, in a timely manner, those services and work identified in Attachment A which are requested by the County. It is understood by Contractor that the performance of these services and work will require a varied schedule. Contractor will arrange his/her own schedule, but will coordinate with County to ensure that all services and work requested by County under this Agreement will be performed within the time frame set forth by County.

5. REQUIRED LICENSES, CERTIFICATES, AND PERMITS.

A. Any licenses, certificates, or permits required by the federal, state, county, municipal governments, for contractor to provide the services and work described in Attachment A must be procured by Contractor and be valid at the time Contractor enters into this Agreement or as otherwise may be required. Further, during the term of this Agreement, Contractor must maintain such licenses, certificates, and permits in full force and effect. Licenses, certificates, and permits may include, but are not limited to, driver's licenses, professional licenses or certificates, and business licenses. Such licenses, certificates, and permits will be procured and maintained in force by Contractor at no expense to the County. Contractor will provide County, upon execution of this Agreement, with evidence of current and valid licenses, certificates and permits which are required to perform the services identified in Attachment A. Where there is a dispute between Contractor and County as to what licenses, certificates, and permits are required to perform the services identified in Attachment A. County reserves the right to make such determinations for purposes of this Agreement.

B. Contractor warrants that it is not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarrent, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in covered transactions by any federal department or agency. Contractor also warrants that it is not suspended or debarred from receiving federal funds as listed in the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement or Non-procurement Programs issued by the General Services Administration available at: <u>http://www.sam.gov</u>.

6. OFFICE SPACE, SUPPLIES, EQUIPMENT, ET CETERA.

Contractor shall provide such office space, supplies, equipment, vehicles, reference materials, and telephone service as is necessary for Contractor to provide the services identified in Attachment A to this Agreement. County is not obligated to reimburse or pay Contractor, for any expense or cost incurred by Contractor in procuring or maintaining such items. Responsibility for the costs and expenses incurred by Contractor in providing and maintaining such items is the sole responsibility and obligation of Contractor.

7. COUNTY PROPERTY.

A. <u>Personal Property of County</u>. Any personal property such as, but not limited to, protective or safety devices, badges, identification cards, keys, etc. provided to Contractor by County pursuant to this Agreement are, and at the termination of this Agreement remain, the sole and exclusive property of County. Contractor will use reasonable care to protect, safeguard and maintain such items while they are in Contractor's possession. Contractor will be financially responsible for any loss or damage to such items, partial or total, which is the result of Contractor's negligence.

B. <u>Products of Contractor's Work and Services</u>. Any and all compositions, publications, plans, designs, specifications, blueprints, maps, formulas, processes, photographs, slides, video tapes, computer programs, computer disks, computer tapes, memory chips, soundtracks, audio recordings, films, audio-visual presentations, exhibits, reports, studies, works of art, inventions, patents, trademarks, copyrights, or intellectual properties of any kind which are created, produced, assembled, compiled by, or are the result, product, or manifestation of, Contractor's services or work under this Agreement are, and at the termination of this Agreement remain, the sole and exclusive property of the County. At the termination of the Agreement, Contractor will convey possession and title to all such properties to County.

8. INSURANCE.

For the duration of this Agreement Contractor shall procure and maintain insurance of the scope and amount specified in Attachment **B** and with the provisions specified in that attachment.

9. STATUS OF CONTRACTOR.

All acts of Contractor, its agents, officers, and employees, relating to the performance of this Agreement, shall be performed as independent contractors, and not as agents, officers, or employees of County. Contractor, by virtue of this Agreement, has no authority to bind or incur any obligation on behalf of County. Except as expressly provided in Attachment A, Contractor has no authority or responsibility to exercise any rights or power vested in the County. No agent, officer, or employee of the Contractor is to be considered an employee of County. It is understood by both Contractor and County that this Agreement shall not under any circumstances be construed or considered to create an employee relationship or a joint venture. As an independent contractor:

A. Contractor shall determine the method, details, and means of performing the work and services to be provided by Contractor under this Agreement.

B. Contractor shall be responsible to County only for the requirements and results specified in this Agreement, and except as expressly provided in this Agreement, shall not be subjected to County's control with respect to the physical action or activities of Contractor in fulfillment of this Agreement.

C. Contractor, its agents, officers, and employees are, and at all times during the term of this Agreement shall, represent and conduct themselves as independent contractors, and not as employees of County.

10. DEFENSE AND INDEMNIFICATION.

Contractor shall hold harmless, defend and indemnify County and its officers, officials, employees and volunteers from and against any and all liability, loss, damage, expense, costs (including without limitation costs and fees of litigation) of every nature arising out of or in connection with Contractor's performance of work hereunder or its failure to comply with any of its obligations contained in the agreement, except such loss or damages which was caused by the sole negligence or willful misconduct of the County.

11. RECORDS AND AUDIT.

A. <u>Records</u>. Contractor shall prepare and maintain all records required by the various provisions of this Agreement, federal, state, county, municipal, ordinances, regulations, and directions. Contractor shall maintain these records for a minimum of four (4) years from the termination or completion of this Agreement. Contractor may fulfill its obligation to maintain records as required by this paragraph by substitute photographs, microphotographs, or other authentic reproduction of such records.

B. <u>Inspections and Audits</u>. Any authorized representative of County shall have access to any books, documents, papers, records, including, but not limited to, financial records of Contractor, which County determines to be pertinent to this Agreement, for the purposes of making audit, evaluation, examination, excerpts, and transcripts during the period such records are to be maintained by Contractor. Further, County has the right, at all reasonable times, to audit, inspect, or otherwise evaluate the work performed or being performed under this Agreement.

12. NONDISCRIMINATION.

During the performance of this Agreement, Contractor, its agents, officers, and employees shall not unlawfully discriminate in violation of any federal, state, or local law, against any employee, or applicant for employment, or person receiving services under this Agreement, because of race, religion, color, national origin, ancestry, physical handicap, medical condition, marital status, age, or sex. Contractor and its agents, officers, and employees shall comply with the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act (Government Code section 12900, et seq.), and the applicable regulations promulgated thereunder in the California Code of Regulations. Contractor shall also abide by the Federal Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) and all amendments thereto, and all administrative rules and regulations issued pursuant to said act.

13. CANCELLATION.

This Agreement may be canceled by County without cause, and at will, for any reason by giving to Contractor ninety (90) days written notice of such intent to cancel. Contractor may cancel this Agreement without cause, and at will, for any reason whatsoever by giving ninety (90) days written notice of such intent to cancel to County.

14. ASSIGNMENT.

This is an agreement for the services of Contractor. County has relied upon the skills, knowledge, experience, and training of Contractor as an inducement to enter into this Agreement. Contractor shall not assign or subcontract this Agreement, or any part of it, without the express written consent of County. Further, Contractor shall not assign any monies due or to become due under this Agreement without the prior written consent of County.

15. DEFAULT.

If the Contractor abandons the work, or fails to proceed with the work and services requested by County in a timely manner, or fails in any way as required to conduct the work and services as required by County, County may declare the Contractor in default and terminate this Agreement upon five (5) days written notice to Contractor. Upon such termination by default, County will pay to Contractor all amounts owing to Contractor for services and work satisfactorily performed to the date of termination.

16. WAIVER OF DEFAULT.

Waiver of any default by either party to this Agreement shall not be deemed to be waiver of any subsequent default. Waiver or breach of any provision of this Agreement shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any other or subsequent breach, and shall not be construed to be a modification of the terms of this Agreement unless this Agreement is modified as provided in paragraph twenty-two (22) below.

17. CONFIDENTIALITY.

Contractor further agrees to comply with the various provisions of the federal, state, and county laws, regulations, and ordinances providing that information and records kept, maintained, or accessible by Contractor in the course of providing services and work under this Agreement, shall be privileged, restricted, or confidential. Contractor agrees to keep confidential all such information and records. Disclosure of such confidential, privileged, or protected information shall be made by Contractor only with the express written

consent of the County. Any disclosure of confidential information by Contractor without the County's written consent is solely and exclusively the legal responsibility of Contractor in all respects.

Notwithstanding anything in the Agreement to the contrary, names of persons receiving public social services are confidential and are to be protected from unauthorized disclosure in accordance with Title 45, Code of Federal Regulations Section 205.50, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, and Sections 10850 and 14100.2 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, and regulations adopted pursuant thereto. For the purpose of this Agreement, all information, records, and data elements pertaining to beneficiaries shall be protected by the provider from unauthorized disclosure.

18. CONFLICTS.

Contractor agrees that it has no interest, and shall not acquire any interest, direct or indirect, which would conflict in any manner or degree with the performance of the work and services under this Agreement.

19. POST AGREEMENT COVENANT.

Contractor agrees not to use any confidential, protected, or privileged information which is gained from the County in the course of providing services and work under this Agreement, for any personal benefit, gain, or enhancement. Further, Contractor agrees for a period of two years after the termination of this Agreement, not to seek or accept any employment with any entity, association, corporation, or person who, during the term of this Agreement, has had an adverse or conflicting interest with the County, or who has been an adverse party in litigation with the County, and concerning such. Contractor by virtue of this Agreement has gained access to the County's confidential, privileged, protected, or proprietary information.

20. SEVERABILITY.

If any portion of this Agreement or application thereof to any person or circumstance shall be declared invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, or if it is found in contravention of any federal, state, or county statute, ordinance, or regulation, the remaining provisions of this Agreement, or the application thereof, shall not be invalidated thereby, and shall remain in full force and effect to the extent that the provisions of this Agreement are severable.

21. FUNDING LIMITATION.

The ability of County to enter this Agreement is based upon available funding from various sources. In the event that such funding fails, is reduced, or is modified, from one or more sources, County has the option to cancel, reduce, or modify this Agreement, or any of its terms within ten (10) days of its notifying Contractor of the cancellation, reduction, or modification of available funding. Any reduction or modification of this Agreement made pursuant to this provision must comply with the requirements of paragraph twenty-two (22) (Amendment).

22. AMENDMENT.

This Agreement may be modified, amended, changed, added to, or subtracted from, by the mutual consent of the parties hereto, if such amendment or change is in written form and executed with the same formalities as this Agreement, and attached to the original Agreement to maintain continuity.

23. NOTICE.

Any notice, communication, amendments, additions, or deletions to this Agreement, including change of address of either party during the terms of this Agreement, which Contractor or County shall be

County of Inyo Modified Contract with Mono County for Senior Services Page 6 required, or may desire, to make, shall be in writing and may be personally served, or sent by prepaid first class mail to, the respective parties as follows:

County of Inyo Health and Human Services PO Drawer H Independence, CA

Contractor: County of Mono Social Services PO Box 576 Bridgeport, CA

24. ENTIRE AGREEMENT.

This Agreement contains the entire agreement of the parties, and no representations, inducements, promises, or agreements otherwise between the parties not embodied herein or incorporated herein by reference, shall be of any force or effect. Further, no term or provision hereof may be changed, waived, discharged, or terminated, unless the same be in writing executed by the parties hereto.

////

////

AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY OF INYO AND THE COUNTY OF MONO FOR THE PROVISION OF SENIOR SERVICES

IN WITNESS THEREOF, THE PARTIES HERETO HAVE SET THEIR HANDS AND SEALS THIS ______ DAY OF ______, _____,

COUNTY OF INYO

CONTRACTOR

By:_____

Signature

Print or Type Name

Dated: _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY:

County Counsel

APPROVED AS TO ACCOUNTING FORM:

County Auditor

APPROVED AS TO PERSONNEL REQUIREMENTS:

Personnel Services

APPROVED AS TO INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS:

County Risk Manager

By: Jenniferk

Signature Jennifer Kreitz

Print or Type Name

_{Dated:} Feb 4, 2021

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY:

County Counsel

At-An (Feb 4, 2021 16:23 PST)

APPROVED AS TO INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS:

Jacob Sloane (Feb 4, 2021 09:50 PST)

County Risk Manager

County of Inyo Modified Contract with Mono County for Senior Services Page 8

ATTACHMENT A

AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY OF INYO AND THE COUNTY OF MONO FOR THE PROVISION OF SENIOR SERVICES

TERM:

FROM: July 1, 2020 TO: June 30, 2021

SCOPE OF WORK:

Contractor will provide the senior services identified in the most current PSA 16 Area Plan (i.e. Home Delivered Meals, Congregate Meals, Transportation and Assisted Transportation) within Mono County according to the requirements of the statutory provisions of the Title III and Title IV Programs [OAA 306] in accordance with State and federal laws and regulations. A copy of the PSA 16 Area Plan is incorporated herein by reference.

Contractor will provide a monthly summary of service activity by the 10th of the following month for Home Delivered Meals, Congregate Meals, Transportation and Assisted Transportation in terms of identified units of service according to administrative requirements specified by the County.

Contractor will participate in annual monitoring for program and fiscal activities. Contractor will provide a copy of their County Single Audit by April 15 each year.

ATTACHMENT B

County of Inyo Modified Contract with Mono County for Senior Services Page 9

AGREEMENT BETWEEN COUNTY OF INYO AND THE COUNTY OF MONO FOR THE PROVISION OF SENIOR SERVICES

TERM:

FROM: July 1, 2020 TO: June 30, 2021

SEE ATTACHED INSURANCE PROVISIONS

Attachment B: Insurance Requirements for Professional Services

Contractor shall procure and maintain for the duration of the contract insurance against claims for injuries to persons or damages to property which may arise from or in connection with the performance of the work hereunder by the Contractor, its agents, representatives, or employees.

MINIMUM SCOPE AND LIMIT OF INSURANCE

Coverage shall be at least as broad as:

Commercial General Liability (CGL): Insurance Services Office Form CG 00 01 covering CGL on an "occurrence" basis, including products and completed operations, property damage, bodily injury and personal & advertising injury with limits no less than \$1,000,000 per occurrence, \$2,000,000 general aggregate.

Additional Insured Status. Inyo County, its officers, officials, employees, and volunteers are to be covered as additional insureds on the CGL policy with respect to liability arising out of work or operations performed by or on behalf of the Vendor including materials, parts, or equipment furnished in connection with such work or operations. General liability coverage can be provided in the form of an endorsement to the Contractor's insurance (at least as broad as ISO Form CG 20 10 11 85 or both CG 20 10, CG 20 26, CG 20 33, or CG 20 38; and CG 20 37 forms if later revisions used).

Automobile Liability: Insurance Services Office Form Number CA 0001 covering, Code 1 (any auto), or if Contractor has no owned autos, Code 8 (hired) and 9 (non-owned), with limit no less than \$1,000,000 per accident for bodily injury and property damage.

Workers' Compensation: as required by the State of California, with Statutory Limits, and Employer's Liability Insurance with limit of no less than \$1,000,000 per accident for bodily injury or disease. May be waived with signed letter on contractor's letterhead certifying that contractor has no employees.

If the Contractor maintains broader coverage and/or higher limits than the minimums shown above, Inyo County requires and shall be entitled to the broader coverage and/or the higher limits maintained by the contractor. Any available insurance proceeds in excess of the specified minimum limits of insurance and coverage shall be available to Inyo County.

OTHER INSURANCE PROVISIONS

The insurance policies are to contain, or be endorsed to contain, the following provisions:

Primary Coverage

For any claims related to this contract, the Contractor's insurance coverage shall be primary insurance primary coverage at least as broad as ISO CG 20 01 04 13 as respects Inyo County, its officers, officials, employees, and volunteers. Any insurance or self-insurance maintained by Inyo County, its officers, officials, employees, or volunteers shall be excess of the Contractor's insurance and shall not contribute with it.

Notice of Cancellation

Attachment B: Insurance Requirements for Professional Services

Each insurance policy required above shall state that coverage shall not be canceled, except with notice to Inyo County.

Waiver of Subrogation

Contractor hereby grants to Inyo County a waiver of any right to subrogation which any insurer of said Contractor may acquire against Inyo County by virtue of the payment of any loss under such insurance. Contractor agrees to obtain any endorsement that may be necessary to affect this waiver of subrogation, but this provision applies regardless of whether or not Inyo County has received a waiver of subrogation endorsement from the insurer.

Self-Insured Retentions

Self-insured retentions must be declared to and approved by Inyo County. Inyo County may require the Contractor to provide proof of ability to pay losses and related investigations, claim administration, and defense expenses within the retention. The policy language shall provide, or be endorsed to provide, that the self-insured retention may be satisfied by either the named insured or Inyo County.

Acceptability of Insurers

Insurance is to be placed with insurers authorized to conduct business in the state with a current A.M. Best's rating of no less than A:VII, unless otherwise acceptable to Inyo County.

Claims Made Policies

If any of the required policies provide coverage on a claims-made basis:

- 1. The Retroactive Date must be shown and must be before the date of the contract or the beginning of contract work.
- Insurance must be maintained and evidence of insurance must be provided for at least five (5) years after completion of the contract of work.
- 3. If coverage is canceled or non-renewed, and not replaced with another claims-made policy form with a Retroactive Date prior to the contract effective date, the Contractor must purchase "extended reporting" coverage for a minimum of five (5) years after completion of contract work.

Verification of Coverage

Contractor shall furnish Inyo County with original Certificates of Insurance including all required amendatory endorsements (or copies of the applicable policy language effecting coverage required by this clause) and a copy of the Declarations and Endorsement Page of the CGL policy listing all policy endorsements to Inyo County before work begins. However, failure to obtain the required documents prior to the work beginning shall not waive the Contractor's obligation to provide them. Inyo County reserves the right to require complete, certified copies of all required insurance policies, including endorsements required by these specifications, at any time.

Subcontractors

Contractor shall require and verify that all subcontractors maintain insurance meeting all the requirements stated herein, and Contractor shall ensure that Inyo County is an additional insured on insurance required from subcontractors.

Attachment B: Insurance Requirements for Professional Services

Special Risks or Circumstances

Inyo County reserves the right to modify these requirements, including limits, based on the nature of the risk, prior experience, insurer, coverage, or other special circumstances.

-end-







County Administrator DEPARTMENTAL - ACTION REQUIRED

MEETING: February 16, 2021

FROM: Clint Quilter, Amy Shepherd, Denelle Carrington

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2020-2021 Mid-Year Financial Report

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Request Board:

A) Accept the Fiscal Year 2020-2021 Mid-Year Financial Report as presented;

B) Approve the specific budget action items and recommendations discussed in the report, and represented in Attachments A & B (4/5's vote required); and,

C) Direct staff to continue emphasis on revenue attainment and expense savings in order to maximize year-end Fund Balances.

SUMMARY/JUSTIFICATION: Introduction

The *County Budget Control and Responsibility & Extraordinary Budget Controls Policy* (Attachment C) requires department heads to submit Mid-Year and Third Quarter Financial Reports to the County Administrator. These reports are to provide projections of expenditures, revenues, and Net County Cost for the fiscal year and provide explanations and corrective action plans in the case of over-expenditures or under-realizations of revenues.

The County Budget Team, consisting of the County Administrator, Auditor-Controller, and Budget Analyst, use these reports from departments to prepare and submit the Mid-Year Financial Report and the Third Quarter Financial Report to the Board of Supervisors. In order to submit a useful report to the Board, it is incumbent upon and expected of, each department to supply thoughtful and accurate information.

The Mid-Year reports are particularly important to ensure that the County maintains course with regard to Budget. Failure to identify and address changing budget conditions during the Mid-Year process leaves precious little time for your Board to make course corrections later in the year. At Third Quarter there are fewer options available and those that are available are often more drastic than would otherwise be necessary to ensure that the County's budgets remain balanced through the end of the fiscal year.

Based on their Fiscal Year 2020-2021 Mid-Year submittals, County Departments are, generally, managing their current budgets consistent with their projected revenues and the Board-approved appropriations contained in the Fiscal Year 2020-2021 County Budget. With the exceptions noted herein, departments are reporting that their revenue projections remain on target, and that their expenditures will stay within appropriations.

<u>Overview</u>

As recommended, the Mid-Year Budget presented for your Board's approval remains balanced by a combination of:

A. Using General Fund Contingencies in the amount of \$8,142 to fund the processing and mailing of the 2020 Secured Tax Bills and \$209,599 from Personnel Contingencies to fund the most recent negotiations with the Elected Officials Assistants Association and the Inyo County Correctionals Officers Association.

B. Utilizing \$316,895 more in Fund Balance from various non-General Fund budgets, which can be attributed to Health and Human Services, Yucca Mountain and the Water Department. Overall, with the recommended increases, the County Budget relies on a total of \$17,851,001 in non-General Fund Balances instead of the \$17,634,106 in the Fiscal Year 2020-2021 Working Budget.

The overall effect of the departments' Mid-Year projections is that the recommended Mid-Year Budget changes the County's Working Budget as follows:

Fiscal Year	Board Approved Budget	Working Budget	Mid-Year Budget
2020-2021			
County Budget			
Revenues	\$113,052,906	\$114,584,652	\$115,259,595
Expenditures	\$130,484,170	\$138,604,084	\$139,495,922
Net County Cost	\$17,431,264	\$24,019,432	\$24,236,327
General Fund			
Revenues	\$62,417,615	\$62,460,187	\$62,936,614
Expenditures	\$66,853,692	\$68,845,513	\$69,321,940
Net County Cost	\$4,436,077	\$6,385,326	\$6,385,326

The increase in revenues between the Fiscal Year 2020-2021 Board-Approved Budget and Fiscal Year 2020-2021 Working (or, "Current") Budget reflects budget amendments approved by your Board of Supervisors since the County Budget was approved last September. Based on department requests, this Mid-Year Financial Report recommends further increasing certain revenue projections from those in the Working Budget. This is due, primarily, to increases in Health and Human Services budgets, which allows the department to recognize additional allocations to provide services. The even greater increase in expenditures between the Fiscal Year 2020-2021 Board Approved Budget and Fiscal Year 2020-2021 Working Budget reflects the mechanics of rolling prior years' encumbrances into the current year's Board Approved Budget after adoption of the Budget.

The apparent increase in Net County Cost from the Board Approved to the Working and Mid-Year Budgets is not necessarily a cause for concern. This is because prior years' encumbrances are not calculated as part of the prior fiscal year's year-ending Fund Balance. So, when the gap between revenues and expenditures increases in the Working Budget, or in the Mid-Year Budget, the difference between the shortfall and Fund Balance can usually be attributed to the amount of the prior years' encumbrances. This year, prior years' encumbrances amounted to a total of \$5,769,460 of which \$1,949,249 was in the General Fund. (When a General Fund department unencumbers funds from a previous fiscal year, the monies are not left in that department's budget.)

Background

The instructions for the Mid-Year Financial Review submittal process continue to stress the importance of

department heads accurately projecting revenues since, as already mentioned, meaningful corrective action needs to be taken as part of the Mid-Year Financial Report (not later) if revenues are likely to be unrealized. Similar to recent years, department heads with budgets that, as of December 31, 2020, failed to realize at least 40% of the revenue in any revenue category (e.g., Charges For Current Services, Other Revenue, etc.) were required to provide a written explanation as to why, and indicate whether the revenue estimates will still be met. Similarly, if more than 60% of appropriations in any object category (e.g., Services & Supplies, Internal Charges, Salaries and Benefits, etc.) were expended in a specific budget as of December 31st, the department head was asked to explain the reason.

Also similar to previous years, departments were: (1) asked to refrain from requesting the use of General Fund Contingencies money without first developing a corrective action plan and submitting it with their Mid-Year Budget request to address all revenue shortfalls and/or over-expenditures; and (2) in most cases, prevented from moving Salaries and Benefits cost savings (associated with vacant positions) to other appropriation object codes representing ongoing costs.

The prohibition on using one-time salary savings to mitigate revenue shortfalls and/or cost over-runs is consistent with sound fiscal management as use of these salary savings both detracts from year-end Fund Balance and is extremely likely to, in effect, utilize one-time monies for ongoing expenses.

Process

In an effort to facilitate the Mid-Year review process, departments are asked to enter their Mid-Year Budget projections directly into the County's Financial System (ONESolution). The Mid-Year budget changes being requested by the departments, and recommended by the CAO, are reflected in the Mid-Year column in the attached ONESolution reports (Attachments A and B). If approved by your Board (4/5's vote required), the Mid-Year projections will become the new Working Budget.

Most of the Mid-Year projections entered into ONESolution represent appropriation changes that, under the County's *County Budget Control and Responsibility & Extraordinary Budget Controls Policy* (Attachment C), can be approved by the County Administrative Officer and/or the Auditor-Controller (e.g., appropriation changes between object codes). These perfunctory appropriation changes are included as part of the Mid-Year Financial Review because, since these policies also allow departments to continue spending within budget appropriations at the object category (as opposed to object code) levels, departments have been encouraged to save these routine appropriation change requests until the Mid-Year and Third Quarter Financial Review processes in order to cut down on unnecessary appropriation change paperwork.

By policy, some changes - such as appropriating new revenue, transferring money between funds or budget units, and appropriations from Contingencies - require approval by the Board of Supervisors (4/5's vote). Budgets with appropriation changes requiring Board approval (4/5's vote) are discussed below in addition to being quantified in Attachments A and B.

Other Significant Issues

Following are trends and issues, not necessarily discussed elsewhere, that are worth examining in this Mid-Year Financial Report because they directly and/or materially affect the Mid-Year process, or otherwise have the ability to influence the County's fiscal position through the end of the Fiscal Year as well as affect next year's County Budget. Some of these issues have a revenue or expense component that could necessitate budget amendments later this fiscal year.

Geothermal Royalties Payments. As of 12/31/2020, we had received only \$2,688.14 of Geothermal Royalties revenue. We have since received a payment of \$33,523.71, bringing the year-to-date total to \$36,211,87. As your Board is aware, we have seen volatile and generally shrinking Geothermal Royalties revenue over the past several years. This is expected to continue and reaffirms the appropriateness of your Board's policy to only include Geothermal Royalties revenue that has actually been received in the County, and not budget Geothermal

Royalties that are *projected* to be received in the coming year.

Sales Tax. As of December 31, 2020 the County sales tax is reported at \$676,501, or 49% of projected revenue totalling \$1,384,176, while only having received 5 months of sales receipts from the State, which indicates the County is on track to more than meet the budgeted revenues for this fiscal year. It is noted that during the budget process the Budget Team took a proactive approach and decreased sales tax, which has paid off. However, compared to Quarter 3 of 2019, the County is experiencing a -18% downward trend in sales. It is unclear how much of this can be attributed to the sales tax deferrals granted by the Governor and how much is related to COVID-19 restrictions. In general, the County Sales Tax is on track and doing better than what the Budget Team projected.

HDL projects an escalation of sales tax for the 4th quarter of 2020, which the County will be seeing in the second half of this year. This is due to the full deployment of Wayfair marketplace or AB147 compliance, accelerated online spending and stimulus payments. The County is continuing to see strong trends in Sales Tax at gas stations.

Hotel Transient Occupancy Tax Revenue. In anticipation of possibly more travel restrictions due to COVID-19, the Budget Team reduced anticipated TOT revenue for Fiscal Year 2020-2021 to \$2,000,000. As of 12/31/2020 the County has received \$476,905 or 24% for the 1st Quarter TOT payments. Typically, 1st quarter is almost double that amount. This is concerning and may require an adjustment to projected revenue during the Third Quarter Budget Review. However with travel restrictions being lifted, Third Quarter may play out to be better than anticipated and help the County to make it to the finish line. At this point, the Budget Team is comfortable keeping a close eye on the TOT and making adjustments at Third Quarter if needed.

Labor Costs. The County has completed negotiations with the Elected Officials Assistants Association and the Inyo County Correctionals Officers Association. The County is currently in labor contract negotiations with two bargaining units; the Inyo County Employees Association and the Inyo County Probation Peace Officers Association.

Commercial Air Service. As commercial air service at the Bishop Airport moves closer to reality, one-time upfront costs will likely be incurred. It is expected that a substantial portion of the funds will be reimbursed by future Airport Capital Improvement Program (ACIP) funds. This will be discussed in more detail prior to the Third Quarter Budget Review.

COVID-19. Primary budget impacts of COVID-19 are related to reductions in TOT and Sales Tax. Excess staff costs related to COVID-19 have generally been offset by CARES act funding. However, there has been a substantial cost incurred by the County in responding to the pandemic.

Fiscal Year 2020-2021 Mid-Year Status

GENERAL FUND:

General Fund budgets with significant Mid-Year changes, requiring your Board's approval (4/5's vote required) are discussed below in addition to being identified in Attachment A.

Auditor-Controller (010400). The Salaries and Benefits object codes are increased by \$11,596 in order to recognize the recent negotiations with the Elected Officials Assistants Association. Personnel Contingencies have been reduced by \$11,596 to facilitate this increase and to cover the increase to the Net County Cost.

Contingencies (087100). As discussed below, in total, General Fund Contingencies have been reduced by a total of \$8,142. There is a corresponding increase of \$8,142 in the Treasurer-Tax Collector budget in order to facilitate a contract to process and mail the 2020 Secured Tax Bills.

County Clerk - General (010300). The Salaries and Benefits object codes have been increased by \$18,007 in

order to recognize the recent negotiations with the Elected Officials Assistants Association. Personnel Contingencies have been reduced by \$18,007 to cover the increase in the Net County Cost.

District Attorney (022400). The Salaries and Benefits object codes have been reduced by \$64,415 and moved into the District Attorney Safety Budget (022410) in order to facilitate the change in Authorized Strength that the Board of Supervisors approved on the February 2nd Agenda. Between the two budgets, there is no change to the Net County Cost.

Additionally, the Board approved changes in the Authorized Strength at the October 27th, 2020 Board of Supervisors Meeting to reclassify two Deputy District Attorney IV positions to Senior Deputy District Attorney positions. At that time, it was the intent to have one Deputy District Attorney I/II remain in the Authorized Strength and this was discussed in the Summary/Justification section. However, since the two positions were re-classed the other position was deleted, as there was no action taken to add this position. There are sufficient funds in the District Attorney budget to facilitate this increase in staffing, and it was the intent at the time that this action went to Board that one of the Deputy District Attorney positions would stay in the Authorized Staffing. In order to correct this oversight, we are requesting to increase the Authorized Staffing in the District Attorney's Office by adding one Deputy District Attorney I/II. There is no change to the Net County Cost, as there are sufficient Salaries and Benefits budgeted to cover this increase.

District Attorney Safety (022410). As discussed above, the Salaries and Benefits object codes have been increased by \$64,415 to cover the change in Authorized Staffing, approved by your Board at the February 2nd meeting, adding one District Attorney Investigator position. The Salaries and Benefits object codes have been reduced in the District Attorney Budget (022400) and between the two budgets there is no change in the Net County Cost.

Elections (011000). The Salaries and Benefits object codes are increased by \$34,890 in order to recognize the recent negotiations with the Elected Officials Assistants Association and to cover the salaries and benefits for an additional temporary position needed during the most recent Election. Personnel Contingencies have been reduced by \$34,890 to cover the increase in Net County Cost.

Health (045100). The department increased revenues and expenditures by \$43,302 to recognize additional funding in the Immunization Grant program in order to provide COVID-19 vaccinations. There is no change to the Net County Cost.

Jail - General (022900). The Salaries and Benefits object codes have been increased by \$129,450 in order to recognize the most recent negotiations with the Inyo County Correctionals Officers Association. Personnel Contingencies have been reduced by \$129,450 to cover the increased Net County Cost.

Museum (077000). Revenues and expenditures are increased by \$18,604 to recognize additional donations and to facilitate an additional operating transfer from the trust to cover maintenance needs at the Commander's House. There is no change to the Net County Cost.

Personnel (010800). The Contingencies object code has been reduced by a total of \$209,599 to facilitate the most recent negotiations with the Elected Officials Assistants Association and the Inyo County Correctionals Officers Association.

Sheriff - General (022700). The revenues and expenditures have been increased by \$1,148 in order to recognize funding already received or forthcoming to purchase additional supplies. There is no change to the Net County Cost.

Social Services (055800). The department increased revenues and expenditures by \$362,000 to recognize two additional allocations. The first allocation is for the transition from the State C-IV system into the new State System CalSAWS system which is used to determine eligibility for Medi-Cal, CalFresh, etc. The second allocation is for the new Family Urgent Response System (FURS) which will allow staff to respond to calls in

Social Services, Mental Health and Probation in order to assist families. There is no change to the Net County Cost.

Treasurer-Tax Collector (010500). Salaries and Benefits have been increased by \$15,656 to recognize the recent negotiations with the Elected Officials Assistants Association. Personnel Contingencies have been reduced by \$15,656 in order to cover the increased Net County Cost. Additionally, the Professional Services object code has been increased by \$8,142 in order to cover the expense of a contract to provide funds for the preparation and mailing of the 2020 Secured Tax bills. General Fund Contingencies have been reduced by \$8,142 to cover the increase in the Net County Cost.

NON-GENERAL FUND:

Non-General Fund budgets with significant Mid-Year changes, requiring your Board's approval (4/5's vote required), are discussed below in addition to being identified in Attachment B:

CAO-COVID19 (010208). Expenditures and revenues have been increased by \$100,000 in order to recognize additional grant funding. There is no change to the Net Cost to Fund.

ES Weed Management Grant (621300). Expenditures are increased by \$3,788 to cover additional salary and benefit expenses related to seasonal employees. There is sufficient revenue budgeted to cover the increase in expenditures.

ESAAA (683000). The department increased expenditures by \$84,438 in order to recognize and expend fund balance from the previous fiscal year. The State authorized the department to use these funds in the current fiscal year. There is sufficient fund balance to cover the increase in the Net Cost to Fund.

FIRST (055801). The department increased both revenues and expenditures by \$4,442. The funding in this budget is caseload driven and based on the current caseload additional funds were identified for a new contract. There is no change to the Net Cost to Fund.

Homeland Security 20-21 (623720). The department increased revenues and expenditures by \$94,074 to recognize the revenue and expenditures for this budget. Your Board approved the Grant application at the January 26th Board of Supervisors meeting.

Recorders Micrographic System (023401). The Salaries and Benefits object codes have been increased by \$776 in order to recognize the recent negotiations with the Elected Officials Assistants Association. There is sufficient fund balance to cover the increase to the Net County Cost.

Water Department (024102). The department increased expenditures by \$109,350 to cover additional contractual agreements. While there is no corresponding increase in revenues, there is sufficient fund balance to cover the increase in the Net Cost to Fund.

Yucca Mountain Oversight (620605). Expenditures have been increased b \$20,000 in order to recognize funding to reimburse the Planning Budget for project oversight. There is sufficient fund balance to cover the increased Net Cost to Fund.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

Your Board has the option not to approve any specific recommendations and/or provide other direction to staff.

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT:

All County departments provided the information necessary to compile this report, which has been prepared in close collaboration with the County Auditor-Controller.

FINANCING:

The immediate financial impacts to the County from this Mid-Year Financial Review are reflected in the discussion and recommendations above, and included in Attachments A and B (Attachment A represents the General Fund Budget, and Attachment B represents the non-General Fund Budget).

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1. Attachment A General Fund Income Statement and Mid-Year Budget Review
- 2. Attachment B Non-General Fund Income Statement and Mid-Year Budget Review
- 3. Attachment C Budget Control and Responsibility & Extraordinary Budget Controls

APPROVALS:

Denelle Carrington Darcy Ellis Denelle Carrington Amy Shepherd Clint Quilter Created/Initiated - 2/1/2021 Approved - 2/1/2021 Approved - 2/10/2021 Approved - 2/10/2021 Final Approval - 2/10/2021

BUD023 - Income Statement - General Fund Mid-Year FY 2020-21

Run Date: 02/09/2021			Mid-Year	Actual as of	% of Actual	YTD as of
		% of Budget	Budget	12/31/20	to Budget	12/31/19
REVENUES BY TYPE						
TAXES - PROPERTY		21.3%	13,458,238	8,620,456	64.0%	8,500,450
TAXES - OTHER		3.2%	2,071,000	526,420	25.4%	1,227,365
TAXES - SALES		2.1%	1,384,173	676,501	48.8%	821,800
LICENSES & PERMITS		1.1%	750,940	304,885	40.6%	456,368
FINES & FORFEITURES		1.4%	901,588	359,048	39.8%	471,202
RENTS & LEASES		0.0%	12,600	2,500	19.8%	2,561
REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY		0.6%	428,330	169,866	39.6%	280,119
AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES		47.1%	29,687,736	13,467,123	45.3%	13,302,077
CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES		17.0%	10,752,317	4,445,600	41.3%	4,074,203
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES		5.4%	3,436,853	2,556,085	74.3%	2,278,070
OTHER REVENUE		0.0%	52,839	33,554	63.5%	39,116
Τι	otal Revenues by Type	100.0%	62,936,614	31,162,043	49.5%	31,453,334
EXPENDITURES BY OBJECT CATEGORY						
SALARIES & BENEFITS		62.2%	43,148,108	21,867,855	50.6%	20,330,087
SERVICES & SUPPLIES		17.0%	11,786,666	5,834,418	49.5%	3,419,716
INTERNAL CHARGES		9.3%	6,478,100	2,832,381	43.7%	2,535,357
OTHER CHARGES		6.2%	4,352,190	2,117,118	48.6%	1,151,647
DEBT SERVICE PRINCIPAL		0.0%	68,247	34,030	49.8%	33,691
DEBT SERVICE INTEREST		0.0%	5,512	3,020	54.7%	3,358
FIXED ASSETS		0.4%	283,011	78,110	27.5%	432
OTHER FINANCING USES		3.2%	2,279,718	205,003	8.9%	
RESERVES		1.3%	920,388			
	Total Expenditures	100.0%	69,321,940	32,971,939	47.5%	27,474,292
Cł	nange in Fund Balance		(6,385,326)	(1,809,896)	28.3%	3,979,041

BUD023 - Income Statement - General Fund Mid-Year FY 2020-21

Run Date: 02/09/2021	% of Budget	Mid-Year Budget	Actual as of 12/31/20	% of Actual to Budget	YTD as of 12/31/19
EXPENDITURES BY DEPARTMENT					
AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER					
AGRICULTURAL COMM / SEALER ASSESSOR	0.9%	647,630	308,786	47.6%	341,588
ASSESSOR	1.4%	1,017,705	520,929	51.1%	452,471
AUDITOR - CONTROLLER					
AUDITOR CONTROLLER - GENERAL	1.8%	1,283,794	751,113	58.5%	471,441
GENERAL REVENUE & EXPENDITURES	4.2%	2,946,552	911,699	30.9%	383,938
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS					
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	1.0%	702,277	307,015	43.7%	298,679
CAO CULTURAL SERVICES					
ADVERTISING COUNTY RESOURCES	0.4%	319,555	106,755	33.4%	35,403
COUNTY LIBRARY	1.2%	841,406	287,704	34.1%	251,011
LAW LIBRARY	0.0%	27,397	2,868	10.4%	1,628
MUSEUM - GENERAL	0.4%	296,044	114,765	38.7%	118,240
CAO MP, SOLID WASTE & PARKS					
PARKS & RECREATION	1.7%	1,188,577	543,561	45.7%	413,542
CORONER					
CORONER	0.3%	225,982	132,381	58.5%	88,859
COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER					
CAO - GENERAL	1.2%	842,983	417,274	49.4%	362,643
CAO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	1.2%	894,466	475,501	53.1%	55,052
CONTINGENCIES - GENERAL	1.2%	835,270			
GRANTS IN SUPPORT	0.1%	121,980	107,115	87.8%	857
INFORMATION SERVICES	3.3%	2,310,048	1,252,794	54.2%	1,080,627
OFFICE OF DISASTER SERVICES	0.2%	147,361	64,674	43.8%	57,572
PERSONNEL	1.7%	1,243,952	600,943	48.3%	403,212
PUBLIC DEFENDER	1.1%	791,275	615,205	77.7%	345,808
	0.4%	282,878	122,299	43.2%	112,292
	0.50/		400.005	50.00/	450.040
COUNTY CLERK - GENERAL	0.5%	386,736	196,905	50.9%	158,242
	0.5%	366,014	213,840	58.4%	129,962
	4.00/	4 054 500	770 000	C4 50/	404 000
COUNTY COUNSEL DISTRICT ATTORNEY	1.8%	1,251,528	770,236	61.5%	421,283
DISTRICT ATTORNEY	1.8%	1 264 062	608,141	48.1%	585,934
DISTRICT ATTORNET	0.9%	1,264,063 647,368	329,664	40.1% 50.9%	279,584
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	0.9%	047,300	329,004	50.9%	279,564
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH - GENERAL	1.9%	1,369,014	585,474	42.7%	478,806
FARM ADVISOR	1.976	1,309,014	505,474	42.7 /0	470,000
FARM ADVISOR	0.2%	155,796	66,677	42.7%	69,121
HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES		,	,-		,
CALIFORNIA CHILD SERVICE-ADMIN	0.1%	101,647	40,878	40.2%	49,108
CALIFORNIA CHILDREN SERVICE	0.0%	21,417	5,176	24.1%	6,709
CHILD HLTH AND DISABILITY PREV	0.1%	91,817	38,109	41.5%	47,583
COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	9.4%	6,558,609	3,129,554	47.7%	2,752,147
FOSTER CARE - GENERAL	0.8%	600,000	329,517	54.9%	202,357
GENERAL RELIEF	0.2%	175,000	84,479	48.2%	68,580

BUD023 - Income Statement - General Fund Mid-Year FY 2020-21

% of Budget Budget 12/31/20 to Budget 12/31/20 HEALTH - GENERAL 4.2% 2,913,856 1,221,161 41.9% 905,711 INYO COUNTY GOLD 0.6% 440,800 156,329 35.4% 123,494 SOCIAL SERVICES - GENERAL 11.8% 8,207,777 3,685,045 44.8% 3,139,356 PERSONNEL 1.0% 725,000 354,840 48.9% 371,939 PERSONNEL 1.0% 725,000 354,840 48.9% 371,939 PLANING 2.00110G 1.3% 917,091 300,254 32.7% 406,592 PROBATION 1.3% 917,091 300,254 32.7% 405,592 JUVENILE INSTITUTIONS 2.4% 1,671,573 738,151 44.1% 767,392 OUT OF COUNTY-JUVENILE HALL 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 2.6% 1,812,640 921,217 50.8% 74,622 BUILDING & SAFETY 0.5% 3396,698 189,813	Run Date: 02/09/2021		Mid-Year	Actual as of	% of Actual	YTD as of
INYO COUNTY GOLD 0.6% 440,800 156,329 35.4% 123,494 SOCIAL SERVICES - GENERAL 11.8% 8,207.777 3,685,045 44.8% 3,138,356 FRADR (AFDC) 10% 725,000 354,840 48.9% 371,593 FRESONNEL 10% 725,000 354,840 48.9% 371,593 FLANNING & ZONING 4.7% 3,306,718 1,553,812 46.9% 1,477,153 PLANNING & ZONING 1.3% 917,091 300,254 32.7% 405,592 PROBATION 2.4% 1,671,573 738,151 44.1% 767,392 OUT OF COUNTY-JUVENILE HALL 0.3% 236,875 68,765 29.0% 74,272 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 222,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC ADMINSTRATOR 0.5% 389,698 189,813 48.7% 165,310 MAINTENANCE-BUILDING & GROUNDS 2.6% 1,816,774 1,020,556 56.1% 744,625 PUBLIC WORKS 0.0% 49,079 22,3		% of Budget	Budget	12/31/20	to Budget	12/31/19
SOCIAL SERVICES - GENERAL 11.8% 8.207,777 3.685,045 44.8% 3.138,356 TANE (AFDC) 1.0% 725,000 354,840 48.9% 371,593 PERSONNEL 1.0% 725,000 354,840 48.9% 371,593 PLANNING & ZONING 4.7% 3.306,718 1,553,812 46.9% 1,477,153 PLANNING & ZONING 1.3% 917,091 300,254 32.7% 405,592 PROBATION 2.6% 1.871,573 738,151 44.4% 767,392 OUT OF COUNTY-JUVENLE HALL 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 744,841 744,841 744,841 744,841 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC WORKS 1.0% 747,602 389,983 352,1	HEALTH - GENERAL	4.2%	2,913,856	1,221,161	41.9%	905,711
TANF (AFDC) 1.0% 725,000 364,840 48.9% 371,593 PERSONNEL INSURANCE, RETIREMENT, OASDI 4.7% 3,306,718 1.553,812 46.9% 1,477,153 PLANNING 2.0% 1.3% 917,091 300,254 32.7% 405,592 PROBATION 300,254 32.7% 405,592 77,392 00,75 68,765 29,0% 74,272 PROBATION - GENERAL 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 238,608 189,813 48.7% 165,310 MAINTENANCE, BUILDING & GROUNDS 2.6% 1.816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,824 PUBLIC WORKS 1.0% 747,602 339,923 45.5% 23.806 JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT 0.0% 49,079 22.337 45.5% 23.806 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1.981,540 1.050,759 53.0% 1.147,0	INYO COUNTY GOLD	0.6%	440,800	156,329	35.4%	123,494
PERSONNEL INSURANCE, RETIREMENT, OASDI 4.7% 3,306,718 1,553,812 46.9% 1,477,153 PLANNING & ZONING 1.3% 917,091 300,254 32.7% 405,592 PROBATION 20VENLE INSTITUTIONS 0.3% 236,875 68,765 29.0% 74,272 PROBATION - GENERAL 2.6% 1,812,640 921,217 50.8% 744,841 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 1653,103 BUILDING & SAFETY 0.5% 389,698 189,813 48.7% 165,310 MAINTENANCE-BUILDING & GROUNDS 2.6% 1,816,174 1,020,536 56,11% 744,626 PUBLIC WORKS 1.0% 747,602 389,923 52,1% 376,143 SHERIFF 1.0% 748,894 378,449 51,4% 337,933 DNA 0.0% 10,000 - - 23,0% 52,52,3% 1,287,669 <	SOCIAL SERVICES - GENERAL	11.8%	8,207,777	3,685,045	44.8%	3,138,356
INSURANCE, RETIREMENT, OASDI 4.7% 3,306,718 1,553,812 46.9% 1,477,153 PLANNING PLANNING & ZONING 1.3% 917,091 300,254 32.7% 405,592 PROBATION JUVENILE INSTITUTIONS 2.4% 1,671,573 738,151 44.1% 767,392 OUT OF COUNTY-JUVENILE HALL 0.3% 228,687 68,765 29.0% 74,272 PROBATION - GENERAL 2.6% 1,812,640 921,217 50.8% 744,841 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 222,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC WORKS 2.6% 1,816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,626 PUBLIC WORKS 2.6% 1,816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,626 PUBLIC WORKS 1.0% 734,894 376,143 337,393 DNA 0.0% 10,000 JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT 0.0% 49,079 22,337 45.5% 23,606 JAIL - GENERAL 1.0% 734,894 1,669,352 52.3% 1,287,489	TANF (AFDC)	1.0%	725,000	354,840	48.9%	371,593
PLANNING PLANNING & ZONING 917,091 300,254 32.7% 405,592 PROBATION JUVENILE INSTITUTIONS 2.4% 1,671,573 738,151 44.1% 767,392 OUT OF COUNTY-JUVENILE HALL 0.3% 236,875 68,765 29.0% 74,272 PROBATION - GENERAL 2.6% 1,812,640 921,217 50.8% 744,841 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC WORKS 0.5% 389,698 189,813 48.7% 165,310 MAINTENANCE-BUILDING & GROUNDS 2.6% 1,816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,626 PUBLIC WORKS 0.0% 10,000 307,499 22,337 45.5% 23,606 JAIL - CAD RNS PROJECT 0.0% 49,079 22,337 45.5% 23,606 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,540 1,060,759 53,0% 1,147,707 JAIL - SAFE	PERSONNEL					
PLANNING & ZONING 1.3% 917,091 300,254 32.7% 405,592 PROBATION JUVENILE INSTITUTIONS 2.4% 1,671,573 738,151 44.1% 767,392 OUT OF COUNTY-JUVENILE HALL 0.3% 236,875 68,765 29.0% 74,272 PROBATION - GENERAL 2.6% 1,812,640 921,217 50.8% 744,841 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 1111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 1111,761 48.0% 165,310 MAINTENANCE-BUILDING & GROUNDS 2.6% 1,816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,826 PUBLIC WORKS 1.0% 747,602 389,693 151.4% 337,333 SHERIFF 1.0% 747,602 389,523 52.1% 337,333 DNA 0.0% 40,079 22,337 45.5% 23,606 JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT 0.0% 49,079 22,337 45.5% 2,237 JAIL - STC 0.0% 85,74	INSURANCE, RETIREMENT, OASDI	4.7%	3,306,718	1,553,812	46.9%	1,477,153
PROBATION JUVENILE INSTITUTIONS 2.4% 1.671.573 738.151 44.1% 767.392 OUT OF COUNTY-JUVENILE HALL 0.3% 236,875 68,765 29.0% 74,272 PROBATION - GENERAL 2.6% 1.812,640 921,217 50.8% 744,841 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 165,310 MAINTENANCE-BUILDING & SAFETY 0.5% 389,698 189,813 48.7% 165,310 MAINTENANCE-BUILDING & GROUNDS 2.6% 1.816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,626 PUBLIC WORKS 1.0% 747,602 389,923 52.1% 376,143 SHERIFF 1 0.0% 10,000 1,417,707 3,186,574 1,669,352 52.3% 1,287,869 JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT 0.0% 8,574 3,251 37.9% 5,273 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,891,540 1,050,759 53.0% 1,147,707 <td>PLANNING</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	PLANNING					
JUVENILE INSTITUTIONS 2.4% 1,671,573 738,151 44.1% 767,392 OUT OF COUNTY-JUVENILE HALL 0.3% 236,875 68,765 29.0% 74,272 PROBATION - GENERAL 2.6% 1,812,640 921,217 50.8% 744,841 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC MORKS 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 165,310 MAINTENANCE-BUILDING & GROUNDS 2.6% 1,816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,626 PUBLIC WORKS 1.0% 747,602 389,923 52.1% 376,143 SHERIFF 1.0% 734,884 378,449 51.4% 337,393 JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT 0.0% 10,000 10,20,559 52.3% 1,287,669 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,540 1,050,759 53.0% 1,147,07 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,540 1,050,759 53.0% 1,147,07 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% <t< td=""><td>PLANNING & ZONING</td><td>1.3%</td><td>917,091</td><td>300,254</td><td>32.7%</td><td>405,592</td></t<>	PLANNING & ZONING	1.3%	917,091	300,254	32.7%	405,592
OUT OF COUNTY-JUVENILE HALL 0.3% 236,875 68,765 29.0% 74,272 PROBATION - GENERAL 2.6% 1,812,640 921,217 50.8% 744,841 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 110,49 PUBLIC WORKS 0.5% 389,698 189,813 48.7% 166,310 MAINTENANCE-BUILDING & GROUNDS 2.6% 1,816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,626 PUBLIC WORKS 1.0% 734,894 378,449 51.4% 337,393 SHERIFF 0.0% 1,000 747,602 389,923 52.1% 337,393 DNA 0.0% 10,000 1.14% 337,393 31,41 51.4% 337,393 JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT 0.0% 4,5% 3,165,74 1,669,352 52.3% 1,287,869 JAIL - SERAL 1.9% 4,5% 3,186,574 1,669,352 52.3% 1,277,36 5,273<	PROBATION					
PROBATION - GENERAL 2.6% 1,812,640 921,217 50.8% 744,841 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC WORKS 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 110,49 BUILDING & SAFETY 0.5% 389,698 189,813 48.7% 165,310 MAINTENANCE-BUILDING & GROUNDS 2.6% 1,816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,626 PUBLIC WORKS 1.0% 747,602 389,923 52.1% 376,143 SHERIFF 0.0% 10,000 1.000 1.000 JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT 0.0% 49,079 22,337 45.5% 23,606 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,544 1,669,352 52.3% 1,287,869 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,544 1,669,352 52.3% 1,287,869 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,544 1,629,352 52.3% 1,287,869 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL<	JUVENILE INSTITUTIONS	2.4%	1,671,573	738,151	44.1%	767,392
PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC WORKS 0.5% 389,698 189,813 48.7% 165,310 MAINTENANCE-BUILDING & GROUNDS 2.6% 1,816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,626 PUBLIC WORKS 1.0% 747,602 389,923 52.1% 376,143 SHERIFF 1.0% 744,894 378,449 51.4% 337,393 DNA 0.0% 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT 0.0% 49,079 22,337 45.5% 23,606 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,540 1,050,759 53.0% 1,147,707 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,540 1,050,759 53.0% 1,147,707 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,540 1,050,759 53.0% 1,147,707 JAIL SECURITY PROJECT 0.0% 8,574 3,251 37.9% 5,273 JAIL SECURITY PROJECT	OUT OF COUNTY-JUVENILE HALL	0.3%	236,875	68,765	29.0%	74,272
PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 0.3% 232,605 111,761 48.0% 111,049 PUBLIC WORKS BUILDING & SAFETY 0.5% 389,698 189,813 48.7% 165,310 MAINTENANCE-BUILDING & GROUNDS 2.6% 1,816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,626 PUBLIC WORKS 1.0% 747,602 389,923 52.1% 376,143 SHERIFF ANIMAL CONTROL - GENERAL 1.0% 734,894 378,449 51.4% 337,393 DNA 0.0% 10,000 22,337 45.5% 23,606 JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT 0.0% 49,079 22,337 45.5% 23,606 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,540 1,050,759 53.0% 1,147,707 JAIL SECURITY PROJECT 0.0% 8,574 3,251 37.9% 5,273 JAIL SECURITY PROJECT 0.0% 19,844 12,344 62.2% 6,172 KITCHEN SERVICES 1.1% 831,142 407,963 49.0% 43,327 SHERIFF - GENERAL 3.9%	PROBATION - GENERAL	2.6%	1,812,640	921,217	50.8%	744,841
PUBLIC WORKS BUILDING & SAFETY 0.5% 389,698 189,813 48.7% 165,310 MAINTENANCE-BUILDING & GROUNDS 2.6% 1,816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,626 PUBLIC WORKS 2.6% 1,816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,626 PUBLIC WORKS 2.6% 1,816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,626 PUBLIC WORKS 1.0% 734,894 378,449 51.4% 337,333 SHERIFF 0.0% 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 1,014 52.37 45.5% 23,606 JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT 0.0% 49,079 22,337 45.5% 23,606 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,540 1,050,759 53.0% 1,147,707 JAIL SECURITY PROJECT 0.0% 8,574 3,251 37.9% 5,273 JAIL SECURITY PROJECT 0.0% 58,358 33,335 57.1% 8,327 KITCHEN SERVICES 1.1% 831,42 407,963 49.0% 431	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR					
BUILDING & SAFETY 0.5% 389,698 189,813 48.7% 165,310 MAINTENANCE-BUILDING & GROUNDS 2.6% 1,816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,626 PUBLIC WORKS 1.0% 747,602 389,923 52.1% 337,933 SHERIFF 0.0% 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 128,784 378,449 3128,7869 1,287,869 JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT 0.0% 49,079 22,337 45.5% 23,606 JAIL - GENERAL 4.5% 3,186,574 1,669,352 52.3% 1,287,869 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,540 1,050,759 53.0% 1,147,707 JAIL - SECURITY PROJECT 0.0% 8,574 3,251 37.9% 5,273 JAIL SECURITY PROJECT 0.0% 19,844 12,344 62.2% 6,172 KITCHEN SERVICES 1,1% 831,142 407,963 49.0% 431,553 RAN 0.0% 58,58 33,335 57.1% 8,327 SHERIFF	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR	0.3%	232,605	111,761	48.0%	111,049
MAINTENANCE-BUILDING & GROUNDS 2.6% 1,816,174 1,020,536 56.1% 744,626 PUBLIC WORKS 1.0% 747,602 389,923 52.1% 376,143 SHERIFF ANIMAL CONTROL - GENERAL 1.0% 734,894 378,449 51.4% 337,393 DNA 0.0% 10,000 - </td <td>PUBLIC WORKS</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	PUBLIC WORKS					
PUBLIC WORKS 1.0% 747,602 389,923 52.1% 376,143 SHERIFF ANIMAL CONTROL - GENERAL 1.0% 734,894 378,449 51.4% 337,393 DNA 0.0% 10,000 734,894 378,449 51.4% 337,393 DNA 0.0% 10,000 10,00	BUILDING & SAFETY	0.5%	389,698	189,813	48.7%	165,310
SHERIFF ANIMAL CONTROL - GENERAL 1.0% 734,894 378,449 51.4% 337,393 DNA 0.0% 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,2337 45.5% 23,606 JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT 0.0% 49,079 22,337 45.5% 23,606 JAIL - GENERAL 4.5% 3,186,574 1,669,352 52.3% 1,287,869 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,540 1,050,759 53.0% 1,147,707 JAIL - STC 0.0% 8,574 3,251 37.9% 5,273 JAIL SECURITY PROJECT 0.0% 19,844 12,344 62.2% 6,172 KITCHEN SERVICES 1.1% 831,142 407,963 49.0% 431,553 RAN 0.0% 58,588 33,335 57.1% 8,327 SHERIFF - GENERAL 3.9% 2,704,366 1,227,454 45.3% 1,129,191 SHERIFF - SAFETY PERSONNEL 7.8% 5,456,637 3,009,505 55.1% 2,793,419 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER 0.2% 154,741 68,213 44.0% 62,845	MAINTENANCE-BUILDING & GROUNDS	2.6%	1,816,174	1,020,536	56.1%	744,626
ANIMAL CONTROL - GENERAL 1.0% 734,894 378,449 51.4% 337,393 DNA 0.0% 10,000 10,010 10,	PUBLIC WORKS	1.0%	747,602	389,923	52.1%	376,143
DNA 0.0% 10,000 JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT 0.0% 49,079 22,337 45.5% 23,606 JAIL - GENERAL 4.5% 3,186,574 1,669,352 52.3% 1,287,869 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,540 1,050,759 53.0% 1,147,707 JAIL SECURITY PROJECT 0.0% 8,574 3,251 37.9% 5,273 JAIL SECURITY PROJECT 0.0% 19,844 12,344 62.2% 6,172 KITCHEN SERVICES 1.1% 831,142 407,963 49.0% 431,553 RAN 0.0% 58,358 33,335 57.1% 8,327 SHERIFF - GENERAL 3.9% 2,704,366 1,227,454 45.3% 1,129,191 SHERIFF - SAFETY PERSONNEL 7.8% 5,456,637 3,009,505 55.1% 2,793,419 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER 0.2% 154,741 68,213 44.0% 62,845 TREASURER 1.0% 727,281 335,262 46.0% 302,752 TRIAL COURT 0.0% 24,360 54 0.2% 8,350 </td <td>SHERIFF</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	SHERIFF					
JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT 0.0% 49,079 22,337 45.5% 23,606 JAIL - GENERAL 4.5% 3,186,574 1,669,352 52.3% 1,287,869 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,540 1,050,759 53.0% 1,147,707 JAIL - STC 0.0% 8,574 3,251 37.9% 5,273 JAIL SECURITY PROJECT 0.0% 19,844 12,344 62.2% 6,172 KITCHEN SERVICES 1.1% 831,142 407,963 49.0% 431,553 RAN 0.0% 58,358 33,335 57.1% 8,327 SHERIFF - GENERAL 3.9% 2,704,366 1,227,454 45.3% 1,129,191 SHERIFF - SAFETY PERSONNEL 7.8% 5,456,637 3,009,505 55.1% 2,793,419 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER 0.2% 154,741 68,213 44.0% 62,845 TREASURER 1.0% 727,281 335,262 46.0% 302,752 TRIAL COURT 0.0% 24,360 54 0.2% 8,350	ANIMAL CONTROL - GENERAL	1.0%	734,894	378,449	51.4%	337,393
JAIL - GENERAL 4.5% 3,186,574 1,669,352 52.3% 1,287,869 JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,540 1,050,759 53.0% 1,147,707 JAIL - STC 0.0% 8,574 3,251 37.9% 5,273 JAIL SECURITY PROJECT 0.0% 19,844 12,344 62.2% 6,172 KITCHEN SERVICES 1.1% 831,142 407,963 49.0% 431,553 RAN 0.0% 58,358 33,335 57.1% 8,327 SHERIFF - GENERAL 3.9% 2,704,366 1,227,454 45.3% 1,129,191 SHERIFF - SAFETY PERSONNEL 7.8% 5,456,637 3,009,505 55.1% 2,793,419 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER 0.2% 154,741 68,213 44.0% 62,845 TREASURER 1.0% 727,281 335,262 46.0% 302,752 TRIAL COURT 0.0% 24,360 54 0.2% 8,350	DNA	0.0%	10,000			
JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL 2.8% 1,981,540 1,050,759 53.0% 1,147,707 JAIL - STC 0.0% 8,574 3,251 37.9% 5,273 JAIL SECURITY PROJECT 0.0% 19,844 12,344 62.2% 6,172 KITCHEN SERVICES 1.1% 831,142 407,963 49.0% 431,553 RAN 0.0% 58,358 33,335 57.1% 8,327 SHERIFF - GENERAL 3.9% 2,704,366 1,227,454 45.3% 1,129,191 SHERIFF - SAFETY PERSONNEL 7.8% 5,456,637 3,009,505 55.1% 2,793,419 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER 0.2% 154,741 68,213 44.0% 62,845 TREASURER 1.0% 727,281 335,262 46.0% 302,752 TRIAL COURT 0.0% 24,360 54 0.2% 8,350	JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT	0.0%	49,079	22,337	45.5%	23,606
JAIL - STC0.0%8,5743,25137.9%5,273JAIL SECURITY PROJECT0.0%19,84412,34462.2%6,172KITCHEN SERVICES1.1%831,142407,96349.0%431,553RAN0.0%58,35833,33557.1%8,327SHERIFF - GENERAL3.9%2,704,3661,227,45445.3%1,129,191SHERIFF - SAFETY PERSONNEL7.8%5,456,6373,009,50555.1%2,793,419VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER0.2%154,74168,21344.0%62,845TITC GENERALGRAND JURY0.0%24,360540.2%8,350	JAIL - GENERAL	4.5%	3,186,574	1,669,352	52.3%	1,287,869
JAIL SECURITY PROJECT 0.0% 19,844 12,344 62.2% 6,172 KITCHEN SERVICES 1.1% 831,142 407,963 49.0% 431,553 RAN 0.0% 58,358 33,335 57.1% 8,327 SHERIFF - GENERAL 3.9% 2,704,366 1,227,454 45.3% 1,129,191 SHERIFF - SAFETY PERSONNEL 7.8% 5,456,637 3,009,505 55.1% 2,793,419 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER 0.2% 154,741 68,213 44.0% 62,845 TREASURER 1.0% 727,281 335,262 46.0% 302,752 TRIAL COURT 0.0% 24,360 54 0.2% 8,350	JAIL - SAFETY PERSONNEL	2.8%	1,981,540	1,050,759	53.0%	1,147,707
KITCHEN SERVICES 1.1% 831,142 407,963 49.0% 431,553 RAN 0.0% 58,358 33,335 57.1% 8,327 SHERIFF - GENERAL 3.9% 2,704,366 1,227,454 45.3% 1,129,191 SHERIFF - SAFETY PERSONNEL 7.8% 5,456,637 3,009,505 55.1% 2,793,419 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER 0.2% 154,741 68,213 44.0% 62,845 TREASURER 1.0% 727,281 335,262 46.0% 302,752 TRIAL COURT 0.0% 24,360 54 0.2% 8,350	JAIL - STC	0.0%	8,574	3,251	37.9%	5,273
RAN 0.0% 58,358 33,335 57.1% 8,327 SHERIFF - GENERAL 3.9% 2,704,366 1,227,454 45.3% 1,129,191 SHERIFF - SAFETY PERSONNEL 7.8% 5,456,637 3,009,505 55.1% 2,793,419 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER 0.2% 154,741 68,213 44.0% 62,845 TREASURER 1.0% 727,281 335,262 46.0% 302,752 TRIAL COURT 0.0% 24,360 54 0.2% 8,350	JAIL SECURITY PROJECT	0.0%	19,844	12,344	62.2%	6,172
SHERIFF - GENERAL 3.9% 2,704,366 1,227,454 45.3% 1,129,191 SHERIFF - SAFETY PERSONNEL 7.8% 5,456,637 3,009,505 55.1% 2,793,419 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER 0.2% 154,741 68,213 44.0% 62,845 TREASURER 1.0% 727,281 335,262 46.0% 302,752 TRIAL COURT 0.0% 24,360 54 0.2% 8,350	KITCHEN SERVICES	1.1%	831,142	407,963	49.0%	431,553
SHERIFF - SAFETY PERSONNEL 7.8% 5,456,637 3,009,505 55.1% 2,793,419 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER 0.2% 154,741 68,213 44.0% 62,845 TREASURER 1.0% 727,281 335,262 46.0% 302,752 TRIAL COURT 0.0% 24,360 54 0.2% 8,350	RAN	0.0%	58,358	33,335	57.1%	8,327
VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER 0.2% 154,741 68,213 44.0% 62,845 TREASURER TTC GENERAL 1.0% 727,281 335,262 46.0% 302,752 TRIAL COURT 0.0% 24,360 54 0.2% 8,350	SHERIFF - GENERAL	3.9%	2,704,366	1,227,454	45.3%	1,129,191
TREASURER TTC GENERAL 1.0% 727,281 335,262 46.0% 302,752 TRIAL COURT 0.0% 24,360 54 0.2% 8,350	SHERIFF - SAFETY PERSONNEL	7.8%	5,456,637	3,009,505	55.1%	2,793,419
TTC GENERAL 1.0% 727,281 335,262 46.0% 302,752 TRIAL COURT 0.0% 24,360 54 0.2% 8,350	VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER	0.2%	154,741	68,213	44.0%	62,845
TRIAL COURT 0.0% 24,360 54 0.2% 8,350	TREASURER					
GRAND JURY 0.0% 24,360 54 0.2% 8,350	TTC GENERAL	1.0%	727,281	335,262	46.0%	302,752
	TRIAL COURT					
100.0% 69,321,940 32,971,939 47.5% 27,474,292	GRAND JURY	0.0%	24,360	54	0.2%	8,350
		100.0%	69,321,940	32,971,939	47.5%	27,474,292
BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUI

RUN DATE: 02/09/2021

	-	Working	Mid-Yea	
	Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Budget FY 2020-21	Budge FY 2020-2
ULTURAL COMMISSIONER	FT 2019-20	FT 2020-21	FT 2020-21	FT 2020-2
AG COMM/SEALER				
023300 AGRICULTURAL COMM / SEALER				
REVENUES				
4100 LICENSES & PERMITS	69,019	16,166	74,975	68,33
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	313,878	19,947	297,492	306,572
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	89,197	1,213	91,950	89,51
TOTAL REVENUES	472,094	37,326	464,417	464,41
	112,001	01,020	101,111	101,11
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	463,859	249,753	480,347	480,347
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	22,034	10,813	31,501	31,50
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	118,571	48,223	135,782	135,78
5800 OTHER FINANCING USES	30,000		,	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	634,464	308,789	647,630	647,63
	,-	,	- ,	. ,
023300 NET COST	(162,370)	(271,463)	(183,213)	(183,213
AG COMM/SEALER NET COST	(162,370)	(271,463)	(183,213)	(183,213
AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER NET COST	(162,370)	(271,463)	(183,213)	(183,213
ASSESSOR				
010600 ASSESSOR				
REVENUES				
4900 OTHER REVENUE	5,111	420	6,000	6,00
TOTAL REVENUES	5,111	420	6,000	6,00
EXPENDITURES				
EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	857,944	491,468	903,054	906,72
	857,944 17,994	491,468 5,495	903,054 48,500	
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS				50,10
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	17,994	5,495	48,500	50,10 60,87
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES <i>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</i>	17,994 37,917 913,855	5,495 23,968 520,931	48,500 66,151 1,017,705	50,10 60,87 1,017,70
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	17,994 37,917 913,855 (908,744)	5,495 23,968 520,931 (520,511)	48,500 66,151 1,017,705 (1,011,705)	50,10 60,87 1,017,70 (1,011,705
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES <i>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</i>	17,994 37,917 913,855	5,495 23,968 520,931	48,500 66,151 1,017,705	906,72 50,10 60,87 1,017,70 (1,011,705 (1,011,705

AUDITOR - CONTROLLER

AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

010400 AUDITOR CONTROLLER - GENERAL

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

RUN DATE: 02/09/2021

				Working	Mid-Year
		Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budget
		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-2
REVENUE					
	4000 TAXES - PROPERTY	94,502	13,351	161,000	161,000
	4060 TAXES - SALES	1,714,247	676,501	1,384,173	1,384,173
	4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	3,247,018	1,956,984	3,929,661	3,929,661
	4900 OTHER REVENUE TOTAL REVENUES	2,755	2,646,836	5,474,834	5,474,834
	TOTAL REVENCES	5,056,522	2,040,030	5,474,654	5,474,034
EXPENDI	TURES				
	5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	871,372	469,099	920,578	932,174
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	135,835	264,381	311,179	311,179
	5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	34,132	17,634	40,441	40,441
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,041,339	751,114	1,272,198	1,283,794
	010400 NET COST	4,017,183	1,895,722	4,202,636	4,191,040
011900	GENERAL REVENUE & EXPENDITURES				
REVENUE	S				
	4000 TAXES - PROPERTY	14,277,623	8,607,105	13,297,238	13,297,238
	4050 TAXES - OTHER	3,126,716	476,906	2,000,000	2,000,000
	4100 LICENSES & PERMITS	217,581	28,867	200,200	200,200
	4200 FINES & FORFEITURES	838,569	342,520	820,000	820,000
	4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	1,157,070	150,593	365,500	365,500
	4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	7,116,889	5,816,655	7,328,388	7,328,388
	4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	36,184	136,624	20,500	20,500
	4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	2,360,187	2,461,586	2,462,305	2,462,305
	4900 OTHER REVENUE	27,820	13,910		
	TOTAL REVENUES	29,158,639	18,034,766	26,494,131	26,494,131
EXPENDI	FURES				
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	108,858	12,664	110,826	110,826
	5500 OTHER CHARGES	927,003	899,035	1,664,561	1,664,561
	5800 OTHER FINANCING USES	2,566,010		1,171,165	1,171,165
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	3,601,871	911,699	2,946,552	2,946,552
	011900 NET COST _	25,556,768	17,123,067	23,547,579	23,547,579
	AUDITOR-CONTROLLER NET COST	29,573,951	19,018,789	27,750,215	27,738,619
	AUDITOR - CONTROLLER NET COST	29,573,951	19.018,789	27,750,215	27,738,619

010100 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

REVENUES

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

		UN DATE: 02/09/2021			
		Drian Actuala		Working	Mid-Year
		Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Budget FY 2020-21	Budge FY 2020-21
	4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	760	54	11202021	1120202
	TOTAL REVE		54		
	TOTAL REVE	NOES 100			
EXPENDI	TURES				
	5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	518,133	287,345	588,785	588,785
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	35,506	9,492	66,069	66,069
	5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	19,884	10,178	22,323	22,323
	5500 OTHER CHARGES	21,500		25,100	25,100
	TOTAL EXPENDIT	TURES 595,023	307,015	702,277	702,277
	010100 NET	(594,263)	(306,961)	(702,277)	(702,277)
	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS NET	(594,263)	(306,961)	(702,277)	(702,277)
	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS NET	COST (594,263)	(306,961)	(702,277)	(702,277)
	TOTAL REVE	INUES			
EXPENDI	TURES				
	5500 OTHER CHARGES	103,620	107,116	121,980	121,980
	TOTAL EXPENDIT	TURES 103,620	107,116	121,980	121,980
	IOTAL EXPENDIT				,
	011402 NET	(103,620)	(107,116)	(121,980)	(121,980)
			(107,116) (107,116)	(121,980) (121,980)	(121,980)
CONTINGENCIES	011402 NET		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(121,980)
	011402 NET		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(121,980)
1	011402 NET ADVERTISING COUNTY RESOURCES NET CONTINGENCIES - GENERAL		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(121,980)
087100	011402 NET ADVERTISING COUNTY RESOURCES NET CONTINGENCIES - GENERAL		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(121,980) (121,980)
087100	011402 NET ADVERTISING COUNTY RESOURCES NET CONTINGENCIES - GENERAL TURES	COST (103,620)	,	(121,980)	(121,980) (121,980) 835,270
087100	011402 NET ADVERTISING COUNTY RESOURCES NET CONTINGENCIES - GENERAL TURES 5900 RESERVES TOTAL EXPENDIT	COST (103,620)	,	(121,980) 843,412	
087100	011402 NET ADVERTISING COUNTY RESOURCES NET CONTINGENCIES - GENERAL TURES 5900 RESERVES	COST (103,620)	,	(121,980) 843,412	(121,980) (121,980) 835,270

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF	DATE: 12/31/2020	1

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DATE	2:02/09/2021			
			Working	Mid-Yea
	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budge
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-2
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	(130)			
TOTAL REVENUES	(130)			
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	673,777	321,941	671,967	670,92
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	11,368	84,578	146,550	142,02
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	18,311	10,756	24,466	30,034
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	703,456	417,275	842,983	842,98
	100,100	,210	012,000	012,000
010200 NET COST	(703,586)	(417,275)	(842,983)	(842,983
COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER NET COST	(703,586)	(417,275)	(842,983)	(842,983
ONOMIC DEVELOPMENT		· · ·	· · ·	
010202 CAO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT				
REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES		5,000		
TOTAL REVENUES		5,000		
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	11,497	20,945	106,680	106,68
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	123,982	454,523	787,736	787,23
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	88	32	50	55
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	135,567	475,500	894,466	894,46
-				
010202 NET COST	(135,567)	(470,500)	(894,466)	(894,466
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT NET COST	(135,567)	(470,500)	(894,466)	(894,466
FORMATION SERVICES				
011801 INFORMATION SERVICES				
REVENUES				
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	416,514	122,961	428,735	428,73
TOTAL REVENUES	416,514	122,961	428,735	428,73
-				
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	1,366,818	744,435	1,525,979	1,525,97
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	646,009	489,273	742,774	742,774
	36,677	19,087	41,295	41,29
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES			2 240 049	2,310,04
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	2,049,504	1,252,795	2,310,048	
	2,049,504	1,252,795	(1,881,313)	(1,881,313

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

Ē

	Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Working Budget FY 2020-21	Mid-Yea Budgo FY 2020-2
INFORMATION SERVICES NET COST	(1,632,990)	(1,129,834)	(1,881,313)	(1,881,31
OFFICE OF DISASTER SERVICES				
023700 OFFICE OF DISASTER SERVICES				
REVENUES				
4900 OTHER REVENUE		215		
TOTAL REVENUES		215		
	70.400	07 507	77 440	4
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	79,406	37,587	77,448	77,44
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	64,424	24,167	56,656	56,65
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	7,331	2,921 64,675	13,257 147,361	13,28
I UTAL EXPENDITURES	151,161	64,075	147,301	147,30
023700 NET COST	(151,161)	(64,460)	(147,361)	(147,36
-			,	
OFFICE OF DISASTER SERVICES NET COST	(151,161)	(64,460)	(147,361)	(147,36
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES 4900 OTHER REVENUE	18,000 8,000 3,770	8,000	18,000 8,000	18,0 8,0
TOTAL REVENUES	29,770	8,000	26,000	26,00
			- /	20,0
EXPENDITURES				20,00
EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	518,514	240,255	498,119	
	518,514 264,446	240,255 351,714		498,1
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS			498,119	498,1 ⁻ 625,80
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	264,446	351,714	498,119 625,807	498,1 625,80 34,90
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	264,446	351,714	498,119 625,807 34,908	498,1 625,8 34,9 85,1
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5900 RESERVES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	264,446 25,537 808,497	351,714 8,976 600,945	498,119 625,807 34,908 294,717 1,453,551	498,1 ⁻ 625,8(34,9(85,1 ⁻ 1,243,95
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5900 RESERVES	264,446 25,537	351,714 8,976	498,119 625,807 34,908 294,717	498,1 ⁻ 625,8(34,9(85,1 ⁻ 1,243,95
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5900 RESERVES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	264,446 25,537 808,497	351,714 8,976 600,945	498,119 625,807 34,908 294,717 1,453,551	498,11 625,80 34,90 85,17 1,243,95 (1,217,95
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5900 RESERVES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	264,446 25,537 808,497 (778,727)	351,714 8,976 600,945 (592,945)	498,119 625,807 34,908 294,717 1,453,551 (1,427,551)	498,1 ⁻ 625,8(34,9(85,1 ⁻ 1,243,9((1,217,95
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5900 RESERVES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 010800 NET COST PERSONNEL NET COST	264,446 25,537 808,497 (778,727)	351,714 8,976 600,945 (592,945)	498,119 625,807 34,908 294,717 1,453,551 (1,427,551)	498,1 ⁻ 625,8(34,9(85,1 ⁻ 1,243,9((1,217,95
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5900 RESERVES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 010800 NET COST PERSONNEL NET COST PUBLIC DEFENDER	264,446 25,537 808,497 (778,727)	351,714 8,976 600,945 (592,945)	498,119 625,807 34,908 294,717 1,453,551 (1,427,551)	498,1 ² 625,80 34,90 85,1 ² 1,243,95 (1,217,95
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5900 RESERVES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 010800 NET COST PERSONNEL NET COST PUBLIC DEFENDER 022600 PUBLIC DEFENDER	264,446 25,537 808,497 (778,727)	351,714 8,976 600,945 (592,945)	498,119 625,807 34,908 294,717 1,453,551 (1,427,551)	498,1 625,8 34,9 85,1 1,243,9 (1,217,95 (1,217,95
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5900 RESERVES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 010800 NET COST PERSONNEL NET COST PUBLIC DEFENDER 022600 PUBLIC DEFENDER REVENUES	264,446 25,537 808,497 (778,727) (778,727)	351,714 8,976 600,945 (592,945) (592,945)	498,119 625,807 34,908 294,717 1,453,551 (1,427,551) (1,427,551)	498,1 ⁻ 625,8(34,9(85,1 ⁻ 1,243,9((1,217,95

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DAT	E:02/09/2021		Working	Mid Voo
	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working Budget	Mid-Yea Budgo
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	Budge FY 2020-2
EXPENDITURES	11201020	11202021	11202021	1120202
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	623,842	615,192	791,125	791,12
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	33	13	150	150
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	623,875	615,205	791,275	791,275
	023,075	013,203	791,275	791,275
022600 NET COST	(422,050)	(550,892)	(671,748)	(671,748)
PUBLIC DEFENDER NET COST	(422,050)	(550,892)	(671,748)	(671,748
	(422,000)	(000,002)	(011,140)	
RISK MANAGEMENT 010900 RISK MANAGEMENT				
REVENUES				
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	235,054	121,439	242,878	242,878
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	200,001	121,100	40,000	40,000
4900 OTHER REVENUE		309	10,000	10,000
TOTAL REVENUES	235,054	121,748	282,878	282,878
	200,004	121,740	202,070	202,070
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	210,701	112,794	254,916	254,916
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	7,334	5,601	15,571	16,496
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	8,950	3,905	12,391	11,466
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	226,985	122,300	282,878	282,878
010900 NET COST	8,069	(552)		
RISK MANAGEMENT NET COST	8,069	(552)		
COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER NET COST	(3,919,632)	(3,333,574)	(6,830,814)	(6,613,073)
CULTURAL SERVICES				
ADVERTISING COUNTY RESOURCES				
011400 ADVERTISING COUNTY RESOURCES				
REVENUES				
TOTAL REVENUES				
EXPENDITURES				
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	34,245	45,000	100,500	100,500
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	44		2,000	2,000
5500 OTHER CHARGES	152,207	61,755	217,055	217,05
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	186,496	106,755	319,555	319,555

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DA	TE: 02/09/2021 Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Working Budget FY 2020-21	Mid-Yea Budg FY 2020-2
ADVERTISING COUNTY RESOURCES NET COST	(186,496)	(106,755)	(319,555)	(319,55
COUNTY LIBRARY				
066700 COUNTY LIBRARY REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	13,310	1,500	216,408	217,9
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	1,229		2,004	3
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES			22,400	22,4
4900 OTHER REVENUE	3,724	4 500	4,050	1
TOTAL REVENUES	18,263	1,500	244,862	240,7
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	464,583	255,504	533,952	531,4
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	59,607	19,140	85,979	85,4
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	22,605	13,060	30,179	29,0
5600 FIXED ASSETS			195,400	195,4
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	546,795	287,704	845,510	841,4
066700 NET COST	(528,532)	(286,204)	(600,648)	(600,64
COUNTY LIBRARY NET COST	(528,532)	(286,204)	(600,648)	(600,64
	(020,002)	(200,204)	(000,040)	(000,0-
LAW LIBRARY				
022300 LAW LIBRARY REVENUES				
4200 FINES & FORFEITURES	6,582		7,000	7,0
TOTAL REVENUES	6,582		7,000	7,0
EXPENDITURES				
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	6,582	2,868	27,397	27,3
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	6,582	2,868	27,397	27,3
022300 NET COST		(2.868)	(20,397)	(20,39
		()/		
LAW LIBRARY NET COST		(2,868)	(20,397)	(20,39
MUSEUM				
077000 MUSEUM - GENERAL REVENUES				
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	329	293	60	3
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES			25,000	34,7
	10 115	8,452	26,500	35,1
4900 OTHER REVENUE	13,445	0,432	20,300	55,1

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 NON DATE	E.02/09/2021			
			Working	Mid-Yea
	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budge
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	208,019	104,598	219,498	219,498
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	22,454	8,249	47,600	66,204
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	8,433	1,919	10,342	10,342
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	238,906	114,766	277,440	296,044
077000 NET COST	(225,132)	(106,021)	(225,880)	(225,880)
MUSEUM NET COST	(225,132)	(106,021)	(225,880)	(225,880)
CAO CULTURAL SERVICES NET COST	(940,160)	(501,848)	(1,166,480)	(1,166,480)
	(040,100)	(001,040)	(1,100,400)	(1,100,400)
MP, SOLID WASTE & PARKS PARKS AND RECREATION				
076999 PARKS & RECREATION				
REVENUES				
4300 RENTS & LEASES	18,252	2,500	12,500	12,500
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	1,897	830	1,968	1,968
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	191,940	172,838	183,514	183,514
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	309,895	202,681	262,000	262,000
4900 OTHER REVENUE	247	208	140	140
TOTAL REVENUES	522,231	379,057	460,122	460,122
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	405,015	227,134	465,087	465,087
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	329,871	196,088	438,245	438,245
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	142,895	38,960	119,134	119,134
5500 OTHER CHARGES	20,873	3,270	45,000	45,000
5600 FIXED ASSETS	24,642	78,111	81,111	81,111
5800 OTHER FINANCING USES			40,000	40,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	923,296	543,563	1,188,577	1,188,577
076999 NET COST	(401,065)	(164,506)	(728,455)	(728,455)
070999 NET COST _	(401,003)	(104,500)	(728,455)	
PARKS AND RECREATION NET COST	(401,065)	(164,506)	(728,455)	(728,455)
CAO MP, SOLID WASTE & PARKS NET COST	(401,065)	(164,506)	(728,455)	(728,455)
DNER				
CORONER 023500 CORONER				
REVENUES				
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	158	15	150	150
	.50	.0		.00

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DAT	E:02/09/2021			
			Working	Mid-Year
	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budget
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
TOTAL REVENUES	158	15	150	150
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	80,095	38,601	79,974	79,974
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	87,368	92,862	144,084	144,084
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	1,836	917	1,924	1,924
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	169,299	132,380	225,982	225,982
023500 NET COST	(169,141)	(132,365)	(225,832)	(225,832)
CORONER NET COST	(169,141)	(132,365)	(225,832)	(225,832)
CORONER NET COST	(169,141)	(132,365)	(225,832)	(225,832)
CLERK				
COUNTY CLERK				
010300 COUNTY CLERK - GENERAL REVENUES				
4050 TAXES - OTHER	93,075	49,515	71,000	71,000
4100 LICENSES & PERMITS	7,722	5,162	6,500	6,500
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	65,504	35,631	50,800	50,800
TOTAL REVENUES	166,301	90,308	128,300	128,300
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	285,197	171,445	312,904	330,911
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	2,596	135	1,850	1,850
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	25,627	25,327	53,975	53,975
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	313,420	196,907	368,729	386,736
010300 NET COST	(147,119)	(106,599)	(240,429)	(258,436)
COUNTY CLERK NET COST	(147,119)	(106,599)	(240,429)	(258,436)
ELECTIONS	(,)	(,)	(,)	(
011000 ELECTIONS				
REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	10,616		47,637	47,637
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	21,736	9,286	14,800	14,800
4000 CHARGES FOR CORRENT SERVICES 4900 OTHER REVENUE	-	9,286 553	14,000	14,000
4900 OTHER REVENUE	1,464 33,816	9,839	62,437	62,437
	,0	-,	,	,
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	167,552	110,255	179,027	213,917

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RU

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DAT	E:02/09/2021			
	Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Working Budget FY 2020-21	Mid-Year Budget FY 2020-21
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	7,250	4,050	7,072	8,009
5800 OTHER FINANCING USES		4,382	10,000	10,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	299,860	213,842	331,124	366,014
011000 NET COST	(266,044)	(204,003)	(268,687)	(303,577)
ELECTIONS NET COST	(266,044)	(204,003)	(268,687)	(303,577)
COUNTY CLERK NET COST	(413,163)	(310,602)	(509,116)	(562,013)
NTY COUNSEL COUNTY COUNSEL 010700 COUNTY COUNSEL REVENUES				
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	157,380	39,976	416,201	416,201
TOTAL REVENUES	157,380	39,976	416,201	416,201
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	713,565	360,342	705,948	705,948
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	69,293	350,549	416,389	416,389
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	89,817	59,346	129,191	129,191
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	872,675	770,237	1,251,528	1,251,528
010700 NET COST	(715,295)	(730,261)	(835,327)	(835,327)
COUNTY COUNSEL NET COST	(715,295)	(730,261)	(835,327)	(835,327)
COUNTY COUNSEL NET COST	(715,295)	(730,261)	(835,327)	(835,327)
RICT ATTORNEY DISTRICT ATTORNEY 022400 DISTRICT ATTORNEY REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	180,649	75,803	167,197	167,197
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	69,842	30,409	67,053	67,053
TOTAL REVENUES	250,491	106,212	234,250	234,250
EXPENDITURES		541,113	1,145,130	1,080,715
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	1,071,787	541,115		
	1,071,787 77,732	34,770	110,014	110,014
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS			110,014 73,334	110,014 73,334

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DATE	:02/09/2021			
	Drien Astuals		Working	Mid-Year
	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budget
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
022400 NET COST	(965,621)	(501,930)	(1,094,228)	(1,029,813)
·				
022410 DISTRICT ATTORNEY - SAFETY REVENUES				
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	6,851	10,697	20,000	20,000
TOTAL REVENUES	6,851	10,697	20,000	20,000
TOTAL REVENCES	0,001	10,097	20,000	20,000
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	469,031	278,078	479,780	544,195
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	28,497	51,587	103,173	103,173
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	497,528	329,665	582,953	647,368
	101,020	020,000	002,000	011,000
022410 NET COST	(490,677)	(318,968)	(562,953)	(627,368)
	(100,011)	(010,000)	(002,000)	(021,000)
DISTRICT ATTORNEY NET COST	(1,456,298)	(820,898)	(1,657,181)	(1,657,181)
DISTRICT ATTORNEY NET COST	(1,456,298)	(820,898)	(1,657,181)	(1,657,181)
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH				
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH				
045400 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH - GENERAL				
REVENUES				
4100 LICENSES & PERMITS	115,785	82,752	111,290	111,290
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	366,162	215,508	472,375	472,375
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	328,540	98,916	389,279	389,279
TOTAL REVENUES	810,487	397,176	972,944	972,944
—	-	-		
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	789,816	424,489	1,067,974	988,266
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	52,366	89,100	141,590	218,650
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	181,500	71,887	159,450	162,098
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,023,682	585,476	1,369,014	1,369,014
		, -	. ,	
	(212 105)	(100.200)	(396,070)	(206.070)
045400 NET COST	(213,195)	(188,300)	(380,070)	(396,070)
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH NET COST	(213,195)	(188,300)	(396,070)	(396,070)
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH NET COST	(213,195)	(188,300)	(396,070)	(396,070)

FARM ADVISOR

FARM ADVISOR 066800 FARM ADVISOR REVENUES

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

	AS OF DATE: 12/31/202		:02/09/2021			
					Working	Mid-Yea
			Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budget
			FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
			38,008	44,925	44,222	44,222
	10	TAL REVENUES	38,008	44,925	44,222	44,222
EXPENDITU	RES					
	5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS		70,212	41,498	74,089	74,089
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES		4,009	972	18,650	18,650
	5200 INTERNAL CHARGES		60,554	24,207	63,057	63,057
	TOTAL	EXPENDITURES	134,775	66,677	155,796	155,796
	06	6800 NET COST	(96,767)	(21,752)	(111,574)	(111,574)
	FARM ADV	ISOR NET COST	(96,767)	(21,752)	(111,574)	(111,574)
	FARM ADV	ISOR NET COST	(96,767)	(21,752)	(111,574)	(111,574)
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
& HUMAN SERVICES						
AID TO FAMILIES-DEI						
056300 TA	NF (AFDC)					
REVENUES						
	4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AG	SENCIES	678,224	145,005	725,000	723,355
·	4900 OTHER REVENUE		8,045	1,645		1,645
	ТО	TAL REVENUES	686,269	146,650	725,000	725,000
EXPENDITU	RES					
	5500 OTHER CHARGES		686,269	354,840	725,000	725,000
	TOTAL	EXPENDITURES	686,269	354,840	725,000	725,000
	05	6300 NET COST		(208,190)		
	AID TO FAMILIES-DEPENDENT C	CHLD NET COST		(208,190)		
COMMUNITY MENTAI		CHLD NET COST		(208,190)		
1		CHLD NET COST		(208,190)		
045200 CC REVENUES	LHEALTH		52,942	(208,190)	60,000	60.000
045200 CC REVENUES	L HEALTH DMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	PPERTY	52,942 2,967,205		60,000 5,409,295	
045200 CC REVENUES	L HEALTH DMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PRC	PPERTY GENCIES	,	18,812		5,409,295
045200 CC REVENUES	L HEALTH DMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PRO 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AG	DPERTY GENCIES GERVICES	2,967,205	18,812 1,662,579	5,409,295	5,409,295
045200 CC REVENUES	L HEALTH DMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PRC 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AG 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT S 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURC	DPERTY GENCIES GERVICES	2,967,205 1,005,039	18,812 1,662,579	5,409,295	5,409,295 1,044,000
045200 CC REVENUES	L HEALTH DMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PRO 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AG 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT S 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURC 70	OPERTY GENCIES GERVICES ES	2,967,205 1,005,039 1,692,023	18,812 1,662,579 484,120	5,409,295 1,044,000	5,409,295 1,044,000
045200 CC REVENUES	L HEALTH DMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PRO 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AG 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT S 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURC 70 RES	OPERTY GENCIES GERVICES ES	2,967,205 1,005,039 1,692,023 5,717,209	18,812 1,662,579 484,120 2,165,511	5,409,295 1,044,000 6,513,295	5,409,295 1,044,000 6,513,295
045200 CC REVENUES	L HEALTH DMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PRO 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AG 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT S 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURC 70 RES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	OPERTY GENCIES GERVICES ES	2,967,205 1,005,039 1,692,023 5,717,209 3,956,959	18,812 1,662,579 484,120 2,165,511 2,207,277	5,409,295 1,044,000 6,513,295 4,237,893	5,409,295 1,044,000 6,513,295 4,237,893
045200 CC REVENUES	L HEALTH DMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PRO 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AG 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT S 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURC 70 RES	OPERTY GENCIES GERVICES ES	2,967,205 1,005,039 1,692,023 5,717,209	18,812 1,662,579 484,120 2,165,511	5,409,295 1,044,000 6,513,295	60,000 5,409,295 1,044,000 6,513,295 4,237,893 704,813 1,219,939

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020	
------------------------	--

			Working	Mid-Year
	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budget
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
5500 OTHER CHARGES	271,155	44,734	179,138	179,138
5800 OTHER FINANCING USES	2,826	99,307	216,826	216,826
TOTAL EXPENDIT	5,828,700	3,129,556	6,558,609	6,558,609
045200 NET	COST (111,491)	(964,045)	(45,314)	(45,314)
COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH NET	COST (111,491)	(964,045)	(45,314)	(45,314)
CRIPPLED CHILDREN SERVICE				
045500 CALIFORNIA CHILDREN SERVICE REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	11,671		21,417	21,417
TOTAL REVE	NUES 11,671		21,417	21,417
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	12,358	4,827	13,301	13,301
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	945	244	7,906	7,906
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	127	105	210	210
TOTAL EXPENDIT	URES 13,430	5,176	21,417	21,417
045500 NET	COST (1,759)	(5,176)		
045501 CALIFORNIA CHILD SERVICE-ADMIN REVENUES				
	94,829	25,495	101,611	101,611
REVENUES		25,495 25,495	101,611 101,611	-
REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES			-	-
REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVE			-	-
REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVE EXPENDITURES	NUES 94,829	25,495	101,611	101,611
REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVE EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	NUES 94,829 80,863	25,495 38,047	101,611 83,999	101,611 83,999 2,486
REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVE EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	NUES 94,829 80,863 2,198	25,495 38,047 499	101,611 83,999 2,486	101,611 83,999 2,486 2,162
REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVE 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	NUES 94,829 80,863 2,198 2,212 12,556	25,495 38,047 499 862	101,611 83,999 2,486 2,162	101,611 83,999 2,486 2,162 13,000
REVENUES4400AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVEEXPENDITURES5000SALARIES & BENEFITS5000SALARIES & SUPPLIES5100SERVICES & SUPPLIES5200INTERNAL CHARGES5500OTHER CHARGESTOTAL EXPENDIT	NUES 94,829 80,863 2,198 2,212 12,556 URES 97,829	25,495 38,047 499 862 1,470	101,611 83,999 2,486 2,162 13,000	101,611 83,999 2,486 2,162 13,000
REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVE EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5500 OTHER CHARGES	NUES 94,829 80,863 2,198 2,212 12,556 URES 97,829	25,495 38,047 499 862 1,470	101,611 83,999 2,486 2,162 13,000	101,611 83,999 2,486 2,162 13,000 101,647
REVENUES4400AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVEEXPENDITURES5000SALARIES & BENEFITS5000SALARIES & SUPPLIES5100SERVICES & SUPPLIES5200INTERNAL CHARGES5500OTHER CHARGESTOTAL EXPENDIT	NUES 94,829 80,863 2,198 2,212 12,556 URES 97,829 COST (3,000)	25,495 38,047 499 862 1,470 40,878	101,611 83,999 2,486 2,162 13,000 101,647	101,611 83,999 2,486 2,162 13,000 101,647 (36)
REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVE EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDIT	NUES 94,829 80,863 2,198 2,212 12,556 URES 97,829 COST (3,000)	25,495 38,047 499 862 1,470 40,878 (15,383)	101,611 83,999 2,486 2,162 13,000 101,647 (36)	101,611 83,999 2,486 2,162 13,000 101,647 (36)
REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SALARIES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5500 OTHER CHARGES 5500 OTHER CHARGES O45501 NET CRIPPLED CHILDREN SERVICE NET	NUES 94,829 80,863 2,198 2,212 12,556 URES 97,829 COST (3,000)	25,495 38,047 499 862 1,470 40,878 (15,383)	101,611 83,999 2,486 2,162 13,000 101,647 (36)	101,611 83,999 2,486 2,162 13,000 101,647 (36)
REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVE EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDIT 045501 NET CRIPPLED CHILDREN SERVICE NET	NUES 94,829 80,863 2,198 2,212 12,556 URES 97,829 COST (3,000)	25,495 38,047 499 862 1,470 40,878 (15,383)	101,611 83,999 2,486 2,162 13,000 101,647 (36)	101,611 83,999 2,486 2,162

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

					Working	Mid Voo
			Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working Budget	Mid-Yea Budge
			FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	Budget FY 2020-21	Budge FY 2020-21
	4600 CHARGES FOR CURR		81,900	9,079	85,000	84,85
	4800 OTHER FINANCING SC		4,831	9,079	3,000	3,000
	4900 OTHER REVENUE	JUNCES	4,031	144	3,000	3,000 144
		TOTAL REVENUES	87,869	8,855	88,950	88,950
			- ,	-,	,	,
EXPENDITU	RES					
ł	5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	S	198,402	91,559	197,192	197,192
ŧ	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIE	S	28,402	46,235	104,338	102,901
ł	5200 INTERNAL CHARGES		40,997	18,480	44,320	45,757
ł	5600 FIXED ASSETS		14,190			
ŧ	5800 OTHER FINANCING US	SES	94,846	57	94,950	94,950
	тс	DTAL EXPENDITURES	376,837	156,331	440,800	440,800
		056100 NET COST	(288,968)	(147,476)	(351,850)	(351,850)
		ESAAA NET COST	(288,968)	(147,476)	(351,850)	(351,850)
OSTER CARE 056400 FO REVENUES	STER CARE - GENERAL					
4	4400 AID FROM OTHER GO	VT AGENCIES	348,842	191,014	600,000	596,959
	4400 AID FROM OTHER GO 4900 OTHER REVENUE	VT AGENCIES	348,842 8,919	191,014 3,041	600,000	-
		VT AGENCIES		-	600,000	3,041
	4900 OTHER REVENUE	_	8,919	3,041		3,041
EXPENDITU	4900 OTHER REVENUE	_	8,919 357,761	3,041 194,055	600,000	3,04
EXPENDITU	4900 OTHER REVENUE RES 5500 OTHER CHARGES	_	8,919	3,041		3,041 600,000 600,000
EXPENDITU	4900 OTHER REVENUE RES 5500 OTHER CHARGES	TOTAL REVENUES	8,919 357,761 357,761	3,041 194,055 329,517	600,000	596,959 3,041 600,000 600,000
EXPENDITU	4900 OTHER REVENUE RES 5500 OTHER CHARGES	TOTAL REVENUES	8,919 357,761 357,761	3,041 194,055 329,517	600,000	3,041 600,000 600,000
EXPENDITU	4900 OTHER REVENUE RES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TC	TOTAL REVENUES	8,919 357,761 357,761	3,041 194,055 329,517 329,517	600,000	3,041 600,000 600,000
EXPENDITUI	4900 OTHER REVENUE RES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TC	TOTAL REVENUES	8,919 357,761 357,761	3,041 194,055 329,517 329,517 (135,462)	600,000	3,04 600,000 600,000
EXPENDITUI	4900 OTHER REVENUE RES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TC	TOTAL REVENUES	8,919 357,761 357,761	3,041 194,055 329,517 329,517 (135,462)	600,000	3,04 600,000 600,000
EXPENDITUI	4900 OTHER REVENUE RES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TC FOS	TOTAL REVENUES	8,919 357,761 357,761	3,041 194,055 329,517 329,517 (135,462)	600,000	3,04 600,000 600,000
EXPENDITUI	4900 OTHER REVENUE RES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TC FOS	TOTAL REVENUES	8,919 357,761 357,761	3,041 194,055 329,517 329,517 (135,462)	600,000	3,041 600,000 600,000
EXPENDITUI	4900 OTHER REVENUE RES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TC FOS	TOTAL REVENUES	8,919 357,761 357,761 357,761	3,041 194,055 329,517 329,517 (135,462) (135,462)	600,000	3,041 600,000 600,000
EXPENDITUI	4900 OTHER REVENUE RES 5500 OTHER CHARGES FOS ENERAL RELIEF 4400 AID FROM OTHER GO	TOTAL REVENUES	8,919 357,761 357,761 357,761 357,761	3,041 194,055 329,517 329,517 (135,462) (135,462) 9,058	600,000 600,000 600,000	3,04 600,000 600,000
EXPENDITUI	4900 OTHER REVENUE RES 5500 OTHER CHARGES FOS ENERAL RELIEF 4400 AID FROM OTHER GO 4800 OTHER FINANCING SC	TOTAL REVENUES	8,919 357,761 357,761 357,761 357,761 357,761 357,223 621	3,041 194,055 329,517 329,517 (135,462) (135,462) (135,462) 9,058 532	<u>600,000</u> <u>600,000</u> <u>600,000</u>	3,04 600,000 600,000
EXPENDITUI	4900 OTHER REVENUE RES 5500 OTHER CHARGES FOS ENERAL RELIEF 4400 AID FROM OTHER GO 4800 OTHER FINANCING SC	TOTAL REVENUES	8,919 357,761 357,761 357,761 357,761 357,761 357,223 621	3,041 194,055 329,517 329,517 (135,462) (135,462) (135,462) 9,058 532	<u>600,000</u> <u>600,000</u> <u>600,000</u>	3,041 600,000 600,000 600,000
EXPENDITUI	4900 OTHER REVENUE RES 5500 OTHER CHARGES FOS ENERAL RELIEF 4400 AID FROM OTHER GO' 4800 OTHER FINANCING SC RES	TOTAL REVENUES	8,919 357,761 357,761 357,761 357,761 3,223 621 3,844	3,041 194,055 329,517 329,517 (135,462) (135,462) (135,462) 9,058 532 9,590	600,000 600,000 600,000 450 450	3,041 600,000 600,000

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF D	DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DA	ATE: 02/09/2021			
				Working	Mid-Year
		Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budge
		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
	056500 NET COST	(166,305)	(74,889)	(174,550)	(174,550)
		(100,000)	(14,000)	(174,000)	(114,000)
	GENERAL RELIEF NET COST	(166,305)	(74,889)	(174,550)	(174,550)
ALTH					
045100 HEALTH - GENERAL					
REVENUES					
4100 LICENSES & I	PERMITS	663	223	605	605
4200 FINES & FOR	FEITURES	37	53	10,000	10,000
4400 AID FROM OT	HER GOVT AGENCIES	1,325,544	522,013	1,741,788	1,785,090
4600 CHARGES FC	OR CURRENT SERVICES	177,937	39,442	205,502	205,502
4800 OTHER FINAN	NCING SOURCES	55,760	2,204	563,590	563,590
	TOTAL REVENUES	-	563,935	2,521,485	2,564,787
EXPENDITURES					
5000 SALARIES & E	BENEFITS	1,326,343	800,444	1,578,480	1,616,782
5100 SERVICES &		372.994	207,592	670,607	670,607
5200 INTERNAL CH		271,527	207,081	435,150	438,150
5500 OTHER CHAR		63,372	5,228	85,500	87,50
5800 OTHER FINAN		817	817	100,817	100,817
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES		1,221,162	2,870,554	2,913,856
			1,221,102	2,010,001	2,010,000
	045100 NET COS1	(475,112)	(657,227)	(349,069)	(349,069)
045102 CHILD HLTH AND DIS	ABILITY PREV				
REVENUES					
4400 AID FROM OT	HER GOVT AGENCIES	58,493	9,807	91,784	91,784
	TOTAL REVENUES	58,493	9,807	91,784	91,784
EXPENDITURES					
5000 SALARIES & E	BENEFITS	84,534	36,157	80,898	80,898
5100 SERVICES &	SUPPLIES	2,930	1,026	8,336	8,336
5200 INTERNAL CH	IARGES	2,067	925	2,583	2,583
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES		38,108	91,817	91,817
	045102 NET COST	(31,038)	(28,301)	(33)	(33)
	HEALTH NET COST	(506,150)	(685,528)	(349,102)	(349,102)
				i	
CIAL SERVICE 055800 SOCIAL SERVICES - 0	GENERAL				
REVENUES					
4400 AID FROM OT	HER GOVT AGENCIES	5,804,986	3,308,420	7,677,188	8,039,188

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

				Working Budget	Mid-Yea Budge
				Budget	Budae
		Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	-	
		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-2
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOUR	CES	713,416			
T	OTAL REVENUES	6,518,402	3,308,420	7,677,188	8,039,188
EXPENDITURES					
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS		4,157,475	2,344,198	4,664,543	4,664,543
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES		739,247	408,545	842,611	1,006,61
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES		1,397,403	687,036	1,514,807	1,490,80
5500 OTHER CHARGES		654,296	241,307	499,856	499,856
5800 OTHER FINANCING USES	_	3,960	3,960	323,960	545,960
ΤΟΤΑΙ	L EXPENDITURES	6,952,381	3,685,046	7,845,777	8,207,777
	055800 NET COST	(433,979)	(376,626)	(168,589)	(168,589
		(100,010)	(010,020)	(100,000)	(100,000
SOCIAL SE	RVICE NET COST	(433,979)	(376,626)	(168,589)	(168,589
HEALTH & HUMAN SER	VICES NET COST	(1,511,652)	(2,612,775)	(1,089,441)	(1,089,441
EL ERSONNEL 011600 INSURANCE, RETIREMENT, OASD REVENUES					
EL ERSONNEL 011600 INSURANCE, RETIREMENT, OASD REVENUES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT	SERVICES	1,267,665	662,031	1,404,945	1,404,94
EL ERSONNEL 011600 INSURANCE, RETIREMENT, OASD REVENUES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT		1,267,665 1,267,665	662,031 662,031	1,404,945 1,404,945	1,404,94 1,404,94
EL ERSONNEL 011600 INSURANCE, RETIREMENT, OASD REVENUES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT	SERVICES		-		
EL ERSONNEL 011600 INSURANCE, RETIREMENT, OASD REVENUES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT 7	SERVICES		-		1,404,94
EL ERSONNEL 011600 INSURANCE, RETIREMENT, OASD REVENUES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT T EXPENDITURES	SERVICES	1,267,665	662,031	1,404,945	1,404,94 3,119,17
EL ERSONNEL 011600 INSURANCE, RETIREMENT, OASD REVENUES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT T EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	SERVICES	1,267,665 2,758,205	662,031 1,413,552	1,404,945 3,119,177	1,404,94 3,119,17 187,54
EL ERSONNEL 011600 INSURANCE, RETIREMENT, OASD REVENUES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT T EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	I SERVICES OTAL REVENUES	1,267,665 2,758,205 153,635	662,031 1,413,552 140,260	1,404,945 3,119,177 187,541	1,404,94 3,119,17 187,54
EL ERSONNEL 011600 INSURANCE, RETIREMENT, OASD REVENUES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT 7 EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 707A	I SERVICES OTAL REVENUES	1,267,665 2,758,205 153,635	662,031 1,413,552 140,260	1,404,945 3,119,177 187,541	
EL ERSONNEL 011600 INSURANCE, RETIREMENT, OASD REVENUES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT T EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES TOTAL	I SERVICES OTAL REVENUES	1,267,665 2,758,205 153,635 2,911,840	662,031 1,413,552 140,260 1,553,812	1,404,945 3,119,177 187,541 3,306,718	1,404,94 3,119,17 187,54 3,306,71

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

			Working	Mid-Year
	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budget
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
TOTAL REVENUES	245,757	39,897	338,485	338,485
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	563,957	286,115	595,034	595,034
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	122,760	3,826	281,953	281,953
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	28,056	10,314	40,104	40,104
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	714,773	300,255	917,091	917,091
023800 NET COST	(469,016)	(260,358)	(578,606)	(578,606)
1 1	(100,010)	()	(0.0,000)	(0.0,000)
PLANNING AND ZONING NET COST	(469,016)	(260,358)	(578,606)	(578,606)
	· · · ·			· · ·
PLANNING NET COST	(469,016)	(260,358)	(578,606)	(578,606)
PROBATION				
023100 JUVENILE INSTITUTIONS				
REVENUES	747 700	004545		
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	717,736	334,545	868,514	868,514
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	5 062	1,674 7,527	7 040	7 040
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	5,062 60	82	7,242	7,242
TOTAL REVENUES	722,858	343,828	875,756	875,756
	122,000	343,020	075,750	075,750
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	1,203,975	634,007	1,336,758	1,336,758
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	79,718	72,729	216,797	216,797
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	108,531	31,417	84,518	84,518
5500 OTHER CHARGES			27,000	27,000
5600 FIXED ASSETS			6,500	6,500
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,392,224	738,153	1,671,573	1,671,573
023100 NET COST	(669,366)	(394,325)	(795,817)	(795,817)
023101 OUT OF COUNTY-JUVENILE HALL				
	74 000	22.020	74.000	74.040
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	71,339	32,030	74,000	74,018
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	122,497	34,556	144,875	144,857
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	11,990 205,826	2,180 68,766	18,000 236,875	18,000 236,875
TOTAL EXPENDITORES	205,620	00,700	230,075	230,675
023101 NET COST	(205,826)	(68,766)	(236,875)	(236,875)
	(200,020)	(00,700)	(200,070)	(200,010)

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

	Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Working Budget FY 2020-21	Mid-Year Budget FY 2020-21
JUVENILE INSTITUIONS NET COST	(875,192)	(463,091)	(1,032,692)	(1,032,692)
PROBATION				
023000 PROBATION - GENERAL REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	438,441	168,631	580,340	580,340
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	178,611	49,728	412,339	412,339
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	4,518			
4900 OTHER REVENUE		20		
TOTAL REVENUES	621,570	218,379	992,679	992,679
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	1,052,826	558,257	1,087,038	1,087,038
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	229,835	221,230	514,309	514,309
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	100,270	45,251	111,293	111,293
5500 OTHER CHARGES	5,003	.0,201	,200	,200
5800 OTHER FINANCING USES	0,000	96,481	100,000	100,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,387,934	921,219	1,812,640	1,812,640
023000 NET COST	(766,364)	(702,840)	(819,961)	(819,961)
PROBATION NET COST	(766,364)	(702,840)	(819,961)	(819,961)
PROBATION NET COST	(1,641,556)	(1,165,931)	(1,852,653)	(1,852,653)
UBLIC ADMINISTRATOR PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR 023600 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR REVENUES				
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	1,300		8,000	8,000
TOTAL REVENUES	1,300		8,000	8,000
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	200,006	102,915	206,915	206,915
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	10,605	4,087	12,540	12,540
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	10,938	4,758	13,150	13,150
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	221,549	111,760	232,605	232,605
023600 NET COST	(220,249)	(111,760)	(224,605)	(224,605)
PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR NET COST	(220,249)	(111,760)	(224,605)	(224,605)
	,	,		
PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR NET COST	(220,249)	(111,760)	(224,605)	(224,605)

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 F

			Working	Mid-Yea
	Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Budget FY 2020-21	Budge FY 2020-2
IC WORKS	112013-20	11202021	11202021	112020-2
BUILDING & SAFETY				
023200 BUILDING & SAFETY				
REVENUES				
4100 LICENSES & PERMITS	323,300	142,462	303,500	303,500
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	60,000	15,000	60,000	60,000
4900 OTHER REVENUE	162	106	150	150
TOTAL REVENUES	383,462	157,568	363,650	363,650
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	294,599	171,487	325,613	325,613
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	17,458	3,894	26,543	23,021
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	31,130	3,694 14,433	20,543 37,542	41,064
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		189,814	389,698	389,698
TOTAL EXPENDITORES	343,107	109,014	309,090	
023200 NET COST	40,275	(32,246)	(26,048)	(26,048)
	40.075	(22.2.40)	(20.040)	(00.040)
BUILDING & SAFETY NET COST	40,275	(32,246)	(26,048)	(26,048)
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	455 373,893	76,289	12 349,309	12 349,309
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES		24,157	79,024	79,024
4900 OTHER REVENUE	76			
TOTAL REVENUES	374,424	100,446	428,345	
EXPENDITURES				428,345
				428,345
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	706,878	430.086	860,285	428,345
	706,878 776.500	430,086 510.350	,	860,285
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	776,500	510,350	777,600	860,285 774,600
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	776,500 108,357	510,350 43,051	777,600 104,530	860,285 774,600 107,530
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5550 DEBT SERVICE PRINCIPAL	776,500 108,357 67,552	510,350 43,051 34,030	777,600 104,530 68,247	860,285 774,600 107,530 68,247
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5550 DEBT SERVICE PRINCIPAL 5560 DEBT SERVICE INTEREST	776,500 108,357 67,552 6,549	510,350 43,051 34,030 3,020	777,600 104,530 68,247 5,512	860,285 774,600 107,530 68,247 5,512
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5550 DEBT SERVICE PRINCIPAL	776,500 108,357 67,552 6,549	510,350 43,051 34,030	777,600 104,530 68,247	860,285 774,600 107,530 68,247 5,512
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES5200 INTERNAL CHARGES5550 DEBT SERVICE PRINCIPAL5560 DEBT SERVICE INTEREST	776,500 108,357 67,552 6,549 1,665,836	510,350 43,051 34,030 3,020	777,600 104,530 68,247 5,512	860,285
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5550 DEBT SERVICE PRINCIPAL 5560 DEBT SERVICE INTEREST TOTAL EXPENDITURES 011100 NET COST	776,500 108,357 67,552 6,549 1,665,836 (1,291,412)	510,350 43,051 34,030 3,020 1,020,537 (920,091)	777,600 104,530 68,247 5,512 1,816,174 (1,387,829)	860,285 774,600 107,530 68,247 5,512 1,816,174 (1,387,829)
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5550 DEBT SERVICE PRINCIPAL 5560 DEBT SERVICE INTEREST TOTAL EXPENDITURES	776,500 108,357 67,552 6,549 1,665,836 (1,291,412)	510,350 43,051 34,030 3,020 1,020,537	777,600 104,530 68,247 5,512 1,816,174	860,285 774,600 107,530 68,247 5,512 1,816,174
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5550 DEBT SERVICE PRINCIPAL 5560 DEBT SERVICE INTEREST TOTAL EXPENDITURES 011100 NET COST MAINTENANCE-BUILDINGS & GROUND NET COST PUBLIC WORKS	776,500 108,357 67,552 6,549 1,665,836 (1,291,412)	510,350 43,051 34,030 3,020 1,020,537 (920,091)	777,600 104,530 68,247 5,512 1,816,174 (1,387,829)	860,285 774,600 107,530 68,247 5,512 1,816,174 (1,387,829)
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5550 DEBT SERVICE PRINCIPAL 5560 DEBT SERVICE INTEREST TOTAL EXPENDITURES 011100 NET COST MAINTENANCE-BUILDINGS & GROUND NET COST PUBLIC WORKS 011500 PUBLIC WORKS	776,500 108,357 67,552 6,549 1,665,836 (1,291,412)	510,350 43,051 34,030 3,020 1,020,537 (920,091)	777,600 104,530 68,247 5,512 1,816,174 (1,387,829)	860,285 774,600 107,530 68,247 5,512 1,816,174 (1,387,829)
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5550 DEBT SERVICE PRINCIPAL 5560 DEBT SERVICE INTEREST TOTAL EXPENDITURES 011100 NET COST MAINTENANCE-BUILDINGS & GROUND NET COST PUBLIC WORKS	776,500 108,357 67,552 6,549 1,665,836 (1,291,412)	510,350 43,051 34,030 3,020 1,020,537 (920,091)	777,600 104,530 68,247 5,512 1,816,174 (1,387,829)	860,285 774,600 107,530 68,247 5,512 1,816,174 (1,387,829)

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

				Working	Mid-Year
		Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budget
		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
1	TOTAL REVENUES	263,716	93,094	419,165	419,165
i I					
1	EXPENDITURES				
1	5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	521,691	365,181	687,294	687,294
1	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	4,582	2,731	7,820	7,820
1	5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	188,502	22,010	52,488	52,488
1	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	714,775	389,922	747,602	747,602
1					
	011500 NET COST	(451,059)	(296,828)	(328,437)	(328,437)
1		(101,000)	(200,020)	(020,101)	(020,101)
 	PUBLIC WORKS NET COST	(451,059)	(296,828)	(328,437)	(328,437)
	PUBLIC WORKS NET COST	(1,702,196)	(1,249,165)	(1,742,314)	(1,742,314)
SHERIFF					
	ANIMAL CONTROL				
I I	023900 ANIMAL CONTROL - GENERAL				
1	REVENUES				
1	4100 LICENSES & PERMITS	27,336	1,114	32,000	32,000
1	4200 FINES & FORFEITURES	4,700	2,646	5,000	5,000
1	4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	232,444	102,508	318,131	318,131
1	4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	2,144			
	4900 OTHER REVENUE	21			
1	TOTAL REVENUES	266,645	106,268	355,131	355,131
1					
1	EXPENDITURES				
1	5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	525,203	300,455	563,421	551,421
I I	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	64,879	33,641	92,721	92,721
1	5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	89,866	44,355	78,752	90,752
1	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	679,948	378,451	734,894	734,894
1					
1	023900 NET COST	(413,303)	(272,183)	(379,763)	(379,763)
1	-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,		,
1	ANIMAL CONTROL NET COST	(413,303)	(272,183)	(379,763)	(379,763)
1					
I I	JAIL				
1	022701 KITCHEN SERVICES REVENUES				
1	4900 OTHER REVENUE	237			
1	TOTAL REVENUES	237			
1					
1	EXPENDITURES				
1	5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	401,192	214,729	411,449	411,449
1	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	408,878	189,869	412,960	412,960
			•		

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

	AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DATE	:02/09/2021			
				Working	Mid-Year
		Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budget
		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
5200 INT	ERNAL CHARGES	5,181	3,367	6,733	6,733
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	815,251	407,965	831,142	831,142
	022704 NET COST	(945, 014)	(407.065)	(004 440)	(024.440)
	022701 NET COST _	(815,014)	(407,965)	(831,142)	(831,142)
022900 JAIL - GENI	ERAL				
REVENUES					
4400 AIF	FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	820,483	225,447	698,395	761,816
	ARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	57,790	1,464	231,500	231,556
	HER FINANCING SOURCES	23,570	23,570	26,580	26,580
	HER REVENUE	1,000	20,010	20,000	20,000
4900 01	TOTAL REVENUES	902,843	250,481	958,475	1,021,952
		902,043	230,401	330,473	1,021,352
EXPENDITURES					
5000 SA	LARIES & BENEFITS	2,148,078	1,344,448	2,340,537	2,481,539
5100 SE	RVICES & SUPPLIES	210,656	109,341	219,897	271,822
5200 INT	ERNAL CHARGES	163,194	215,564	433,213	433,213
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	2,521,928	1,669,353	2,993,647	3,186,574
022910 JAIL - SAFE	ETY PERSONNEL	(1,619,085)	(1,418,872)	(2,035,172)	(2,164,622)
REVENUES					
4400 AIE	FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	306,234		300,000	300,000
4600 CH	ARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	2,575	69	4,000	4,000
	TOTAL REVENUES	308,809	69	304,000	304,000
EXPENDITURES		2 4 0 4 29 6	1 021 244	1 0 4 1 9 9 4	1,941,884
		2,104,286	1,031,244	1,941,884	
5100 SE	RVICES & SUPPLIES				
		133	189	1,000	
5200 INT	ERNAL CHARGES	57,688	19,328	38,656	38,656
5200 INT					1,000 38,656 1,981,540
5200 INT	ERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	57,688 2,162,107	19,328 1,050,761	38,656 1,981,540	38,656 1,981,540
5200 INT	ERNAL CHARGES	57,688	19,328	38,656	38,656 1,981,540
022920 JAIL - STC	ERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	57,688 2,162,107	19,328 1,050,761	38,656 1,981,540	38,656 1,981,540
	ERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	57,688 2,162,107	19,328 1,050,761	38,656 1,981,540	38,656 1,981,540
022920 JAIL - STC REVENUES	FERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	57,688 2,162,107 (1,853,298) 16,560	19,328 1,050,761 (1,050,692) 3,551	38,656 1,981,540 (1,677,540) 21,000	38,656 1,981,540 (1,677,540) 13,000
022920 JAIL - STC REVENUES	TERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	57,688 2,162,107 (1,853,298)	19,328 1,050,761 (1,050,692)	38,656 1,981,540 (1,677,540)	38,656 1,981,540 (1,677,540)
022920 JAIL - STC REVENUES 4400 AIE	FERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	57,688 2,162,107 (1,853,298) 16,560	19,328 1,050,761 (1,050,692) 3,551	38,656 1,981,540 (1,677,540) 21,000	38,656 1,981,540 (1,677,540) 13,000
022920 JAIL - STC REVENUES 4400 AIC EXPENDITURES	FERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	57,688 2,162,107 (1,853,298) 16,560	19,328 1,050,761 (1,050,692) 3,551	38,656 1,981,540 (1,677,540) 21,000	38,656 1,981,540 (1,677,540) 13,000

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working Budget	Mid-Year Budget
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	7,572	3,252	16,574	8,574
-				
022920 NET COST	8,988	299	4,426	4,426
022950 JAIL - CAD RMS PROJECT				
REVENUES				
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	34,956	22,337	49,079	49,079
TOTAL REVENUES	34,956	22,337	49,079	49,079
	04.050	00.007	40.070	40.070
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	34,956	22,337	49,079	49,079
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	34,956	22,337	49,079	49,079
022950 NET COST				
JAIL NET COST	(4,278,409)	(2,877,230)	(4,539,428)	(4,668,878)
	<u> </u>			
RIFF 022700 SHERIFF - GENERAL				
REVENUES				
4100 LICENSES & PERMITS	13,018	8,067	15,010	15,010
4200 FINES & FORFEITURES	5,583	100	1,100	1,100
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	821,570	289,482	882,375	882,849
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	92,524	2,604	46,200	46,200
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES			100,625	100,625
4900 OTHER REVENUE	5,995	674		674
TOTAL REVENUES	938,690	300,927	1,045,310	1,046,458
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	1,131,694	627,882	1,260,409	1,260,409
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	521,002	250,975	604,955	526,103
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	881,636	348,600	837,854	917,854
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	2,534,332	1,227,457	2,703,218	2,704,366
			(4.057.000)	(4.057.000)
022700 NET COST	(1,595,642)	(926,530)	(1,657,908)	(1,657,908)
022706 JAIL SECURITY PROJECT REVENUES				
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	63,889	6,172	19,844	19,844
TOTAL REVENUES	63,889	6,172	19,844	19,844
EXPENDITURES				
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	63,889	12,344	19,844	19,844

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS	OF DA	TE: 12/31/2020	R

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DATE	E:02/09/2021			
	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working Budget	Mid-Yea Budge
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-22
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	63,889	12,344	19,844	19,844
022706 NET COST		(6,172)		
022700 NET COST _		(0,172)		
022710 SHERIFF - SAFETY PERSONNEL REVENUES				
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	50,697	39,076	55,543	55,543
TOTAL REVENUES	50,697	39,076	55,543	55,543
EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	4,726,274	2,946,362	5,330,248	5,330,248
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	4,720,274 97	2,540,502	3,330,240 100	100
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	213,132	63,144	126,289	126,289
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	4,939,503	3,009,506	5,456,637	5,456,637
_				
022710 NET COST	(4,888,806)	(2,970,430)	(5,401,094)	(5,401,094
-				
056610 RAN REVENUES				
4200 FINES & FORFEITURES	30,754	13,728	48,488	48,488
TOTAL REVENUES	30,754	13,728	48,488	48,488
-				
EXPENDITURES				
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	30,754	33,335	58,358	58,358
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	30,754	33,335	58,358	58,358
056610 NET COST		(19,607)	(9,870)	(9,870)
SHERIFF NET COST	(6,484,448)	(3,922,739)	(7,068,872)	(7,068,872)
	,			
/ETERANS SERVICE OFFICER 056600 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER				
REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	20,707	54,005	90,847	90,847
TOTAL REVENUES	20,707	54,005	90,847	90,847
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	102,425	61,093	133,563	133,56
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	7,125	2,867	10,204	10,20
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	11,370	4,133	8,974	8,97
5500 OTHER CHARGES	1,236	119	2,000	2,000

	Mid-Year Budget FY 2020-21 (63,894) 10,000 10,000 10,000 (63,894) (12,181,407)
Prior Actuals FY 2019-20 YTD Actuals FY 2020-21 Budget FY 2020-21 056600 NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) 056605 DNA REVENUES 4200 FINES & FORFEITURES 10,000 4200 FINES & FORFEITURES 10,000 TOTAL REVENUES 10,000 EXPENDITURES 10,000 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 10,000 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 10,000 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) SHERIFF NET COST (11,277,609) (7,086,359) (12,051,957)	Budget FY 2020-21 (63,894) 10,000 10,000 10,000 (63,894)
FY 2019-20 FY 2020-21 FY 2020-21 056600 NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) 056605 DNA REVENUES 4200 FINES & FORFEITURES 10,000 4200 FINES & FORFEITURES 10,000 FOTAL REVENUES 10,000 EXPENDITURES 10,000 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 10,000 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 10,000 SHERIFF NET COST (101,449) (14,207) VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) SHERIFF NET COST (11,277,609) (7,086,359) TREASURER TREASURER 10,000	FY 2020-21 (63,894) 10,000 10,000 10,000 (63,894)
056600 NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) 056605 DNA REVENUES 4200 FINES & FORFEITURES 10,000 TOTAL REVENUES 10,000 EXPENDITURES 10,000 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 10,000 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 10,000 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) SHERIFF NET COST (11,277,609) (7,086,359) (12,051,957) IREASURER Interview Interview Interview Interview	(63,894) 10,000 10,000 10,000 (63,894)
056605 DNA REVENUES 4200 FINES & FORFEITURES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 10,000 056605 NET COST VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) SHERIFF NET COST (11,277,609) (7,086,359) (12,051,957) TREASURER	10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 (63,894)
056605 DNA REVENUES 4200 FINES & FORFEITURES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 10,000 056605 NET COST VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) SHERIFF NET COST (11,277,609) (7,086,359) (12,051,957) TREASURER	10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 (63,894)
REVENUES 4200 FINES & FORFEITURES 10,000 4200 FINES & FORFEITURES 10,000 EXPENDITURES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 10,000 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 10,000 10,000 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 10,000 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 10,000 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (11,277,609) (7,086,359) (12,051,957)	10,000 10,000 10,000 (63,894)
REVENUES 4200 FINES & FORFEITURES 10,000 4200 FINES & FORFEITURES 10,000 EXPENDITURES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 10,000 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 10,000 10,000 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 10,000 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 10,000 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (11,277,609) (7,086,359) (12,051,957)	10,000 10,000 10,000 (63,894)
4200 FINES & FORFEITURES 10,000 TOTAL REVENUES 10,000 EXPENDITURES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 10,000 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 10,000 O56605 NET COST VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) SHERIFF NET COST (11,277,609) (7,086,359) (12,051,957)	10,000 10,000 10,000 (63,894)
TOTAL REVENUES 10,000 EXPENDITURES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 10,000 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 10,000 O56605 NET COST 10,000 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) SHERIFF NET COST (11,277,609) (7,086,359) (12,051,957)	10,000 10,000 10,000 (63,894)
EXPENDITURES 10,000 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 10,000 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 10,000 056605 NET COST 10,000 VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) SHERIFF NET COST (11,277,609) (7,086,359) (12,051,957)	10,000 10,000 (63,894)
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 10,000 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 056605 NET COST VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST SHERIFF NET COST (101,449) OTOS (11,277,609) SHERIFF NET COST (11,277,609) (12,051,957)	(63,894)
TOTAL EXPENDITURES 10,000 056605 NET COST VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) SHERIFF NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) SHERIFF NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) SHERIFF NET COST (11,277,609) (7,086,359) (12,051,957) IREASURER	(63,894)
TOTAL EXPENDITURES 10,000 056605 NET COST VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) SHERIFF NET COST (101,277,609) (7,086,359) (12,051,957) TREASURER	(63,894)
056605 NET COST VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) SHERIFF NET COST (11,277,609) (7,086,359) (12,051,957) TREASURER	(63,894)
VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) SHERIFF NET COST (11,277,609) (7,086,359) (12,051,957) TREASURER Contract of the second se	· · · ·
VETERANS SERVICE OFFICER NET COST (101,449) (14,207) (63,894) SHERIFF NET COST (11,277,609) (7,086,359) (12,051,957) TREASURER Contract of the second se	· · · ·
SHERIFF NET COST (11,277,609) (7,086,359) (12,051,957)	· · · ·
TREASURER	(12,181,407)
TREASURER	(12,181,407,
TREASURER/TAX COLLECTOR 010500 TTC GENERAL REVENUES	
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES 370,455 184,008 367,920	367,920
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES 20,000	20,000
4900 OTHER REVENUE 5,049 3,777 3,775	3,775
TOTAL REVENUES 375,504 187,785 391,695	391,695
EXPENDITURES	
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 519,699 296,829 557,686	573,342
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 117,124 24,831 105,322	113,464
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 23,917 13,605 40,475	40,475
TOTAL EXPENDITURES 660,740 335,265 703,483	727,281
010500 NET COST (285,236) (147,480) (311,788)	(335,586)
010500 NET COST (285,236) (147,480) (311,788)	(335,560)
TREASURER/TAX COLLECTOR NET COST (285,236) (147,480) (311,788)	(335,586)
	(000,000)
TREASURER NET COST (285,236) (147,480) (311,788)	(335,586)
TRIAL COURT	
GRAND JURY	
022000 GRAND JURY	
EXPENDITURES	
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 294 1,365	1,365

AS OF	& SUPPLIES	RUN DATE	:02/09/2021			
					Working	Mid-Year
			Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budget
			FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
5100 SERVICES	& SUPPLIES		10,898	54	21,995	21,995
5200 INTERNAL (CHARGES		128		1,000	1,000
	TOTAL EXPE	NDITURES	11,320	54	24,360	24,360
	022000	NET COST	(11,320)	(54)	(24,360)	(24,360)
	GRAND JURY	NET COST	(11,320)	(54)	(24,360)	(24,360)
	TRIAL COURT	NET COST	(11,320)	(54)	(24,360)	(24,360)
-	TOTAL NET COST		820,849	(1,809,915)	(6,385,326)	(6,385,326)

ATTACHMENT B

County of Inyo

BUD023 - Income Statement - non-General Fund Mid-Year FY 2020-21

Run Date: 02/09/2021			Mid-Year	Actual as of	% of Actual	YTD as of
		% of Budget	Budget	12/31/20	to Budget	12/31/19
REVENUES BY TYPE						
TAXES - PROPERTY		0.1%	73,650	77,533	105.2%	47,937
TAXES - SALES		2.5%	1,357,605	550,333	40.5%	543,230
LICENSES & PERMITS		0.8%	470,000	133,670	28.4%	117,816
FINES & FORFEITURES		0.0%	4,000	3,124	78.1%	2,658
RENTS & LEASES		0.4%	221,186	124,743	56.3%	118,465
REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY		0.6%	358,056	103,646	28.9%	138,872
AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES		69.1%	36,172,409	14,255,110	39.4%	7,889,106
CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES		17.3%	9,088,480	3,707,747	40.7%	3,514,390
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES		8.6%	4,519,595	725,161	16.0%	54,427
OTHER REVENUE		0.1%	58,000	19,117	32.9%	548,921
	Total Devenues by Type	100.0%	50 000 004	10 700 100	27.60/	40.075.005
	Total Revenues by Type	100.0%	52,322,981	19,700,189	37.6%	12,975,825
EXPENDITURES BY OBJECT CATEGOR	RY					
SALARIES & BENEFITS		18.4%	12,948,015	6,023,676	46.5%	5,625,460
SERVICES & SUPPLIES		24.6%	17,310,582	7,241,183	41.8%	4,766,532
INTERNAL CHARGES		5.6%	3,959,005	1,235,481	31.2%	1,219,187
OTHER CHARGES		2.0%	1,415,600	927,100	65.4%	263,106
DEBT SERVICE PRINCIPAL		0.6%	443,019	272,104	61.4%	95,280
DEBT SERVICE INTEREST		0.2%	200,797	106,792	53.1%	95,091
FIXED ASSETS		43.5%	30,542,996	12,261,497	40.1%	2,701,981
OTHER FINANCING USES		4.7%	3,348,968	2,306,153	68.8%	1,921,831
RESERVES		0.0%	5,000			
	Total Expenditures	100.0%	70,173,982	30,373,989	43.2%	16,688,471
	Change in Fund Balance		(17,851,001)	(10,673,800)	59.7%	(3,712,646)

Page 1

County of Inyo

BUD023 - Income Statement - non-General Fund Mid-Year FY 2020-21

Run Date: 02/09/2021	% of Budget	Mid-Year Budget	Actual as of 12/31/20	% of Actual to Budget	YTD as of 12/31/19
EXPENDITURES BY DEPARTMENT					
AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER					
CANNABIS REGULATION-GENERAL OP	0.2%	156,476	59,251	37.8%	14,757
ES WEED MANAGEMENT GRANT	0.5%	357,611	132,666	37.0%	124,005
INYO MOSQUITO ABATEMENT	0.7%	560,759	282,118	50.3%	294,344
AUDITOR - CONTROLLER					
AC-CALPERS REFUNDING SF	0.5%	373,203	186,948	50.0%	181,857
AUDITOR CONTROLLER - GEN RESV					
AUDITOR CONTROLLER GEOTHERMAL					
AUDITOR-CONTROLLER - ECON STAB					
IFAS UPGRADE	0.0%	54,029	29,028	53.7%	
PILT TRUST	2.7%	1,961,586	1,961,586	100.0%	1,921,831
CAO AUDITOR CONTROLLER					
PHONE SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	0.0%	67,106	67,106	100.0%	
CAO MP, SOLID WASTE & PARKS					
MOTOR POOL OPERATING	2.8%	2,007,743	904,028	45.0%	877,761
MOTOR POOL REPLACEMENT	0.8%	625,000	325,000	52.0%	
PARKS REHAB & DEVELOPMENT TRST	0.1%	100,000			
PER CAPITA GRANT-PROP 68	0.7%	540,000			
RECYCLING & WASTE MGMT	6.4%	4,514,970	2,125,715	47.0%	1,696,658
TECOPA LAGOON PHASE 2	0.3%	258,327			14,049
CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES					
CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES	1.8%	1,324,015	590,241	44.5%	558,854
COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER					
CAO - ACO	2.0%	1,413,805	296,420	20.9%	30,678
CAO-COVID19	1.6%	1,174,185	869,577	74.0%	
CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND	0.5%	379,296	42,100	11.0%	3,566
CDFW-OIL SPILL PREV RESP GRANT					
COMPUTER SYSTEMS FUND					
COMPUTER UPGRADE	1.0%	738,557	300,381	40.6%	83,431
CONSOLIDATED OFFICE BUILDING	12.5%	8,817,312	72,066	0.8%	
DWR-STATEWIDE FLOOD ER GRANT					
EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS 19-20	0.0%	58,748	1,988	3.3%	29,288
EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS 20-21	0.1%	128,741	39,125	30.3%	
FISH & GAME	0.0%	7,700	1,200	15.5%	1,292
GENRAL FUND BALANCE STAB TRUST					
HOMELAND SECURITY 17-18					6,092
HOMELAND SECURITY 18-19	0.0%	67,016	21,087	31.4%	12,500
HOMELAND SECURITY 19-20	0.0%	34,207	12,500	36.5%	
HOMELAND SECURITY 20-21	0.1%	94,074			
NATURAL RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT	0.2%	159,139	40,329	25.3%	2,000
PROPERTY TAX UPGRADE	0.1%	91,200	18,758	20.5%	
PURCHASING REVOLVING	0.2%	178,297	50,065	28.0%	46,386
COUNTY CLERK					
ELECTIONS VOTING SYSTEM	0.2%	148,357	125,000	84.2%	
RECORDERS MICROGRAPHIC/SYSTEM	0.1%	114,475	19,684	17.1%	23,347
DISTRICT ATTORNEY					
OES-VWAC 18-19					64,574

County of Inyo

BUD023 - Income Statement - non-General Fund Mid-Year FY 2020-21

Run Date: 02/09/2021	% of Budget	Mid-Year Budget	Actual as of 12/31/20	% of Actual to Budget	YTD as of 12/31/19
OES-VWAC 19-20	0.1%	83,572	64,429	77.0%	56,895
OES-VWAC 20-21	0.2%	210,095	64,411	30.6%	
FARM ADVISOR					
LEASE RENTAL	0.0%	6,000			
RANGE IMPROVEMENT	0.0%	6,000			
HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES					
CARES GRANT 19-20					24,440
CARES GRANT 20-21	0.0%	49,522	14,386	29.0%	
CARES GRANT 21-22	0.0%	15,612			
CBCAP	0.0%	28,873	15,763	54.5%	15,160
DRINKING DRIVER PROGRAM	0.2%	142,112	76,190	53.6%	67,511
ELC-2 ENHANCED LAB CAPICITY	1.2%	853,501	5,800	0.6%	·
ESAAA	2.2%	1,581,064	608,644	38.4%	573,673
FIRST FIVE COMMISSION	0.8%	578,419	185,342	32.0%	168,505
FIRST PROGRAM	0.8%	591,430	270,205	45.6%	288,884
MATERNAL CHILD HEALTH 19-20					75,788
MATERNAL CHILD HEALTH 20-21	0.2%	203,080	80,109	39.4%	,
SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS	1.0%	750,488	271,437	36.1%	274,803
TOBACCO TAX GRANT 17-20	0.5%	398,385	155,310	38.9%	127,432
WOMEN INFANTS & CHILDREN 18-19					74,685
WOMEN INFANTS & CHILDREN 19-20	0.1%	102,600	96,999	94.5%	83,954
WOMEN INFANTS & CHILDREN 20-21	0.4%	305,639	108,412	35.4%	,
WORK INVESTMENT ACT 19-20		,	,		35,795
WORK INVESTMENT ACT 20-21	0.1%	114,531	43,359	37.8%	,
PERSONNEL		,	,		
COUNTY LIABILITY TRUST	1.9%	1,388,570	1,054,582	75.9%	531,097
MEDICAL MALPRACTICE TRUST	0.1%	117,626	65,143	55.3%	61,991
WORKERS COMPENSATION TRUST	1.4%	1,025,579	819,330	79.8%	874,674
PLANNING		.,,			
YUCCA MOUNTAIN OVERSIGHT	0.3%	276,130	228,572	82.7%	6,062
PROBATION					-,
CRIMINAL JUSTICE-REALIGNMENT	1.0%	769,365	46,221	6.0%	62,377
PUBLIC WORKS		,			,
BIG PINE LIGHTING	0.0%	36,079	8,987	24.9%	8,118
BISHOP AIR ENVIR ASSESSMENT	0.7%	545,504	169,318	31.0%	0,110
BISHOP AIR REHAB RUNWAY 12-30	10.5%	7,370,291	6,799,008	92.2%	
BISHOP AIR TAXIWAY REHAB	4.4%	3,126,220	1,195,126	38.2%	4,240
BISHOP AIRPORT	3.2%	2,308,734	1,223,864	53.0%	421,425
BISHOP AIRPORT - SPECIAL	0.0%	34,310	3,731	10.8%	2,920
COUNTY SERVICE AREA #2	0.1%	105,834	11,952	11.2%	8,850
INDEPENDENCE AIRPORT	0.0%	32,655	15,174	46.4%	15,782
INDEPENDENCE AIRPORT - SPECIAL	0.0%	10,250	1,503	14.6%	1,970
INDEPENDENCE LIGHTING	0.0%	33,140	6,455	19.4%	9,358
INDY H20 UPGRADE	0.070	00,140	0,+00	10.470	9,000
LONE PINE LIGHTING	0.0%	66,911	9,741	14.5%	9,835
LONE PINE LIGHTING	0.0%	10,357	9,741 2,916	28.1%	9,835 3,122
LONE PINE/DEATH VALLEY AIR-SP				28.1% 48.6%	-
LONE PINE/DEATH VALLET AIRPORT LP/DV AIRPORT PAVEMENT	0.1%	92,293	44,887	40.070	34,532
	4 00/	1 270 470	004 445	64.00/	226,091
PUBLIC WORKS - DEFERRED MAINT	1.9%	1,372,472	881,145	64.2%	112,468

County of Inyo

BUD023 - Income Statement - non-General Fund Mid-Year FY 2020-21

Run Date: 02/09/2021		Mid-Year	Actual as of	% of Actual	YTD as of
	% of Budget	Budget	12/31/20	to Budget	12/31/19
ROAD	16.6%	11,661,584	4,323,966	37.0%	4,542,770
ROAD PROJECTS - STATE FUNDED	4.4%	3,137,987	1,242,300	39.5%	400,415
SHOSHONE AIRPORT - SPECIAL	0.0%	8,882	2,460	27.7%	2,985
TRANSPORTATION & PLANNING TRST	0.7%	524,183	200,669	38.2%	303,743
WATER SYSTEM - INDEPENDENCE					
WATER SYSTEM - LAWS					
WATER SYSTEM - LONE PINE					
WATER SYSTEMS	1.0%	753,566	238,310	31.6%	247,139
SHERIFF					
CALMET TASK FORCE	0.2%	156,706	95,559	60.9%	81,020
ILLEGAL CANNABIS SUPRESSION	0.0%	20,000	10,357	51.7%	258
OFF HWY VEHICLE GRANT 19-20					
OFF HWY VEHICLE GRANT 20-21	0.0%	65,543			
WATER					
BIG PINE RECYCLE WATER PRJ					4,999
CEQA STUDY					18,855
OWENS RIVER WATER TRAIL GRANT	0.7%	500,032			
SALT CEDAR PROJECT	0.0%	67,219	40,527	60.2%	31,244
WATER DEPARTMENT	2.8%	2,029,103	997,392	49.1%	805,308
	100.0%	70,173,982	30,373,989	43.2%	16,688,471

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RU

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DAT	E: 02/09/2021			
	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working	Mid-Yea
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	Budget FY 2020-21	Budge FY 2020-2
CULTURAL COMMISSIONER	11201020	11202021	11202021	1 1 2020 2
AG COMM/SEALER				
023301 CANNABIS REGULATION-GENERAL OP				
REVENUES				
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	133,169	53,315	156,460	156,46
TOTAL REVENUES	133,169	53,315	156,460	156,46
	105 402	EE 404	101 110	101 44
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	105,493 24,190	55,481 1,479	121,440 10,312	121,44
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	24, 190 3,410	2,292	24,724	10,17 24,86
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	133,093	59,252	156,476	156,47
	100,000	00,202	100,470	100,47
023301 NET COST	76	(5,937)	(16)	(16
-		,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
621300 ES WEED MANAGEMENT GRANT				
REVENUES	(077)	(404)		
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	(977) 187,418	(164) 66,781	348,593	348,59
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	2,930	411	27,500	27,50
TOTAL REVENUES	189,371	67,028	376,093	376,09
	,		,	
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	186,616	109,304	190,895	194,18
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	13,939	7,568	45,855	46,35
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	40,037	15,795	45,820	45,82
5600 FIXED ASSETS			71,253	71,25
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	240,592	132,667	353,823	357,61
	(54.004)	(05,000)	00.070	40.40
621300 NET COST	(51,221)	(65,639)	22,270	18,48
AG COMM/SEALER NET COST	(51,145)	(71,576)	22,254	18,46
MOSQUITO CONTROL				
154101 INYO MOSQUITO ABATEMENT				
REVENUES				
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	800	181	400	40
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	88,374	15,756	70,000	70,00
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	478,783	226,963	491,000	491,00
TOTAL REVENUES	567,957	242,900	561,400	561,40
EXPENDITURES				
EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	365,708	208,507	406,696	406,69

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

			Working	Mid-Year
	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budget
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	136,011	43,855	90,175	90,175
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	571,865	282,119	560,759	560,759
154101 NET COST	(3,908)	(39,219)	641	641
MOSQUITO CONTROL NET COST	(3,908)	(39,219)	641	641
AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER NET COST	(55,053)	(110,795)	22,895	19,107
	(00,000)	(110,100)	22,000	10,101
AUDITOR-CONTROLLER 010404 AC-CALPERS REFUNDING SF				
REVENUES				
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	361,295	186,602	373,203	373,203
TOTAL REVENUES	361,295	186,602	373,203	373,203
EXPENDITURES 5550 DEBT SERVICE PRINCIPAL	176,000	98,000	198,000	198,000
5560 DEBT SERVICE INTEREST	185,295	98,000 88,949	175,203	175,203
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	361,295	186,949	373,203	373,203
	,			
010404 NET COST		(347)		
010405 AUDITOR CONTROLLER - GEN RESV				
REVENUES				
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	69,972	9,648	35,000	35,000
TOTAL REVENUES	69,972	9,648	35,000	35,000
010405 NET COST	69,972	9,648	35,000	35,000
010406 AUDITOR CONTROLLER GEOTHERMAL				
REVENUES				
4300 RENTS & LEASES	19,138	2,120		
TOTAL REVENUES	19,138	2,120		
	131,864			
5800 OTHER FINANCING USES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	131,864			
	101,004			
010406 NET COST	(112,726)	2,120		
-				
010407 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER - ECON STAB				

	AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RU	JN DATE:	02/09/2021			
					Working	Mid-Year
			Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budget
			FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
	REVENUES					
	4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY		72,814	10,040	40,000	40,000
1	TOTAL REVE	NUES	72,814	10,040	40,000	40,000
1						
	EXPENDITURES					
1	TOTAL EXPENDIT	URES				
1						
 	010407 NET	COST	72,814	10,040	40,000	40,000
	500458 PILT TRUST REVENUES					
	4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES		1,961,586			
!	TOTAL REVE	NUES	1,961,586			
i	EXPENDITURES					
i I	5800 OTHER FINANCING USES		1,921,831		1,961,586	1,961,586
i i	TOTAL EXPENDIT	URES	1,921,831	1,961,586	1,961,586	1,961,586
1						
	500458 NET	COST	30 755	(1,961,586)	(1,961,586)	(1,961,586)
 	500458 NE 1		53,755	(1,301,300)	(1,301,300)	(1,301,300)
	AUDITOR-CONTROLLER NET	COST	69,815	(1,940,125)	(1,886,586)	(1,886,586)
1	INFORMATION SERVICES					
	011806 IFAS UPGRADE					
1	EXPENDITURES					
1	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES				25,000	25,000
1	5600 FIXED ASSETS			29,029	29,029	29,029
i I	TOTAL EXPENDIT	URES		29,029	54,029	54,029
1	011806 NET	соѕт		(29,029)	(54,029)	(54,029)
i I						
1	INFORMATION SERVICES NET	COST		(29,029)	(54,029)	(54,029)
1	AUDITOR - CONTROLLER NET	COST	69,815	(1,969,154)	(1,940,615)	(1,940,615)
L			,	() /	()	() /
	DITOR CONTROLLER					
	INFORMATION SERVICES					
	011807 PHONE SYSTEM REPLACEMENT					
	EXPENDITURES					
1	5600 FIXED ASSETS		131,980	67,106	67,106	67,106
			101,000	0.,.00	- ,	- ,

	AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DATE: 02/09/2021 Working				
1		Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Budget FY 2020-21	Budget FY 2020-21
	011807 NET COST	(131,980)	(67,106)	(67,106)	(67,106)
	INFORMATION SERVICES NET COST	(131,980)	(67,106)	(67,106)	(67,106)
	CAO AUDITOR CONTROLLER NET COST	(131,980)	(67,106)	(67,106)	(67,106)
	IINISTRATIVE OFFICER				
AUE	DITOR-CONTROLLER				
	011804 PROPERTY TAX UPGRADE				
	REVENUES				
	TOTAL REVENUES _				
	EXPENDITURES				
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	123,863		91,200	91,200
	5550 DEBT SERVICE PRINCIPAL	120,000	18,758	01,200	01,200
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	123,863	18,758	91,200	91,200
	-				
	011804 NET COST	(123,863)	(18,758)	(91,200)	(91,200
	AUDITOR-CONTROLLER NET COST	(123,863)	(18,758)	(91,200)	(91,200)
cou	JNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER				
	010201 CAO - ACO				
	REVENUES				
	4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES			51,351	51,35
	4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	599,280	192,961	600,000	600,000
	TOTAL REVENUES	599,280	192,961	651,351	651,351
	EXPENDITURES				
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	103,251	103,459	903,459	903,459
	5600 FIXED ASSETS	7,906	192,961	200,000	200,000
	5800 OTHER FINANCING USES	111,511		310,346	310,346
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	222,668	296,420	1,413,805	1,413,80
	010201 NET COST	376,612	(103,459)	(762,454)	(762,454
			(,)	(1 0 -) 10 1)	(*****
	010204 NATURAL RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT REVENUES				
	TOTAL REVENUES				
	EXPENDITURES				
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	2,000	40,329	159,139	159,139
I		2,000	-+0,023	100,100	100,10

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DATE	:02/09/2021			
	Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Working Budget FY 2020-21	Mid-Year Budget FY 2020-21
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	2,000	40,329	159,139	159,139
010204 NET COST	(2,000)	(40,329)	(159,139)	(159,139)
011809 CONSOLIDATED OFFICE BUILDING REVENUES				
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	7,321		71,151	71,151
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	5,550,000		560,000	560,000
TOTAL REVENUES	5,557,321		631,151	631,151
EXPENDITURES				
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES			917,312	917,312
5600 FIXED ASSETS		72,066	7,900,000	7,900,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		72,066	8,817,312	8,817,312
011809 NET COST	5,557,321	(72,066)	(8,186,161)	(8,186,161)
024200 FISH & GAME				
REVENUES				
4200 FINES & FORFEITURES	4,805	3,125	4,000	4,000
TOTAL REVENUES	4,805	3,125	4,000	4,000
EXPENDITURES				
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	2,539	1,200	7,700	7,700
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	2,539	1,200	7,700	7,700
024200 NET COST	2,266	1,925	(3,700)	(3,700)
501501 GENRAL FUND BALANCE STAB TRUST REVENUES				
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	119	2,050		
TOTAL REVENUES	119	2,050		
501501 NET COST	119	2,050		
COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER NET COST	5,934,318	(211,879)	(9,111,454)	(9,111,454)
NFORMATION SERVICES 011808 COMPUTER UPGRADE				
REVENUES				

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

				Working	Mid-Year
		Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budget
		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
	TOTAL REVENUES	353,622	255,988	522,253	522,253
	EXPENDITURES				
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	137,936	201,906	338,557	338,557
	5600 FIXED ASSETS		98,475	400,000	400,000
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	137,936	300,381	738,557	738,557
	011808 NET COST	215,686	(44,393)	(216,304)	(216,304)
	-		(11,000)	(_ : 0,00 !)	(_::;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;
	699900 COMPUTER SYSTEMS FUND REVENUES				
	4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES			300,000	300,000
	TOTAL REVENUES			300,000	300,000
	699900 NET COST _			300,000	300,000
	INFORMATION SERVICES NET COST	215,686	(44,393)	83,696	83,696
OFFI	ICE OF DISASTER SERVICES 010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND				
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES	154 797	77 399	77 399	77 399
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND	154,797 154,797	77,399 77,399	77,399 77,399	-
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES		-	-	-
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	154,797	77,399	77,399	77,399
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES		-	77,399 90,597	77,399
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	154,797	77,399	77,399	77,399 90,597 188,699
	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5600 FIXED ASSETS	154,797 4,049	77,399	77,399 90,597 188,699	90,597 188,699 100,000
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5600 FIXED ASSETS 5800 OTHER FINANCING USES	154,797 4,049 22,384	77,399 42,100	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5600 FIXED ASSETS 5800 OTHER FINANCING USES	154,797 4,049 22,384	77,399 42,100	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5600 FIXED ASSETS 5800 OTHER FINANCING USES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	154,797 4,049 22,384 26,433	77,399 42,100 42,100	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296	90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5600 FIXED ASSETS 5800 OTHER FINANCING USES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	154,797 4,049 22,384 26,433	77,399 42,100 42,100	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296	90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5600 FIXED ASSETS 5800 OTHER FINANCING USES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 010205 NET COST	154,797 4,049 22,384 26,433	77,399 42,100 42,100	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296	90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5600 FIXED ASSETS 5800 OTHER FINANCING USES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 010205 NET COST 010208 CAO-COVID19 REVENUES	154,797 4,049 22,384 26,433 128,364	77,399 42,100 42,100 35,299	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296 (301,897)
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5600 FIXED ASSETS 5800 OTHER FINANCING USES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 010205 NET COST 010205 NET COST 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	154,797 4,049 22,384 26,433 128,364 (55)	77,399 42,100 42,100 35,299 1,233	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296 (301,897)	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296 (301,897) 1,363,208
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5600 FIXED ASSETS 5800 OTHER FINANCING USES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 010208 CAO-COVID19 REVENUES 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	154,797 4,049 22,384 26,433 128,364 (55) 325,009	77,399 42,100 42,100 35,299 1,233	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296 (301,897) 1,263,208	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296 (301,897) 1,363,208 200,000
	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5600 FIXED ASSETS 5800 OTHER FINANCING USES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 010205 NET COST 010208 CAO-COVID19 REVENUES 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	154,797 4,049 22,384 26,433 128,364 (55) 325,009 22,384	77,399 42,100 42,100 35,299 1,233 1,579,008	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296 (301,897) 1,263,208 200,000	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296 (301,897) 1,363,208 200,000
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5600 FIXED ASSETS 5800 OTHER FINANCING USES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 010208 CAO-COVID19 REVENUES 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY 400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	154,797 4,049 22,384 26,433 128,364 (55) 325,009 22,384	77,399 42,100 42,100 35,299 1,233 1,579,008	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296 (301,897) 1,263,208 200,000	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296 (301,897) 1,363,208 200,000 1,563,208
OFFI	010205 CAO-GENERAL RELIEF FUND REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5600 FIXED ASSETS 5800 OTHER FINANCING USES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 010208 CAO-COVID19 REVENUES 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES TOTAL REVENUES	154,797 4,049 22,384 26,433 128,364 (55) 325,009 22,384 347,338	77,399 42,100 42,100 35,299 1,233 1,579,008 1,580,241	77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296 (301,897) 1,263,208 200,000 1,463,208	77,399 77,399 90,597 188,699 100,000 379,296 (301,897) 1,363,208 200,000 1,563,208 101,661 10,500

	Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Working Budget FY 2020-21	Mid-Yea Budge FY 2020-2	
5800 OTHER FINANCING USES		19,227	62,024	62,02	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	25,797	869,577	1,074,185	1,174,18	
010208 NET COST	321,541	710,664	389,023	389,02	
610389 DWR-STATEWIDE FLOOD ER GRANT					
REVENUES					
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	32,564	3,304			
TOTAL REVENUES	32,564	3,304			
EXPENDITURES					
5600 FIXED ASSETS	27,137				
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	27,137				
610389 NET COST	5,427	3,304			
623120 CDFW-OIL SPILL PREV RESP GRANT REVENUES					
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES		35,000			
TOTAL REVENUES		35,000		_	
EXPENDITURES					
5600 FIXED ASSETS	35,000				
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	35,000				
623120 NET COST	(35,000)	35,000			
- 623717 HOMELAND SECURITY 17-18					
REVENUES					
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	72,549				
TOTAL REVENUES	72,549				
EXPENDITURES					
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	21,185				
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	21,185				
623717 NET COST	51,364				
623718 HOMELAND SECURITY 18-19					
REVENUES					
	AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DAT	E: 02/09/2021 Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Working Budget FY 2020-21	Mid-Yea Budge FY 2020-2 <i>1</i>
--	--	--	---------------------------	---------------------------------	---
	TOTAL REVENUES	12,500		80,929	80,929
EXPENDITURES					
	SERVICES & SUPPLIES	26,413	21,088	67,016	67,01
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	26,413	21,088	67,016	67,01
	623718 NET COST	(13,913)	(21,088)	13,913	13,91
623719 HOMEL	AND SECURITY 19-20				
REVENUES					
4400	AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	59,907		34,207	34,20
	TOTAL REVENUES	59,907		34,207	34,20
EXPENDITURES					
	SERVICES & SUPPLIES	59,907	12,500	34,207	34,20
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	59,907	12,500	34,207	34,20
	623719 NET COST		(12,500)		
623720 HOMEI	AND SECURITY 20-21				
REVENUES					
4400	AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES				94,07
4400	TOTAL REVENUES				
	-				
EXPENDITURES	-				94,07
EXPENDITURES 5100	TOTAL REVENUES				94,074 94,074 89,37 4,705
EXPENDITURES 5100	SERVICES & SUPPLIES				94,07 89,37 4,70
EXPENDITURES 5100	SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES				94,07 89,37 4,70
EXPENDITURES 5100 5200	TOTAL REVENUES SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES				94,07 89,37 4,70
EXPENDITURES 5100 5200 623819 EMERG REVENUES	TOTAL REVENUES SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	45,707	20,389	58,748	94,07 89,37 4,70 94,07
EXPENDITURES 5100 5200 623819 EMERG REVENUES	TOTAL REVENUES SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 623720 NET COST	45,707 45,707	20,389 20,389	58,748 58,748	94,07 89,37 4,70 94,07 58,74
EXPENDITURES 5100 5200 623819 EMERG REVENUES	TOTAL REVENUES SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 623720 NET COST ENCY PREPAREDNESS 19-20 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	-	-		94,07 89,37 4,70 94,07 58,74
EXPENDITURES 5100 5200 623819 EMERG REVENUES 4400 EXPENDITURES	TOTAL REVENUES SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 623720 NET COST ENCY PREPAREDNESS 19-20 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	-	-		94,07 89,37 4,70 94,07 58,74
EXPENDITURES 5100 5200 623819 EMERG REVENUES 4400 EXPENDITURES 5000	SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 623720 NET COST SENCY PREPAREDNESS 19-20 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES	45,707	-		94,07 89,37 4,70 94,07 58,74 58,74
EXPENDITURES 5100 5200 623819 EMERG REVENUES 4400 EXPENDITURES 5000 5100	TOTAL REVENUES SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 623720 NET COST ENCY PREPAREDNESS 19-20 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES SALARIES & BENEFITS	45,707	20,389	58,748	94,07

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DATE			Working	Mid-Yea
1	Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Budget FY 2020-21	Budge FY 2020-21
623819 NET COST	(20,539)	18,401		
623820 EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS 20-21				
REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES			128,741	128,74
TOTAL REVENUES			128,741	128,74
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS		37,140	77,449	77,44
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES			40,199	40,19
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES		1,986	11,093	11,09
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		39,126	128,741	128,74
623820 NET COST		(39,126)		
OFFICE OF DISASTER SERVICES NET COST	437,244	729,954	101,039	101,03
200300 PURCHASING REVOLVING REVENUES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	106,664	38,792	175,000	175,00
TOTAL REVENUES	106,664	38,792	175,000	175,00
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	109,629	50,065	178,297	178,29
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	109,629	50,005	178,297	178,29
TOTAL EXPENDITORES	109,029	50,005	170,297	170,25
200300 NET COST	(2,965)	(11,273)	(3,297)	(3,297
PURCHASING NET COST	(2,965)	(11,273)	(3,297)	(3,297
—	· · ·		· · ·	
COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER NET COST	6,460,420	443,651	(9,021,216)	(9,021,21
MP, SOLID WASTE & PARKS MOTOR POOL				
200100 MOTOR POOL OPERATING REVENUES				
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	3,765	395	5,000	5,00
4550 KEV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	1,219,463	428,089	1,200,000	1,200,00
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES		-		
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES 4900 OTHER REVENUE	236,470 1,450	325,000 14,544	625,000 12,000	625,00 12,00
4900 OTHER REVENUE	1,450	768,028	1,842,000	1,842,00
	1.401.148			

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

	AS OF DATE. 12/3	RUNDAI	02/09/2021			
					Working	Mid-Yea
			Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budge
			FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-2
	EXPENDITURES					
	5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS		193,627	119,826	233,251	233,25
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	3	1,134,266	745,219	1,416,615	1,416,61
	5200 INTERNAL CHARGES		52,900	26,167	57,877	57,87
	5600 FIXED ASSETS			12,820	300,000	300,00
	то	TAL EXPENDITURES	1,380,793	904,032	2,007,743	2,007,743
		200100 NET COST _	80,355	(136,004)	(165,743)	(165,743
	200200 MOTOR POOL REPLACEMENT					
	REVENUES					
	4350 REV USE OF MONEY &	PROPERTY	24,203	3,551	12,000	12,00
	4600 CHARGES FOR CURRE		475,534	142,629	396,000	396,00
		TOTAL REVENUES	499,737	146,180	408,000	408,00
			100,101	110,100	100,000	100,00
I	EXPENDITURES					
	5800 OTHER FINANCING US	ES	236,470	325,000	625,000	625,00
	то	TAL EXPENDITURES	236,470	325,000	625,000	625,00
		200200 NET COST	263,267	(178,820)	(217,000)	(217,000
			200,207	i	(217,000)	(217,000
	МОТ	OR POOL NET COST	343,622	(314,824)	(382,743)	(382,743
N/A	506907 PARKS REHAB & DEVELOPME	NT TOOT				
	SUBSUT PARKS REHAD & DEVELOPME REVENUES	NIIKSI				
	4350 REV USE OF MONEY &		36	627		
	4350 REV USE OF MONET &	TOTAL REVENUES	36	627		
		TOTAL REVENUES		027		
	EXPENDITURES					
	5800 OTHER FINANCING US	ES			100,000	100,00
		TAL EXPENDITURES			100,000	100,00
					100,000	100,00
			_		(· ·
		506907 NET COST _	36	627	(100,000)	(100,000
		506907 NET COST	36 36	627 627	(100,000)	(100,000)
		-				
PARKS A		-				
	670200 PER CAPITA GRANT-PROP 68	-				
	670200 PER CAPITA GRANT-PROP 68 REVENUES	N/A NET COST			(100,000)	(100,000
	670200 PER CAPITA GRANT-PROP 68	T AGENCIES				

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

				Working	Mid-Yea
		Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budge
		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-2
	TOTAL REVENUES			540,000	540,00
				,	,
EXPENDITURES					
5100	SERVICES & SUPPLIES			89,500	89,50
5600	FIXED ASSETS			450,500	450,50
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES			540,000	540,00
	670200 NET COST				
	PARKS AND RECREATION NET COST				
SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL					
045700 RECYC	LING & WASTE MGMT				
REVENUES					
4060	TAXES - SALES	1,479,724	518,354	1,250,000	1,250,00
4100	LICENSES & PERMITS	474,438	119,178	450,000	450,00
4350	REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	55,561	10,402	34,380	34,38
4400	AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	16,151	100	16,000	16,00
4600	CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	1,606,710	717,509	1,543,922	1,543,92
4900	OTHER REVENUE	540,733		15,000	15,00
	TOTAL REVENUES	4,173,317	1,365,543	3,309,302	3,309,30
EXPENDITURES					
5000	SALARIES & BENEFITS	1,236,701	651,961	1,310,040	1,310,04
5100	SERVICES & SUPPLIES	1,179,205	973,085	1,627,107	1,649,38
5200	INTERNAL CHARGES	143,917	89,062	459,536	464,53
5550	DEBT SERVICE PRINCIPAL	203,105	147,815	226,827	229,82
5560	DEBT SERVICE INTEREST	23,378	16,860	17,555	23,75
5600	FIXED ASSETS	612,220	246,934	873,905	837,42
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	3,398,526	2,125,717	4,514,970	4,514,97
	045700 NET COST	774,791	(760,174)	(1,205,668)	(1,205,66
643111 TECOP EXPENDITURES	A LAGOON PHASE 2				
5100	SERVICES & SUPPLIES			23,444	23,44
	INTERNAL CHARGES			1,000	1,00
	FIXED ASSETS	16,240		233,883	233,88
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	16,240		258,327	258,32

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF	DATE: 12/31/2020	R

	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working Budget	Mid-Year Budget
r	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL NET COST	758,551	(760,174)	(1,463,995)	(1,463,995)
CAO MP, SOLID WASTE & PARKS NET COST	1,102,209	(1,074,371)	(1,946,738)	(1,946,738)
CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES				
CHILD SUPPORT				
022501 CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES				
	0.500	000	4 007	4 007
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	2,536 999,782	288 526,861	1,207 1,312,440	1,207 1,312,440
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	950	550	1,312,440	1,512,440
TOTAL REVENUES	1,003,268	527,699	1,313,647	1,313,647
-		·	· ·	
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	842,349	475,426	988,775	988,775
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	84,116	70,777	215,250	215,250
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	114,594	44,039	119,990	119,990
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,041,059	590,242	1,324,015	1,324,015
022501 NET COST	(37,791)	(62,543)	(10,368)	(10,368)
CHILD SUPPORT NET COST	(37,791)	(62,543)	(10,368)	(10,368)
CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES NET COST	(37,791)	(62,543)	(10,368)	(10,368)
COUNTY CLERK				
ELECTIONS				
621250 ELECTIONS VOTING SYSTEM				
REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES			148,357	148,357
TOTAL REVENUES			148,357	148,357
5600 FIXED ASSETS		125,000	148,357	148,357
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		125,000	148,357	148,357
		123,000	140,007	140,007
621250 NET COST		(125,000)		
ELECTIONS NET COST		(125,000)		
		(125,000)		
RECORDER				
023401 RECORDERS MICROGRAPHIC/SYSTEM				
REVENUES				
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	2,783	408	800	800

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

	E:02/09/2021			
	Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Working Budget FY 2020-21	Mid-Year Budget FY 2020-21
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	29,187	13,702	23,000	23,000
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	1,560		1,000	1,000
TOTAL REVENUES	33,530	14,110	24,800	24,800
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	2 214	2.240	2 697	4 460
5100 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	3,314 22,082	2,340 14,314	3,687 103,950	4,463 103,950
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	4,784	3,031	6,062	6,062
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	30,180	19,685	113,699	114,475
=				
023401 NET COST	3,350	(5,575)	(88,899)	(89,675)
RECORDER NET COST	3,350	(5,575)	(88,899)	(89,675)
COUNTY CLERK NET COST	3,350	(130,575)	(88,899)	(89,675)
620418 OES-VWAC 18-19				
REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES	114,527			
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES	114,527 114,527			
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES	114,527			
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	114,527 51,225			
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	114,527 51,225 8,149			
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	114,527 51,225			
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	114,527 51,225 8,149 5,202 64,576			
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	114,527 51,225 8,149 5,202			
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	114,527 51,225 8,149 5,202 64,576			
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 620418 NET COST 620419 OES-VWAC 19-20 REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	114,527 51,225 8,149 5,202 64,576 49,951 172,646		83,572	
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 620418 NET COST 620419 OES-VWAC 19-20 REVENUES	114,527 51,225 8,149 5,202 64,576 49,951		83,572 83,572	83,572
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 620418 NET COST 620419 OES-VWAC 19-20 REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	114,527 51,225 8,149 5,202 64,576 49,951 172,646			-
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 620419 OES-VWAC 19-20 REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES	114,527 51,225 8,149 5,202 64,576 49,951 172,646	54,426		83,572
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 620419 OES-VWAC 19-20 REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES	114,527 51,225 8,149 5,202 64,576 49,951 172,646 172,646	54,426	83,572	83,572 54,426
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 620419 OES-VWAC 19-20 REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	114,527 51,225 8,149 5,202 64,576 49,951 172,646 172,646 146,538		83,572 52,512	

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

		Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working Budget	Mid-Yea Budge
		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-2
	620419 NET COST _	(7,514)	(64,431)	(752)	
	620420 OES-VWAC 20-21				
ĸ	EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES			210 005	210,09
	TOTAL REVENUES			210,095	210,09
	TOTAL REVENUES			210,095	210,09
E	XPENDITURES				
	5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS		54,391	157,508	157,50
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES		5,294	35,411	35,41
	5200 INTERNAL CHARGES		4,726	17,176	17,17
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES		64,411	210,095	210,09
	620420 NET COST _		(64,411)		
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY NET COST	42,437	(128,842)	(752)	
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY NET COST	42,437	(128,842)	(752)	
I ADVISOR					
FARM ADV					
FARM ADV	/ISOR 024300 RANGE IMPROVEMENT EVENUES				
FARM ADV	024300 RANGE IMPROVEMENT	803			
FARM ADV	024300 RANGE IMPROVEMENT EVENUES	803 803			
FARM ADV	224300 RANGE IMPROVEMENT EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES				
FARM ADV 0 R	224300 RANGE IMPROVEMENT EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES				
FARM ADV 0 R	224300 RANGE IMPROVEMENT EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES			6,000	6,00
FARM ADV 0 R	224300 RANGE IMPROVEMENT EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES			6,000	
FARM ADV 0 R	224300 RANGE IMPROVEMENT EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	803		6,000	6,00
FARM ADV 0 R	224300 RANGE IMPROVEMENT EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES S100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	803		-	6,00
FARM ADV 0 Ri EX	024300 RANGE IMPROVEMENT EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 024300 NET COST	803		6,000	6,00
FARM ADV 0 Ri EX	224300 RANGE IMPROVEMENT EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 024300 NET COST D24400 LEASE RENTAL EVENUES	803		6,000	6,00
FARM ADV 0 Ri EX	224300 RANGE IMPROVEMENT EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 024300 NET COST 024400 LEASE RENTAL EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	803		6,000	6,00
FARM ADV 0 Ri EX	224300 RANGE IMPROVEMENT EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 024300 NET COST D24400 LEASE RENTAL EVENUES	803		6,000	6,00
FARM ADV 0 R EX	224300 RANGE IMPROVEMENT EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 024300 NET COST 024400 LEASE RENTAL EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	803		6,000	6,00
FARM ADV 0 R EX	224300 RANGE IMPROVEMENT EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 024300 NET COST 024300 NET COST 024400 LEASE RENTAL EVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES	803		6,000	6,000 6,000 (6,000

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020	F
------------------------	---

		Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working Budget	Mid-Year Budget
		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
	024400	NET COST 2,473		(6,000)	(6,000)
	FARM ADVISOR I	NET COST 3,276		(12,000)	(12,000)
	FARM ADVISOR N	NET COST 3,276		(12,000)	(12,000
	N SERVICES				
ESAAA					
	683000 ESAAA				
	REVENUES				
	4060 TAXES - SALES	39,757	10,120	38,026	38,020
	4300 RENTS & LEASES	3,583		200	200
	4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERT	Y (2,405)	(316)	1,100	1,100
	4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIE	ES 1,360,575	85,611	1,256,686	1,256,680
	4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVIC	CES 16,450	7,663	40,000	40,000
	4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	150,289		150,393	150,393
	4900 OTHER REVENUE	5,000		5,000	5,000
	TOTAL R	EVENUES 1,573,249	103,078	1,491,405	1,491,40
	EXPENDITURES				
	5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	625,923	308,885	673,734	673,734
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	344,893	129,083	334,041	388,47
	5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	254,229	168,292	344,797	344,79
	5500 OTHER CHARGES	139,932	2,272	143,941	143,94
	5600 FIXED ASSETS				30,00
	5800 OTHER FINANCING USES	113	113	113	11
	TOTAL EXPER	NDITURES 1,365,090	608,645	1,496,626	1,581,06
	683000	NET COST 208,159	(505,567)	(5,221)	(89,659
	ESAAA	NET COST 208,159	(505,567)	(5,221)	(89,659)
			()	(*)==)	(,
HEALTH	H 641219 CARES GRANT 19-20 REVENUES				
	4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCI	ES 6,821			
		EVENUES 6,821			
	EXPENDITURES				
	5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	27,466			
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	300			
	5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	6,296			

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 R

	Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Working Budget FY 2020-21	Mid-Yea Budge FY 2020-2
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	37,999	1 1 2020 21	1 1 2020 21	1 1 2020 2
641219 NET COST	(31,178)			
641220 CARES GRANT 20-21 REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES		33,404	49,522	49,52
TOTAL REVENUES		33,404	49,522	49,52
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	3,001	8,205	29,962	29,96
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES			2,000	2,00
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	2,160	6,182	9,272	9,27
5500 OTHER CHARGES	579		8,288	8,28
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	5,740	14,387	49,522	49,52
	(= = + c)			
641220 NET COST _	(5,740)	19,017		
641221 CARES GRANT 21-22				
REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES			15,612	15,61
TOTAL REVENUES			15,612	15,61
EXPENDITURES				
EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS			9,731	9,73
			9,731 3,119	
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS			-	3,11
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES			3,119	3,11 2,76
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES			3,119 2,762	9,73 3,11 2,76 15,61
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS5200 INTERNAL CHARGES5500 OTHER CHARGES			3,119 2,762	3,11 2,76
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES			3,119 2,762	3,11 2,76
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 641221 NET COST 641619 MATERNAL CHILD HEALTH 19-20	191,575		3,119 2,762	3,11 2,76
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 641221 NET COST 641619 MATERNAL CHILD HEALTH 19-20 REVENUES	191,575 191,575		3,119 2,762	3,11 2,76
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 641221 NET COST 641619 MATERNAL CHILD HEALTH 19-20 REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	-		3,119 2,762	3,11 2,76
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 641221 NET COST 641619 MATERNAL CHILD HEALTH 19-20 REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES	191,575		3,119 2,762	3,11 2,76
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 641619 MATERNAL CHILD HEALTH 19-20 REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES	191,575		3,119 2,762	3,11 2,76
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES 5500 OTHER CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES 641619 MATERNAL CHILD HEALTH 19-20 REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	191,575		3,119 2,762	3,11 2,76

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DAT	E: 02/09/2021		Working	Mid-Yea
	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budge
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-2
641619 NET COST	52,605			
	52,000			
641620 MATERNAL CHILD HEALTH 20-21 REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES		6,361	203,052	203,05
TOTAL REVENUES		6,361	203,052	203,05
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	533	66,223	161,195	161,19
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES		4,079	16,445	16,44
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES		9,809	25,440	25,44
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	533	80,111	203,080	203,08
	(====`			/-
641620 NET COST _	(533)	(73,750)	(28)	(2
641918 WOMEN INFANTS & CHILDREN 18-19				
REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	138,919			
TOTAL REVENUES	138,919			
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	50,478			
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	5,250			
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	10,920			
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	66,648			
641918 NET COST _	72,271			
641919 WOMEN INFANTS & CHILDREN 19-20				
REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	165,357	92,682	102,424	102,42
TOTAL REVENUES	165,357	92,682	102,424	102,42
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	208,712	74,228	77,174	77,17
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	18,099	6,003	7,317	7,31
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	30,649	16,767	18,109	18,10
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	257,460	96,998	102,600	102,60
641919 NET COST _	(92,103)	(4,316)	(176)	(17

641920 WOMEN INFANTS & CHILDREN 20-21

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN I

		Drion Astrol		Working	Mid-Ye
		Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Budget FY 2020-21	Budg FY 2020-2
REVENUES		FT 2019-20	FT 2020-21	FT 2020-21	FT 2020-2
	AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES			305,639	305,63
4400	TOTAL REVENUES			305,639	305,63
				000,000	000,00
EXPENDITURES					
5000	SALARIES & BENEFITS		88,179	231,852	231,8
5100	SERVICES & SUPPLIES		3,692	20,826	19,7
5200	INTERNAL CHARGES		16,543	52,961	54,04
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES		108,414	305,639	305,6
	641920 NET COST		(108,414)		
	- HEALTH NET COST	(4,678)	(167,463)	(204)	(20
		(4,070)	(107,403)	(204)	(20
EALTH GRANTS					
610390 ELC-2 E	NHANCED LAB CAPICITY				
REVENUES					
4400	AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES		402,544	853,501	853,5
	TOTAL REVENUES		402,544	853,501	853,5
EXPENDITURES					
5000	SALARIES & BENEFITS			634,163	634,1
5100	SERVICES & SUPPLIES		5,800	207,338	207,3
5200	INTERNAL CHARGES			12,000	12,0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES		5,800	853,501	853,5
	610390 NET COST		396,744		
	-		·		
	CO TAX GRANT 17-20				
REVENUES 4800	OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	271,510	129,435	397,095	397,0
-500	TOTAL REVENUES	271,510	129,435	397,095	397,0
	-	•			
EXPENDITURES					
5000	SALARIES & BENEFITS	183,718	110,451	210,669	210,6
5100	SERVICES & SUPPLIES	59,464	30,758	154,304	154,3
5200	INTERNAL CHARGES	28,488	14,043	33,355	33,3
5800	OTHER FINANCING USES	57	57	57	:
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	271,727	155,309	398,385	398,3

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

		L . 02/03/2021			
		Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working Budget	Mid-Yea Budge
		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-2
REVENUES		11201020	1 1 2020 21	1 1 2020 21	11 2020 2
	0 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	78	8		
	0 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	21,920	0	21,920	21,91
	TOTAL REVENUES	21,998	8	21,920	21,92
		,	-	,	,-
EXPENDITURE	3				
500	0 SALARIES & BENEFITS	22,086	12,815	23,682	22,97
510	0 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	1,250			
520	0 INTERNAL CHARGES	4,871	2,949	5,896	5,89
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	28,207	15,764	29,578	28,87
	642515 NET COST	(6,209)	(15,756)	(7,658)	(6,953
643000 FIRST	FIVE COMMISSION				
REVENUES					
435	0 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	14,665	1,997	4,000	4,00
440	0 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	385,977	97,602	494,924	494,92
490	0 OTHER REVENUE	3,636			
	TOTAL REVENUES	404,278	99,599	498,924	498,92
EXPENDITURE	6				
500	0 SALARIES & BENEFITS	190,109	96,160	221,031	221,03
510	0 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	115,282	46,102	258,269	258,26
520	0 INTERNAL CHARGES	30,368	11,767	31,119	31,11
550	0 OTHER CHARGES	69,920	31,313	68,000	68,00
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	405,679	185,342	578,419	578,41
	643000 NET COST _	(1,401)	(85,743)	(79,495)	(79,49
	HEALTH GRANTS NET COST	(7,827)	269,371	(88,443)	(87,738
OCIAL SERVICE					
055801 FIRST	PROGRAM				
REVENUES					
440	0 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	376,126	123,448	424,444	206,88
480	0 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	174,107	42,105	162,384	384,38
	TOTAL REVENUES	550,233	165,553	586,828	591,27
EXPENDITURE	3				
-	0 SALARIES & BENEFITS	477,250	236,995	520,984	520,98
500	0 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	39,645	15,375	23,972	28,58
510			10,010	-0,012	20,00
	0 INTERNAL CHARGES	29,856	17,713	36,032	37,53

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DAT	E: 02/09/2021 Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working Budget	Mid-Yea Budge
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-2
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	550,362	270,206	586,988	591,430
055801 NET COST _	(129)	(104,653)	(160)	(160
SOCIAL SERVICE NET COST	(129)	(104,653)	(160)	(160
STANCE ABUSE				
045312 DRINKING DRIVER PROGRAM				
REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	74,679		20,000	20,000
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	54,728		120,900	120,900
TOTAL REVENUES	129,407		140,900	140,900
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	97,122	56,614	100,653	100,653
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	6,270	6,279	13,980	13,980
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	26,185	13,297	27,479	27,479
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	129,577	76,190	142,112	142,112
045312 NET COST	(170)	(76,190)	(1,212)	(1,212
-				
045315 SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS REVENUES				
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	(2,721)	(126)		
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	605,749	291,232	724,985	724,819
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	31,435	5,609	25,500	25,666
TOTAL REVENUES	634,463	296,715	750,485	750,485
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	429,170	229,218	609,680	609,680
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	135,218	9,596	66,588	66,588
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	70,358	32,455	74,050	74,050
5800 OTHER FINANCING USES	170	170	170	170
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	634,916	271,439	750,488	750,488
045315 NET COST	(453)	25,276	(3)	(3
	(+55)	20,210	(3)	(3
SUBSTANCE ABUSE NET COST	(623)	(50,914)	(1,215)	(1,215
RK INVESTMENT ACT				
613719 WORK INVESTMENT ACT 19-20 REVENUES				
	EE 022			

4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES

55,832

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DAT	E:02/09/2021			
	Prior Actuals FY 2019-20	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21	Working Budget FY 2020-21	Mid-Yea Budge FY 2020-2
TOTAL REVENUES	55,832			
	05 004			
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	65,081 4,541			
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	4,541 6,495			
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	76,117			
-				
613719 NET COST	(20,285)			
613720 WORK INVESTMENT ACT 20-21				
REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES			114,531	114,53 [,]
TOTAL REVENUES			114,531	114,531
-			,	,
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	210	36,645	77,192	77,192
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES		2,876	5,914	6,01
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES		3,838	8,556	8,550
5500 OTHER CHARGES			22,869	22,769
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	210	43,359	114,531	114,531
613720 NET COST	(210)	(43,359)		
WORK INVESTMENT ACT NET COST	(20,495)	(43,359)		
HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES NET COST	174,407	(602,585)	(95,243)	(178,976
PERSONNEL				
500902 WORKERS COMPENSATION TRUST				
REVENUES				
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	(3,551)	(1,041)		
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	977,244	512,736	1,025,579	1,025,579
TOTAL REVENUES	973,693	511,695	1,025,579	1,025,579
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS		10		1:
	923,621	818,205	1,020,579	1,020,566
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	/ -			
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	1,651 925,272	1,115 819,330	5,000 1,025,579	5,000

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DAT	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working Budget	Mid-Year Budget
1	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
500902 NET COST	48,421	(307,635)		
500903 COUNTY LIABILITY TRUST				
REVENUES				
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	14,280	1,702		
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	842,556	426,941	852,481	852,481
TOTAL REVENUES	856,836	428,643	852,481	852,481
EXPENDITURES				
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	475,502	1,054,122	1,341,770	1,341,770
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	637	462	1,800	1,800
5800 OTHER FINANCING USES			40,000	40,000
5900 RESERVES			5,000	5,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	476,139	1,054,584	1,388,570	1,388,570
			(=======)	
500903 NET COST	380,697	(625,941)	(536,089)	(536,089)
500904 MEDICAL MALPRACTICE TRUST REVENUES				
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	521	105		
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	93,961	58,813	117,626	117,626
TOTAL REVENUES	94,482	58,918	117,626	117,626
EXPENDITURES				
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	85,497	65,143	117,626	117,626
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	85,497	65,143	117,626	117,626
500904 NET COST _	8,985	(6,225)		
PERSONNEL NET COST	438,103	(939,801)	(536,089)	(536,089)
PERSONNEL NET COST	438,103	(939,801)	(536,089)	(536,089)
ANNING				
PLANNING AND ZONING				
620605 YUCCA MOUNTAIN OVERSIGHT REVENUES				
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	15,704	2,123	8,636	8,636
4350 REV USE OF MONET & FROFERTT	15,704	2,123	8,636	8,636
EXPENDITURES	1 074	0 470	1 0 4 0	1 3 40
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	4,271	2,170	4,340	4,340
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	17,900	223,483	248,782	248,782

AC OF DATE: 10/01/0000	
AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020	RUN DA

RUN DATE: 02/09/2021

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DATE	2:02/09/2021		\A/a alvia a	Mid Veen
	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working Budget	Mid-Year Budget
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	1,609	2,919	3,008	23,008
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	23,780	228,572	256,130	276,130
620605 NET COST	(8,076)	(226,449)	(247,494)	(267,494)
PLANNING AND ZONING NET COST	(8,076)	(226,449)	(247,494)	(267,494)
PLANNING NET COST	(8,076)	(226,449)	(247,494)	(267,494)
—				
PROBATION				
023002 CRIMINAL JUSTICE-REALIGNMENT				
REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	322,852	46,221	769,365	769,365
TOTAL REVENUES	322,852	46,221	769,365	769,365
EXPENDITURES				
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	322,852	46,221	769,365	769,365
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	322,852	46,221	769,365	769,365
023002 NET COST				
PROBATION NET COST				
PROBATION NET COST				
WORKS BISHOP AIRPORT				
150100 BISHOP AIRPORT				
REVENUES				
4300 RENTS & LEASES	175,447	105,366	187,588	187,588
4300 RENTS & LEASES 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	175,447 97,319	105,366 38,856	187,588 102,965	187,588 102,965
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY			102,965	102,965
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	97,319	38,856	102,965 900,000	102,965 900,000
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	97,319	38,856	102,965 900,000 967,946	102,965 900,000 969,546
 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES 	97,319 925,930	38,856 307,797	102,965 900,000 967,946 56,665	102,965 900,000 969,546 56,665
 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES 4900 OTHER REVENUE 	97,319 925,930 2,619	38,856 307,797 743	102,965 900,000 967,946 56,665 3,500	102,965 900,000 969,546 56,665 1,900
 4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES 4900 OTHER REVENUE 	97,319 925,930 2,619	38,856 307,797 743	102,965 900,000 967,946 56,665 3,500	102,965 900,000 969,546 56,665 1,900
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES 4900 OTHER REVENUE TOTAL REVENUES	97,319 925,930 2,619 1,201,315	38,856 307,797 743 452,762	102,965 900,000 967,946 56,665 3,500 2,218,664	102,965 900,000 969,546 56,665 1,900 2,218,664
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES 4900 OTHER REVENUE TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	97,319 925,930 2,619 1,201,315 302,139	38,856 307,797 743 452,762 170,638	102,965 900,000 967,946 56,665 3,500 2,218,664 362,011	102,965 900,000 969,546 56,665 1,900 2,218,664 362,011
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES 4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES 4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES 4900 OTHER REVENUE TOTAL REVENUES 5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	97,319 925,930 2,619 1,201,315 302,139 607,184	38,856 307,797 743 452,762 170,638 180,626	102,965 900,000 967,946 56,665 3,500 2,218,664 362,011 812,392	102,965 900,000 969,546 56,665 1,900 2,218,664 362,011 812,392

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DATE	E: 02/09/2021			
			Working	Mid-Year
	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budget
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,168,697	1,223,865	2,308,734	2,308,734
150100 NET COST	32,618	(771,103)	(90,070)	(90,070)
150200 BISHOP AIRPORT - SPECIAL				
REVENUES				
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	569	82		
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	10,000		10,000	10,000
TOTAL REVENUES	10,569	82	10,000	10,000
EXPENDITURES				
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	3,616	3,732	8,363	8,363
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	1,179		1,947	1,947
5800 OTHER FINANCING USES			24,000	24,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	4,795	3,732	34,310	34,310
150200 NET COST	5,774	(3,650)	(24,310)	(24,310)
630305 BISHOP AIR TAXIWAY REHAB REVENUES				
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	3,493,836	1,179,234	1,745,556	1,745,556
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	237,133		319,622	319,622
TOTAL REVENUES	3,730,969	1,179,234	2,065,178	2,065,178
EXPENDITURES				
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	227,528	89,521	194,521	194,521
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	12,220	8,412	15,000	15,000
5600 FIXED ASSETS		1,097,193	2,916,699	2,916,699
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	239,748	1,195,126	3,126,220	3,126,220
	0 404 004	(45.000)	(4,004,040)	(4,004,040)
630305 NET COST	3,491,221	(15,892)	(1,061,042)	(1,061,042)
630306 BISHOP AIR ENVIR ASSESSMENT				
REVENUES	~~~~~	70.400	500.000	500.000
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	88,228	78,123	532,000	532,000
4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	00.000	70.400	116,396	116,396
TOTAL REVENUES	88,228	78,123	648,396	648,396
EXPENDITURES				
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	85,188	164,816	534,269	534,269
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	9,766	4,503	11,235	11,235
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	94,954	169,319	545,504	545,504

	AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020	RUN DATE	:02/09/2021			
					Working	Mid-Year
			Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budget
			FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
	630306	NET COST	(6,726)	(91,196)	102,892	102,892
		_			·	
	BISHOP AIRPORT	NET COST	3,522,887	(881,841)	(1,072,530)	(1,072,530)
JNTY SERVICE AREA #	#2					
810001 COUNT	Y SERVICE AREA #2					
REVENUES						
4000	TAXES - PROPERTY			31,045		
4350	REV USE OF MONEY & PROPER	TY	2,654	426	800	800
4400	AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENC	IES	22,738			
4600	CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERV	ICES	56,176	(240)	53,000	53,000
	TOTAL	REVENUES	81,568	31,231	53,800	53,800
EXPENDITURES						
	SALARIES & BENEFITS		3,443	2,154	4,271	4,271
	SERVICES & SUPPLIES		41,413	8,621	46,709	46,709
	INTERNAL CHARGES		292	1,177	4,854	4,854
	FIXED ASSETS		252	1,177	4,004 50,000	50,000
5000	TOTAL EXPL	ENDITURES	45,148	11,952	105,834	105,834
		—			,	
	810001	NET COST	36,420	19,279	(52,034)	(52,034)
	COUNTY SERVICE AREA #2	NET COST	26 420	19,279	(50.004)	<i>(</i>)
			36,420	19,279	(52,034)	(52,034)
			30,420	19,279	(52,034)	(52,034
	r		36,420	19,279	(52,034)	(52,034
150300 INDEPE			36,420	19,279	(52,034)	(52,034
150300 INDEPE REVENUES	r		2,551	2,511	(52,034)	
150300 INDEPE REVENUES 4300	T ENDENCE AIRPORT		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	3,270
150300 INDEPE REVENUES 4300	RENTS & LEASES REV USE OF MONEY & PROPER	TY REVENUES	2,551	2,511	3,270	3,27(14,75(
150300 INDEPE REVENUES 4300 4350	RENTS & LEASES REV USE OF MONEY & PROPER		2,551 14,836	2,511 70	3,270 14,750	3,27(14,75(
150300 INDEPE REVENUES 4300 4350 EXPENDITURES	RENTS & LEASES REV USE OF MONEY & PROPER TOTAL		2,551 14,836 17,387	2,511 70 2,581	3,270 14,750 18,020	3,270 14,750 18,020
150300 INDEPE REVENUES 4300 4350 EXPENDITURES 5000	RENTS & LEASES REV USE OF MONEY & PROPER TOTAL		2,551 14,836 17,387 13,623	2,511 70 2,581 3,367	3,270 14,750 18,020 3,367	3,270 14,750 18,020 3,367
150300 INDEPE REVENUES 4300 4350 EXPENDITURES 5000 5100	RENTS & LEASES REV USE OF MONEY & PROPER TOTAL SALARIES & BENEFITS SERVICES & SUPPLIES		2,551 14,836 17,387 13,623 469	2,511 70 2,581 3,367 600	3,270 14,750 18,020 3,367 3,600	3,27(14,75(18,02(3,367 3,60(
150300 INDEPE REVENUES 4300 4350 EXPENDITURES 5000 5100	RENTS & LEASES REV USE OF MONEY & PROPER TOTAL SALARIES & BENEFITS SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES	REVENUES _	2,551 14,836 17,387 13,623 469 13,986	2,511 70 2,581 3,367 600 11,209	3,270 14,750 18,020 3,367 3,600 25,688	3,270 14,750 18,020 3,360 25,688
150300 INDEPE REVENUES 4300 4350 EXPENDITURES 5000 5100	RENTS & LEASES REV USE OF MONEY & PROPER TOTAL SALARIES & BENEFITS SERVICES & SUPPLIES	REVENUES _	2,551 14,836 17,387 13,623 469	2,511 70 2,581 3,367 600	3,270 14,750 18,020 3,367 3,600	3,270 14,750 18,020 3,360 25,688
150300 INDEPE REVENUES 4300 4350 EXPENDITURES 5000 5100	RENTS & LEASES REV USE OF MONEY & PROPER <i>TOTAL</i> SALARIES & BENEFITS SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES <i>TOTAL EXPL</i>	REVENUES _	2,551 14,836 17,387 13,623 469 13,986	2,511 70 2,581 3,367 600 11,209	3,270 14,750 18,020 3,367 3,600 25,688	3,270 14,750 18,020 3,367 3,600 25,688 32,655
150300 INDEPE REVENUES 4300 4350 EXPENDITURES 5000 5100 5200	RENTS & LEASES REV USE OF MONEY & PROPER TOTAL SALARIES & BENEFITS SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPL	REVENUES	2,551 14,836 17,387 13,623 469 13,986 28,078	2,511 70 2,581 3,367 600 11,209 15,176	3,270 14,750 18,020 3,367 3,600 25,688 32,655	3,27(14,75(18,02(3,367 3,60(25,688 32,655
150300 INDEPE REVENUES 4300 4350 EXPENDITURES 5000 5100 5200	RENTS & LEASES REV USE OF MONEY & PROPER <i>TOTAL</i> SALARIES & BENEFITS SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES <i>TOTAL EXPL</i>	REVENUES	2,551 14,836 17,387 13,623 469 13,986 28,078	2,511 70 2,581 3,367 600 11,209 15,176	3,270 14,750 18,020 3,367 3,600 25,688 32,655	3,27(14,75(18,02(3,367 3,60(25,688 32,655
150300 INDEPE REVENUES 4300 4350 EXPENDITURES 5000 5100 5200 150400 INDEPE REVENUES	RENTS & LEASES REV USE OF MONEY & PROPER TOTAL SALARIES & BENEFITS SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPL	REVENUES	2,551 14,836 17,387 13,623 469 13,986 28,078	2,511 70 2,581 3,367 600 11,209 15,176	3,270 14,750 18,020 3,367 3,600 25,688 32,655	(52,034) 3,270 14,750 18,020 3,367 3,600 25,688 32,655 (14,635) (14,635)

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

Prior Actuals FY 2019-20 ES 10,451 5,976 958 ES 6,934	YTD Actuals FY 2020-21 65 1,504	Budget FY 2020-21 10,250	Budge FY 2020-2 10,25
ES 10,451 5,976 958	65		
5,976 958		10,230	10,20
958	1,504		
958	1,504		
		8,761	8,76
ES 6.024		1,489	1,48
E3 0,934	1,504	10,250	10,25
ST 3,517	(1,439)		
ST (7,174)	(14,034)	(14,635)	(14,63
	(')	(')	
	1,214		
28,767	14,748	29,970	30,12
1,286	114	1,000	1,00
33,952	23,594	57,000	56,8
26			
ES 64,031	39,670	87,970	87,9
27,996	32,166	62,275	62,2
27,497	12,722	30,018	30,0
15,931			
ES 71,424	44,888	92,293	92,29
ST (7.202)	(5.218)	(4 222)	(4.22
31 (7,393)	(3,210)	(4,323)	(4,32
2,006,406	123,932		
ES 2,006,406	123,932		
150,432			
ST 1,840,946	123,932		
	28,767 1,286 33,952 26 27,996 27,996 27,497 15,931 25 71,424 957 (7,393) 957 (7,594) 957 (7,595) 957 (7,595)	PST = (7,174) (14,034) $1,214$ $28,767 = 14,748$ $1,286 = 114$ $33,952 = 23,594$ 26 26 $27,996 = 32,166$ $27,497 = 12,722$ $15,931$ $PSS = 71,424 = 44,888$ $PST = (7,393) (5,218)$ $PSS = 2,006,406 = 123,932$ $PSS = 150,432$ $150,432$	$PST = (7,174) (14,034) (14,635) \\ 1,286 (14,748) (14,635) \\ 1,286 (14,748) (14,635) \\ 1,286 (14,748) (14,748) (14,635) \\ 1,286 (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,635) \\ 1,286 (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) \\ 2,28,767 (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) \\ 2,7,996 (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) \\ 2,7,996 (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) \\ 2,7,996 (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) \\ 2,7,996 (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) \\ 2,7,996 (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) \\ 2,7,996 (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) \\ 2,7,996 (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) \\ 15,931 (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) \\ 15,931 (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) \\ 2,7,996 (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) (14,748) \\ 2,7,996 (14,748)$

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

				Working	Mid-Yea
		Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budge
1		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-2
43	50 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	459	64	250	25
44	00 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	10,000		10,000	10,00
	TOTAL REVENUES	10,459	64	10,250	10,25
EXPENDITUR	S				
50	00 SALARIES & BENEFITS	2,317	232	232	23
	00 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	5,250	2,196	7,341	7,34
52	00 INTERNAL CHARGES	442	489	2,784	2,78
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	8,009	2,917	10,357	10,35
	150600 NET COST	2,450	(2,853)	(107)	(107
	LONE PINE AIRPORT NET COST	1,836,003	115,861	(4,430)	(4,430
LTC					
504605 TRA	NSPORTATION & PLANNING TRST				
REVENUES					
40	60 TAXES - SALES	44,621	21,860	69,579	69,57
43	50 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	8,460	678	1,300	1,30
44	00 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	495,866	62,932	542,000	542,00
	TOTAL REVENUES	548,947	85,470	612,879	612,87
EXPENDITUR	-s				
	00 SALARIES & BENEFITS	264,010	118,928	225,048	225,04
	00 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	206,159	51,125	203,748	203,74
	00 INTERNAL CHARGES	59,440	30,617	87,887	87,88
	00 OTHER CHARGES	127,723	00,011	01,001	07,00
	00 FIXED ASSETS	27,336		7,500	7,50
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	684,668	200,670	524,183	524,18
		504,000	200,010	024,100	JZ-7,10
	504605 NET COST	(135,721)	(115,200)	88,696	88,69

	:02/09/2021		Marking	Mid-Y
	Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working Budget	Mid-Y Bud
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	268,876	881,146	1,372,472	1,372,
			.,0.2,2	.,,
011501 NET COST	445,944	(876,764)	(809,472)	(809,4
152101 WATER SYSTEM - INDEPENDENCE				
REVENUES				
TOTAL REVENUES				
EXPENDITURES				
TOTAL EXPENDITURES				
152101 NET COST				
152102 INDY H2O UPGRADE				
REVENUES TOTAL REVENUES				
EXPENDITURES				
TOTAL EXPENDITURES				
152102 NET COST				
152199 WATER SYSTEMS				
REVENUES				
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	803	191	500	
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES			145,478	145,
4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	615,881	254,375	670,000	670,
TOTAL REVENUES	616,684	254,566	815,978	815,
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	319,496	171,082	342,528	300,
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	59,208	24,508	100,689	142,
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	100,806	34,206	83,318	83,
5550 DEBT SERVICE PRINCIPAL	14,686	7,531	15,192	15,
5560 DEBT SERVICE INTEREST	2,344	983	1,839	1,
5600 FIXED ASSETS			210,000	210,
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	496,540	238,310	753,566	753,

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN D A	ATE:02/09/2021			
			Working	Mid-Yea
		YTD Actuals	Budget	Budge
		FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-2
TOTAL REVENUES	6			
EXPENDITURES				
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	s			
152201 NET COST	г			
152301 WATER SYSTEM - LAWS				
REVENUES TOTAL REVENUES				
TOTAL REVENUES				
EXPENDITURES				
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	6			
152301 NET COST	г			
800001 BIG PINE LIGHTING				
REVENUES				
4000 TAXES - PROPERTY	26,539	15,761	24,130	24,13
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	6,623	934	5,000	5,00
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	156		20	2
TOTAL REVENUES	S 33,318	16,695	29,150	29,15
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	1,958	3,337	7,024	7,02
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	7,745	3,428	24,110	24,11
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	3,848	2,222	4,945	4,94
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	S 13,551	8,987	36,079	36,07
800001 NET COST	T 19,767	7,708	(6,929)	(6,929
800101 INDEPENDENCE LIGHTING				
REVENUES				
4000 TAXES - PROPERTY	27,864	16,395	27,420	27,42
4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	7,523	1,046	5,700	5,70
4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	163	·	20	2
TOTAL REVENUES	3 35,550	17,441	33,140	33,14
EXPENDITURES				
5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	1,958	2,283	4,669	4,66
5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	10,159	1,957	22,539	22,53
5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	4,425	2,215	5,932	5,93

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020

		Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working Budget	Mid-Ye Budg
		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-2
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	16,542	6,455	33,140	33,14
	800101 NET COST	19,008	10,986		
	800201 LONE PINE LIGHTING				
	REVENUES				
	4000 TAXES - PROPERTY	24,701	14,333	22,100	22,1
	4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	4,142	581	3,000	3,0
	4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	143		20	
	TOTAL REVENUES	28,986	14,914	25,120	25,1
	EXPENDITURES				
	5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	1,958	2,283	4,669	4,6
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	12,505	5,143	55,110	55,1
	5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	5,348	2,315	7,132	7,1
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	19,811	9,741	66,911	66,9
	800201 NET COST	9,175	5,173	(41,791)	(41,7
	– PUBLIC WORKS NET COST	614,038	(836,641)	(795,780)	(795,7
ROAD					
	034600 ROAD REVENUES				
	4100 LICENSES & PERMITS	14,354	13,279	20,000	20,0
	4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	106,442	13,235	65,000	65,0
	4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	7,866,799	3,078,494	9,706,552	9,706,5
	4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	188,118	44,711	116,800	124,8
	4900 OTHER REVENUE	46,324	3,830	32,000	24,0
	TOTAL REVENUES	8,222,037	3,153,549	9,940,352	9,940,3
	EXPENDITURES				
	5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	3,353,203	1,815,882	3,674,273	3,674,2
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	2,048,199	1,148,786	2,509,249	2,509,2
	5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	741,286	298,341	766,317	766,3
	5600 FIXED ASSETS	1,896,130	1,060,961	4,711,745	4,711,7
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	8,038,818	4,323,970	11,661,584	11,661,5
	034600 NET COST	183,219	(1,170,421)	(1,721,232)	(1,721,2
		,•	(, -,)	(, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, ,
	034601 ROAD PROJECTS - STATE FUNDED REVENUES				

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

	AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DAT	E:02/09/2021			
		Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working Budget	Mid-Yea
		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	Budge FY 2020-21
	TOTAL REVENUES	435,571	224,034	3,068,100	3,068,100
			,		
EXPENDITURES					
5600	FIXED ASSETS	653,708	1,242,301	3,137,987	3,137,98
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	653,708	1,242,301	3,137,987	3,137,987
			(((00.00-
	034601 NET COST _	(218,137)	(1,018,267)	(69,887)	(69,887
631100 BISHOF REVENUES	P AIR REHAB RUNWAY 12-30				
4400	AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	274,883	4,279,174	7,370,291	7,370,29
	TOTAL REVENUES	274,883	4,279,174	7,370,291	7,370,29
EXPENDITURES					
	SERVICES & SUPPLIES	268,113	299,735	299,735	299,73
	INTERNAL CHARGES	6,770	11,999	91,216	91,216
	FIXED ASSETS	0,110	6,487,274	6,979,340	6,979,340
0000	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	274,883	6,799,008	7,370,291	7,370,29
	631100 NET COST		(2,519,834)		
	ROAD NET COST	(34,918)	(4,708,522)	(1,791,119)	(1,791,119
HOSHONE AIRPORT					
150800 SHOSH	ONE AIRPORT - SPECIAL				
150800 SHOSH REVENUES	ONE AIRPORT - SPECIAL				
REVENUES	REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	764	110	10	10
REVENUES 4350	REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	10,000	110	10,000	10,000
REVENUES 4350	REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY		110		10,000
REVENUES 4350	REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	10,000		10,000	10,000
REVENUES 4350 4400 EXPENDITURES	REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	10,000		10,000	10,000
REVENUES 4350 4400 EXPENDITURES 5000	REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES	10,000 10,764	110	10,000 10,010 116	10,000 10,010 110
REVENUES 4350 4400 EXPENDITURES 5000 5100	REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES	10,000 10,764 944	110	10,000 10,010	10,000 10,010 110 3,204
REVENUES 4350 4400 EXPENDITURES 5000 5100	REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES SALARIES & BENEFITS SERVICES & SUPPLIES	10,000 10,764 944 396	110 116 398	10,000 10,010 116 3,204	10 10,000 10,010 116 3,204 5,562 8,882
REVENUES 4350 4400 EXPENDITURES 5000 5100	REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES SALARIES & BENEFITS SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	10,000 10,764 944 396 4,822 6,162	110 116 398 1,946 2,460	10,000 10,010 116 3,204 5,562 8,882	10,000 10,010 1110 3,204 5,562 8,882
REVENUES 4350 4400 EXPENDITURES 5000 5100	REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES SALARIES & BENEFITS SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES	10,000 10,764 944 396 4,822	110 116 398 1,946	10,000 10,010 116 3,204 5,562	10,000 10,010 116 3,204 5,562
REVENUES 4350 4400 EXPENDITURES 5000 5100	REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES TOTAL REVENUES SALARIES & BENEFITS SERVICES & SUPPLIES INTERNAL CHARGES TOTAL EXPENDITURES	10,000 10,764 944 396 4,822 6,162	110 116 398 1,946 2,460	10,000 10,010 116 3,204 5,562 8,882	10,000 10,010 110 3,204 5,562 8,882

SHERIFF

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RU

		Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Working Budget	Mid-Yea Budge
		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-2
HERIFF GRANTS					
671413 CALMET TASK FO	DRCE				
REVENUES					
4400 AID FROM	M OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	123,060	57,845	122,558	122,55
	TOTAL REVENUES	123,060	57,845	122,558	122,55
EXPENDITURES					
5100 SERVICE	S & SUPPLIES	45,192	41,274	89,659	87,65
5200 INTERNA		62,586	44,286	57,047	59,04
5500 OTHER C		10,000	10,000	10,000	10,00
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	117,778	95,560	156,706	156,70
	-				· · ·
	671413 NET COST	5,282	(37,715)	(34,148)	(34,14
671507 ILLEGAL CANNAI	BIS SUPRESSION				
REVENUES					
4400 AID FROM	M OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	3,550	11,128	20,000	20,00
	TOTAL REVENUES	3,550	11,128	20,000	20,00
EXPENDITURES					
5000 SALARIES	S & BENEFITS	2,651	8,062	15,000	15,00
5100 SERVICE		878	2,296	5,000	5,00
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	3,529	10,358	20,000	20,00
	671507 NET COST	21	770		
	SHERIFF GRANTS NET COST	5,303	(36,945)	(34,148)	(34,14
IERIFF OFF HIGHWAY VEHICLE					
623519 OFF HWY VEHICL REVENUES	E GRANT 19-20				
4400 AID FROM	M OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	47,111			
	TOTAL REVENUES	47,111			
		F 007			
5200 INTERNA		5,697			
5600 FIXED AS	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	41,414 47,111			
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	47,111			

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

	AS OF DATE : 12/31/2020 RUN D	ATE: 02/09/2021			
				Working	Mid-Yea
		Prior Actuals	YTD Actuals	Budget	Budge
		FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21	FY 2020-21
	4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES		14,303	65,543	65,543
	TOTAL REVENUE	S	14,303	65,543	65,543
	EXPENDITURES				
	5200 INTERNAL CHARGES			10,543	10,543
	5600 FIXED ASSETS			55,000	55,000
	TOTAL EXPENDITURE	s		65,543	65,54
	623520 NET COS	Г	14,303		
	SHERIFF OFF HIGHWAY VEHICLE NET COS	Т	14,303		
	SHERIFF NET COS	5,303	(22,642)	(34,148)	(34,148
ER					
WATER					
	024102 WATER DEPARTMENT REVENUES				
	4350 REV USE OF MONEY & PROPERTY	19,607	4,084	15,000	15,00
	4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	1,639,869	1,620,293	1,768,039	1,768,03
	4600 CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,20
	4800 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	185,715	31,278	106,040	106,04
	4900 OTHER REVENUE	70		100	10
	TOTAL REVENUE	S 1,846,461	1,656,855	1,890,379	1,890,37
	EXPENDITURES				
	5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	1,099,161	597,141	1,163,764	1,163,76
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	162,338	202,835	325,128	434,47
	5200 INTERNAL CHARGES	250,114	114,025	275,347	275,34
	5500 OTHER CHARGES	176,286	83,392	155,514	155,51
	TOTAL EXPENDITURE	S 1,687,899	997,393	1,919,753	2,029,10
		_			
	024102 NET COS	T 158,562	659,462	(29,374)	(138,724
	024502 SALT CEDAR PROJECT REVENUES				
	4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES	60,451	17,418	80,964	80,96
	TOTAL REVENUE	S 60,451	17,418	80,964	80,96
	EXPENDITURES				
	5000 SALARIES & BENEFITS	31,925	32,575	49,462	49,46
	5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES	556	224	1,300	1,30
				,	,

27,969

7,729

16,457

16,457

5200 INTERNAL CHARGES

BUD020 - MID-YEAR BUDGET REVIEW

AS OF DATE: 12/31/2020 RUN DATE: 02/09/2021 Working Mid-Year Prior Actuals YTD Actuals Budget Budget FY 2019-20 FY 2020-21 FY 2020-21 FY 2020-21 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 60,450 40,528 67,219 67,219 024502 NET COST 1 (23,110) 13,745 13,745 621902 OWENS RIVER WATER TRAIL GRANT REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES 500,032 500,032 TOTAL REVENUES 500,032 500,032 **EXPENDITURES** 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 500,032 500,032 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 500,032 500,032 621902 NET COST 621903 BIG PINE RECYCLE WATER PRJ REVENUES 4400 AID FROM OTHER GOVT AGENCIES 125,085 4,499 TOTAL REVENUES 125,085 4,499 **EXPENDITURES** 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 17,322 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 17,322 621903 NET COST 107,763 4,499 621904 CEQA STUDY REVENUES TOTAL REVENUES EXPENDITURES 5100 SERVICES & SUPPLIES 18,855 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 18,855 621904 NET COST (18,855) **WATER NET COST** 247,471 640,851 (15,629) (124,979) **WATER NET COST** 247,471 640,851 (15,629) (124,979)

TOTAL NET COST 14,150,028 (10,673,809) (17,634,106) (17,851,001)



COUNTY OF INYO BUDGET CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY & EXTRAORDINARY BUDGET POLICIES

I. PURPOSE

The purpose of this policy is to ensure that department heads are responsible and accountable to maintain their department expenditure levels within the adopted budget and to collect, in a timely manner, the full amount of revenues budgeted.

II. GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR THE REQUESTED, RECOMMENDED AND ADOPTED BUDGETS

With the exception of traditional General Fund Discretionary Revenues (i.e., sales tax, property tax and other general purpose revenues) all versions of the budget(s) should reflect an appropriation for all expenditures to be incurred and revenues expected to be received during the Fiscal Year.

For example:

- General Fund Discretionary Revenues will be budgeted in a separate budget to be known as "General Revenues" and will be the joint responsibility of the County Administrator and the Auditor-Controller;
- Grant expenditures and revenues need to be appropriated on an annual basis;
- Revenues directed to a specific program and/or department will be reflected in the receiving program and/or department (i.e., Trial Court Funds in the court budgets, Prop 172 in the Public Safety departments, Health Realignment in Health, etc.);
- All Capital Project Budgets will reflect anticipated expenditures as well as clearly delineate the source(s) and amount(s) of all offsetting revenues;
- The General Fund obligation/contribution to a program and/or department will be reflected as the "Net County Cost" of said program and/or department;



III. SUBMISSION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE ADOPTED BUDGET PLAN

If a department determines it cannot operate within the parameters of the Adopted Budget, the department <u>shall</u> submit a plan to the County Administrator as soon as the department determines a problem exists, outlining corrective actions necessary to bring their budget under control for the balance of the fiscal year.

IV. APPROPRIATION OF UNANTICIPATED REVENUE

Unanticipated revenue is generally a new source of revenue for a specific purpose not originally included in the budget.

A. The appropriation of <u>UNANTICIPATED REVENUE</u> during the year will <u>NOT</u> be permitted unless the department has realized its pro rata percentage of estimated revenue, e.g., a department with an estimated revenue of \$100,000 must have received at least \$25,000 by September 30th before the Board will consider approval of the request to appropriate unanticipated revenue.

Department Heads in processing **FUND TRANSFERS** must specify the date(s) by which the unanticipated revenue will be received and whether it will be in advance of in arrears (after costs have been incurred). If the full amount of unanticipated revenue will lag behind the expenditure of program funds, this must be specified on the fund transfer with a recommendation as to how to deal with the negative cash flow.

B. Exceptions to IV.A. will be considered on a case-by-case basis. For example, unanticipated revenue for a <u>new</u> program, <u>restricted</u> program, etc. would probably constitute an exception.

V. BUDGET CONTROL

A. During the Fiscal Year, the following expenditure accounts will be subject to object code controlled at the object level. This means that changes/transfers from these accounts will require prior approval of the County Administrator.



- 1. Workers Compensation
- 2. Liability Insurance
- 3. Public Liability Insurance
- 4. Motor Poll
- 5. Telephone Internal
- 6. Postage Internal
- 7. Computer Charge Internal
- 8. Any other charges categories (5500)
- B. All other expenditure object codes will be controlled and monitored at the object category level (i.e., 5000 Salaries & Benefits; 5100 Services and Supplies; 5600 Fixed Assets) by the Auditor-Controller.

All appropriation changes/transfers between object categories will require prior approval of the County Administrator.

C. Department's expenditures for <u>grant-funded programs</u> shall not exceed projected grant revenues. Authorization to "increase" appropriations without offsetting revenues must be obtained from the Board of Supervisors.

Note 1: This policy applies even if a department is within its overall departmental expenditure and revenue budget.

Note 2: Should expenditures exceed the projected grant revenues, the Auditor-Controller will inform the department of this occurrence so the department can work with the County Administrator to get the appropriate authorization to "increase" appropriations from the Board of Supervisors.

- D. The County Administrator is authorized to approve a new fixed asset or augment an existing fixed asset in an amount not to exceed \$10,000, provided that the total appropriation of the budget unit is not increased.
- E. If an **OVER-EXPENDITURE** is projected to occur, the department head shall perform one of more of the following steps.
 - 1. Lower the expenditure level to maintain overall expenditures within the budgeted amount at the object level or overall departmental budget.
 - 2. Request an Appropriation Change from another object category within the same budget.



- 3. Request an Appropriation Change from another budget unit within the same department and under the Department Head's control.
- 4. Prepare a memo to the County Administrator, providing adequate justification. Additionally, prepare an Appropriation Change Request Form for an appropriation from Contingencies and an agenda item for the Board of Supervisors approval.
- F. If estimated revenue is projected to be <u>UNDER-ACHIEVED</u>, the Department Head shall perform one or more of the following steps.
 - 1. Lower expenditure levels so Net County Costs are not exceeded.
 - 2. Same as Section V.E.

VI. PROCESSING OF OVER-EXPENDED ACCOUNTS

- A. It shall be the responsibility of the <u>Auditor-Controller</u> to not process any payment request when an over-expenditure of object categories of accounts will occur <u>and</u> there has been no increase in appropriations approved either by the County Administrator or the Board of Supervisors as defined under Section IV.B.
- B. It shall be the Department's responsibility to initiate appropriation changes between non-controlled objects to keep them from being over-expended. In the even that an appropriation change is not processed in a timely manner and the object code is overexpended, as long as the total object category is not over-expended, the Auditor-Controller will continue to process any payments and inform the Department of the over-expenditure and request an immediate correction. However, should the Department consistently over-expend object line items, the Auditor-Controller will inform the County Administrator who will take appropriate corrective actions, which may include requesting Board of Supervisors approval to implement budget controls over the department's entire budget.
- C. It shall be the responsibility of the Purchasing Agent to withhold the processing of any purchase order which would result in over-expenditure of the Fixed Assets Object.
- D. Even if expenditure savings are available, the transfer of funds between major object categories (i.e., Salaries and Services and Supplies) will NOT be authorized if a department's Net County Cost is projected to exceed that originally budgeted and approved by the Board of Supervisors. The department should take corrective action(s) as outlined in Section V.E and/or F.



VII. APPROPRIATION FROM CONTINGENCIES

It will be the Department Head's responsibility to fully justify a request for an appropriation from contingencies and demonstrate an inability to reduce corresponding expenditures and/or increase revenues in other areas of the budget. In addition, the County Administrator, in working with the affected departments, reserves the right to use savings in other areas of the County budget to offset shortfalls before using contingencies.

VIII. REPORTS

Department Heads shall submit MID-YEAR and THIRD QUARTER FINANCIAL REPORTS to the County Administrator. These reports will provide a projection of the department's expenditures, revenues and Net County Cost for the fiscal year and include the reasons for over-expenditures and/or under-realization of revenues, along with a corrective action plan by the Department.

It shall be the responsibility of the County Administrator to submit the Mid-Year and Third-Quarter Financial Report to the Board of Supervisors. The Mid-Year Financial Report will usually occur in January and Third-Quarter Financial Report will usually occur in April. Subsequent financial reports may be required based on the overall financial condition of the County and/or as directed by the Board of Supervisors.

IX. ACCOUNTABILITY

As provided for in State law, it is the intent of the Board of Supervisors to hold Department Heads <u>PERSONALLY LIABLE</u> for over-spending their budgets and not adhering to the foregoing policy. Department Heads may be required to address the Board of Supervisors and/or the County Administrator on the financial performance of their departments.



EXTRAORDINARY BUDGET CONTROL POLICIES

- 1. Department heads are responsible and accountable to maintain their department budgets.
- 2. Departments must promptly prepare and submit billings for reimbursable revenue; doing so as soon as permissible under the terms of the applicable funding agreement or program guidelines.
- 3. Upon being notified, or otherwise learning of a reduction in funding, or a possible reduction in funding that could impact a department's ability to collect the full amount of budgeted revenues, the department head shall immediately notify, in writing, the Board of Supervisors and County Budget Officer.
- 4. Upon being notified of the suspension or elimination of payments, or upon learning of any other known reduction in budgeted revenues, the department head shall immediately prepare appropriate amendments to the affected budget(s) for consideration by the Board of Supervisors within two-weeks of the notice.
- 5. Minimize purchases to maximize end-of-the-year Fund Balance.
- 6. Minimize travel expense to maximize end-of-the-year Fund Balance.
- 7. To enrich cultural and recreational opportunities for residents and visitors alike, and to provide assistance to the local tourism industry in attracting visitors to the county, the County will strive to maintain Advertising County Resources programs and contracts to the extent practical when considering other Budget needs and revenue projections, but no recipient of a County grant or contract should assume or count on continued funding beyond the year in which the grant or contract is awarded.
- 8. An authorized position review hiring process that requires Board of Supervisors approval prior to hiring vacant positions, and prohibits department heads from agendizing requests to fill vacant positions unless: (1) the department head first certifies either (a) the availability of Non-General Fund position funding at the time of the request, and the County Administrator and Auditor-Controller concur, or (b) the position is funded by the General Fund; and, (2) the position can be filled through an internal recruitment<u>if</u> requested by the Personnel Director in response to budget reductions.
- 9. Department heads responsible for programs that might be proposed for realignment or restructuring by the State, need to evaluate all realignment/restructuring proposals and alert the Board of Supervisors and County Administrator of the proposals, their potential impacts (positive and negative), and any issues specific to Inyo County or small rural counties in general.
- 10. Departments are encouraged to remain cognizant and utilize the principles of Service Redesign to achieve ongoing reductions in expense of increases in revenues.







Planning Department TIMED ITEMS - ACTION REQUIRED

MEETING: February 16, 2021

FROM: Cathreen Richards

SUBJECT: Consideration of Appeal No. 2020-01 (Cimino/Gleason)

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Request Board: A) conduct a public hearing regarding Appeal No. 2020-01 (Cimino/Gleason) of Conditional Use Permit 2019-18/IMACA; and B) deny the appeal.

SUMMARY/JUSTIFICATION:

The applicant, Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action (IMACA) applied for a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) in the Highway Service and Tourist Commercial zone (C-2) to operate a Safe Parking Program at the Church of the Nazarene. This project will permit qualifying people experiencing homelessness to park in up to 15 designated spaces along the west side of the Church property from 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. The vehicle occupants would have use of the restroom facilities on the east side of the administrative office building during that time only.

The C-2 zone allows "Public/quasi-public buildings and uses of recreational, religious, cultural or public service nature" as a conditional use (Inyo County Code Section 18.48.030(P)). This project is considered to be a quasi-public use as the project serves the public and is publicly funded. The Safe Parking Project will be operated by IMACA. IMACA will enter into an agreement with the Church of the Nazarene to operate this project on a trial basis.

Staff presented the project request and staff report (Attachment 1) to the Planning Commission at the meeting held January 22, 2020. The Planning Commission Minutes are included as Attachment No. 2 and the Letter of Appeal is included as Attachment No.3. Comment letters and e-mails received subsequent to the Planning Commission meeting are included as Attachment No. 4.

Planning Commission Meeting

At the meeting, staff brought forward an additional condition of approval than was found in the staff report. This condition was based on Item No. 6 in the Terms of Agreement between the Church of the Nazarene and IMACA. Though the Terms are incorporated in the staff report as a condition of approval, the terms can be changed if agreed to by the Church and IMACA. The new condition reads:

4. IMACA shall work directly with the Inyo County Sheriff, City of Bishop Police Chief, and the Bishop Church of the Nazarene to establish security measures for program participants and program administration requirements. IMACA shall prepare a formal security plan to be approved by the Inyo County Sheriff and the City of Bishop Police Chief prior to operation of the Safe Parking Program.

Agenda Request Page 2

The Planning Commission Chair opened a public hearing and took public comments on the proposed project. The project proponent on behalf of IMACA, Larry Emerson, and a representative of the Church of the Nazarene spoke in support of the project. Supervisor Rick Pucci spoke and he stated that the addition of Condition No. 4 to the conditions of approval addressed some of his concerns regarding the project.

Commissioner Vogel asked if the hours could change. Mr. Emerson stated that these rules are on a trial basis and that there is room to change the hours if agreed to by IMACA and the Church. Commissioner Stoner stated he would like the project to come back to the Planning Commission to revisit any potential issues with the project in 3 to 12 months. After some discussion, the Commission gave direction to staff to add a fifth condition of approval that would require IMACA to submit a report to the Planning Department after the program has been in operation for one year. If there were some significant issues, the Planning Director would bring the project to the Planning Commission for an additional hearing. If no issues were identified, the report would be provided to the Planning Commission as an informational item. The Planning Director will provide the determination and the report to the Planning Commission at the subsequently scheduled meeting.

Commissioner Vogel made a motion to approve the application and it was seconded by Commissioner Stoner to approve the project as amended by the addition of Conditions No. 4 and No. 5. The Motion passed 4-0, with one recusal by Commissioner Morley.

Appeal

The appellants (Scott Cimino and Laura Gleason) submitted an appeal of the Planning Commission approval on February 6, 2020. Mr. Cimino and Ms. Gleason state in their cover letter that "the Planning Department misrepresented the project information and failed to accurately analyze the effects of this project." Specifically, the appeal states:

1. Surrounding Land Use – The report indicates the land to the east, south and west is "Vacant/Open Space". This is categorically incorrect. For decades, all three of these sides indicated are operated by the Bishop Unified School District as a facility specifically used for the agricultural program and FFA projects. To overlook this information is gross negligence on the part of the Planning Department in preparing the report and is substantially misleading to anyone referencing the report. The School should have been directly consulted on this proposal as it has the potential to significantly impact the safety of students and School operations.

2. Site Location – The report again indicates that the project is surrounded by vacant, open space. This is false information. The report should indicate the areas directly adjacent to the project on the east, south and west sides are Bishop Unified School facilities.

3. General Plan Consistency – The report references consistency with the Housing Element and references "Approval of mixed-use development...", however, the project is not a development of any kind. The use of this reference is out of context in relation to the project and is irrelevant in terms of planning approval.

4. Findings – Item 5 states the terms of the agreement between IMACA and the Church will ensure the proposed use will not have an impact on the surrounding facilities. The terms of the contract are solely at the discretion of IMACA and the Church and can be amended at any time without County input or approval. This does not provide evidence that the CUP is "properly related to other uses... in the vicinity." Item 6 indicates fencing and the adjacent Highway 168 will "keep project site occupants from leaving the property". This is again misleading. The fencing is simple barbed wire and the road is public access without restriction. Individuals can easily access any areas surrounding the project. The evidence also states that the project will not "change or increase the current level or type of use; and therefore, it will not create impacts on the health or safety of persons living or working in the vicinity or be materially detrimental to the public welfare." This evidence cannot possibly be true if the assumption was made that the surrounding property was "Vacant/Open Space", when in fact it is occupied by young students on a daily basis up to the property boundary.

Agenda Request Page 3

5. Conditions of Approval – Numerous times throughout the report, references made to the terms of agreement between IMACA and the Church being incorporated into the conditions of approval of the permit. Again, the terms of the contract are solely at the discretion of IMACA and the Church and can be amended at any time without County input or approval. The only limitation provided is in increasing the number of parking spaces.

6. In addition to the shortcomings of the project review, the project details are also flawed and vague and should be of more concern to the Planning Commission in considering this project. The proposal lacks specific details on staffing, security, and law enforcement involvement to ensure public safety; it does not address health and safety issues adequately; and it leaves the County without any control or input as to the operation of the project. Considering the report states that this is a "Public/quasi-public use", the County should have some follow up requirements and approval power should the parties decide to amend their contract i.e. nothing in this CUP prohibits the parties from agreeing to open the Safe Parking 24 hours a day or allowing outside cooking facilities, etc.

7. It is requested that the decision be appealed to allow for direct School District input once a complete analysis of the project and its impacts can be completed.

Response to Appeal

The discussion below touches on each of the concerns listed in the letter and is meant to supplement the Staff Report presented to the Planning Commission.

1. The property directly adjacent to the west, east, and south is currently pasture land owned by the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP). A public hearing notice was mailed to LADWP. The staff report stated that the Bishop FFA School Farm is located about 100 yards to the Southeast (Attachment 5, photos of the Farm from the project location).

2. The Site Location portion of the Staff Report shows a Google Earth aerial view of the property.

3. The General Plan Consistency portion of the Staff Report states that "The project is consistent with the General Plan designation of Retail Commercial (RC), as this designation allows service uses and public and quasi-public uses." The Housing Element does not have a specific policy related to a Safe Parking Program.

4. This section relates to the Condition of Approval regarding the Terms and Agreements between IMACA and the Bishop Church of the Nazarene. It was further stated that the terms could be modified if approved by IMACA and the Church. The only exclusion to that was the total number of parking spaces that could be occupied. Staff proposed this condition in order that IMACA could adjust the conditions to make the project more serviceable to the public. The thought was that the Bishop Church of the Nazarene has the interest of that property in their best interest. The Planning Commission was somewhat uncomfortable with this arrangement and added two extra conditions of approval. Condition No. 4 brought the approval of the Security Plan by the Police Chief and Sheriff from the Terms of Agreement to the conditions of approval so that condition could not be avoided or changed. In addition Condition No. 5 was added that requires a report to the Planning Commission in the event that major security issues are brought forward during a one-year trial period. The appellants also state that the School Farm is easily access via either climbing over the barbed wire fence or via the public roadway. It should be noted that to access the FFA farm via the roadway requires traveling about 440 yards on West Line Street and Sunland Drive.

5. See response to Issue No. 4. Note that the appellants appear to be unaware of the addition of Conditions of Approval No. 4 and No. 5, which occurred at the Planning Commission meeting. Given the addition of Conditions of Approval No. 4 and No. 5, it is not correct, as the appellants suggest that the operation of the Safe Parking Program can be modified at any time without County input.

6. Note that the Staff Report included the Terms of Agreement and the Safe Parking Program Rules form that project users are required to sign.
Agenda Request Page 4

7. The completion of the Security Plan is a requirement for the Safe Parking Project to begin operation. If the Security Plan is not in place, the project will be null and void. It can also be noted that IMACA has gained approval from the Bishop Unified School District of the Security Plan. Indeed, even though the School District was not in support of this project when it was brought before the Planning Commission in February 2020, the School District has recently changed its position based on additional measures that that were added to the Security Plan and conversations that the School District has had with IMACA. The School District's December 2020 letter of support is included as Attachment 6.

Security Plan

Since the submittal of the appeal, the applicant has been working with representatives from the school, the City of Bishop Police and Public Works and the County Sheriff, Health and Human Services and Planning departments on an appropriate security plan in advance of the appeal hearing. The school has recently offered a letter of support (Attachment 5) for the project as they are happy with the improved security plan (Attachment 7) and the County Sheriff has no disagreement with it as long as the school is in support.

Recommended Actions:

The staff analysis frames a general response to the concerns raised in the appeal and concludes that the decision of the Planning Commission should be upheld. A categorical exemption was prepared for the project under CEQA, as the project only requires a very minor alteration of the existing Church property. Based on these factors, staff is recommending that the Board deny the appeal and support the Planning Commission's decision to approve CUP 2019-18 / IMACA.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

1. Deny the Appeal and uphold the Planning Commission decision to approve the project, but add additional conditions of approval.

2. Approve the requested appeal. Approval of the Appeal and reversing the Planning Commission decision to deny is not recommended.

3. Return to staff with direction.

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT:

Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action (IMACA); Bishop Unified School District; City of Bishop Police and Department of Public Works; Inyo Sheriff and Heath and Human Services Department.

FINANCING:

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1. Attachment 1 PC Staff Report IMACA
- 2. Atachment 2 01.22.20 PC Minutes
- 3. Attachment 3 CiminoGleason Appeal CUP 2019-18
- 4. Attachment 4 2020 Comments
- 5. Attachment 5 Photos
- 6. Attachment 6 Bishop Unified School District _Letter of Support
- 7. Attachment 7 Safe_Parking Security Plan 1.22.21 w Attachments
- 8. Attachment 8 Additional Letters Received Prior to Agenda Publication

Agenda Request Page 5

APPROVALS:

Cathreen Richards Darcy Ellis Marshall Rudolph Cathreen Richards Created/Initiated - 1/14/2021 Approved - 1/15/2021 Approved - 1/22/2021 Final Approval - 1/28/2021 Attachment 1 - Planning Commission Staff Report



SUBJECT:

Planning Department 168 North Edwards Street Post Office Drawer L Independence, California 93526

 Phone:
 (760) 878-0263

 FAX:
 (760) 878-0382

 E-Mail:
 inyoplanning@ inyocounty.us

AGENDA ITEM NO.:

8 (Action Item – Public Hearing)

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING DATE: January 22, 2020

Conditional Use Permit (CUP) 2019-18 / IMACA

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The applicant, Inyo-Mono Advocates for Community Action (IMACA), has applied for a CUP to establish and operate a Safe Parking Project at the Church of the Nazarene. This project will permit qualifying people experiencing homelessness to park in up to 15 designated spaces along the west side of the Church property from 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. The vehicle occupants would have use of the restroom facilities on the east side of the administrative office building during that time only. Vehicle occupants would be provided assistance in the morning to vacate the property safely and they will be connected with any necessary supportive services. IMACA has grant funding to cover all costs associated with the project including site improvements and maintenance.

PROJECT INFORMATION.

Supervisory District: 3

Project Applicant: IMACA

Property Owner: Bishop Church of the Nazarene

Site Address: 900 W. Line Street

Community: Bishop, CA

A.P.N.: 011-380-17

General Plan: Retail Commercial (RC)

Zoning: Highway Services and Tourist Commercial with a 10,000 square feet minimum (C2-10,000)

Size of Parcel: 2.02 acres

Surrounding Land Use:

Location:	Use:	General Plan	Zoning
		Designation	
Site	Bishop Church of the Nazarene	Retail Commercial (RC)	Highway Service & Tourist Commercial – 10,000 square feet minimum (C2-10,000)
North	Northern Inyo Hospital	City of Bishop	City of Bishop
East	Vacant/Open Space. The Bishop FFA School Farm is about 100 yards to the SE	Retail Commercial (RC) and Agriculture (A)	Highway Service & Tourist Commercial – 10,000 square feet minimum (C2-10,000) and Open Space - 40 acre minimum (OS-40)
South	Vacant/Open Space	Agriculture (A)	Open Space - 40 acre minimum (OS-40)
West	Vacant/Open Space. The closest developed property is the Cavalry Baptist Church located about 320 yards away.	Agriculture (A)	Highway Service & Tourist Commercial – 10,000 square feet minimum (C2-10,000) and Open Space - 40 acre minimum (OS-40)

Staff Recommended Action:1.) Approve the Conditional Use Permit (CUP)2019-18/IMACA with the Findings and Conditions
as identified in the Staff Report, and find the
project is exempt under CEQA.

Alternatives:	 Deny the CUP. Approve the CUP with additional Conditions of Approval. Continue the public hearing to a future date, and provide specific direction to staff regarding what additional information and analysis is needed.
Project Planner:	Courtney Smith

STAFF ANALYSIS

Background and Overview

The applicant has applied for a CUP in the C-2 zone which allows "Public/quasi-public buildings and uses of recreational, religious, cultural or public service nature" as a conditional use (Inyo County Code Section 18.48.030(P)). This project is considered to be a quasi-public use as the project serves the public and is publically funded.

The Safe Parking Project will be operated by IMACA. IMACA will enter into an agreement with the Church of the Nazarene to operate this project on a trial basis. The terms of agreement are listed below because they are important to understanding the details of the project. Staff recommends for the terms of agreement to be incorporated in the conditions of approval of this use permit. The agreement sets forth the following terms:

1. Occupation of up to fifteen (15) parking spaces along the west property line (see Attachment A) of the Church of the Nazarene for a Safe Parking Project operated by IMACA.

- 2. Designated parking spaces may be occupied from 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. A trained and experienced case manager or program specialist shall be present to check-in participants and assign parking spaces. Vehicle occupants will be connected with any necessary supportive services.
- 3. Vehicle occupants and project participants will be required to sign an agreement and comply with Safe Parking Rules and Agreement (see Attachment B).
- 4. An IMACA Case Manager will be available to respond to any emergencies. A phone number with access to the Case Manager will be provided to vehicle occupants and the Church. Additionally, the Church will have access to IMACA Program Director.
- 5. The parking area will be monitored by IMACA staff. Upon a determination by the Church or IMACA, staffing may be increased or decreased based on need.
- 6. IMACA shall be responsible for obtaining any required zoning approvals from the County of Inyo and coordinating with staff from the City of Bishop. The Agency shall also work directly with the Inyo County Sheriff and Bishop Police Chief on any required site security measures. Additionally, IMACA shall prepare a formal security plan with additional participant and program administration requirements to be approved by law enforcement and the Church prior to operation of the Safe Parking Program.
- 7. Restroom facilities will be available for approved participants in the Church Administrative office building.
- 8. Building improvements will be completed at IMACA's expense to secure the office portion of the building from the restrooms. Other building modifications that benefit both the Church and persons experiencing homelessness may also be completed by the Agency prior to operation of the Safe Parking Project.
- 9. Site improvements, such as resurfacing or repaying the parking area reserved for Safe Parking occupants, may also be completed by IMACA.
- 10. Other site or building improvements, such as compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), acceptable to both parties may be completed by the Agency that benefit persons experiencing homelessness and the Church.
- 11. IMACA shall be responsible for ensuring that the parking area, church grounds and restrooms are maintained in a safe and orderly manner.
- 12. There shall be a trial period of operation not to exceed one (1) year to determine the effectiveness off the Safe Parking Project and compliance with the Agreement between the Church and IMACA. Any contract violations or failures to address substantiated complaints by IMACA or its employees may result in termination or modification of the Agreement, as determined by the Church as its sole discretion. The Church may also terminate the Agreement, with not less than thirty (30) days' notice, if the Program violates the purpose of the Church of the Nazarene in the community.
- 13. Termination of the Agreement by Church for reasons other than material breach of the contract by IMACA shall require partial or full reimbursement for any completed site and building improvements that benefit the Church and its congregation.
- 14. IMACA shall provide a waiver to represent the Church and will indemnify and hold all members, directors and congregation harmless.
- 15. IMACA agrees to close the Safe Parking Project periodically upon adequate notice by the Church and provided the dates and times do not unreasonably impact the ability to provide regular services to participants.
- 16. The Church of the Nazarene Safe Parking Project will be operated in compliance with the Eastern Sierra Continuum of Care Written Rules and Coordinated Entry System

Policies and Procedures. Participants will be provided with resource necessary to transition from homelessness to available permanent and supportive housing projects. The length of time expected for each participant in the Safe Parking Program is two years or less.

- 17. IMACA will coordinate with other service providers, including the Salvation Army and County of Inyo Health and Human Services, during extreme weather events providing warm weather gear and sleeping bags; access to a cooling or warming center; and placement in emergency shelter or housing.
- 18. IMACA shall provide liability insurance with coverage mutually acceptable to the Church and the Agency that includes theft, vandalism, damage and other losses attributable to program operation or participants.
- 19. IMACA will consider other conditions of operation and project administration mutually agreeable to the Church and IMACA.
- 20. IMACA commits to report outcomes and annually to the Church with information collected through HMIS. This includes, but is not limited to, exits to permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness, and increase in income.

Attachments to the terms of agreement include a site map showing the location of the parking spaces to be used by the project and the restroom facility as well as the Safe Parking Program terms. IMACA and the Church of the Nazarene will enter into an agreement which sets out terms that IMACA is required to comply with. These terms will be incorporated in this CUP as conditions of approval. IMACA has obtained three State and Federal grants to fund the program.

<u>Site Location</u> - The general project area is in a location surrounded by vacant, open space, and grazing lands to the South, East, and West. Across West Line Street to the North is the Northern Inyo Hospital.



Parking Spaces to be used General Plan Consistency

The goal of this project is to provide for transitional facilities to help homeless individuals and families. The project is consistent with the General Plan designation of Retail Commercial (RC), as this designation allows service uses and public and quasi-public uses.

In addition, the Housing Element offers a variety of policies to address the need for affordable housing. An overall policy states that: "Approval of mixed-use development if the non-residential land uses will reduce the cost of the housing project and the non-residential land uses are compatible with the housing project and surrounding development. This project on the western edge of the Church of the Nazarene is in a location that will be consistent with other uses by virtue of the surrounding open space land designated for agricultural uses.

Zoning Ordinance Consistency

The C-2 zoning designation allows "public and quasi-public buildings and uses of recreational, religious, cultural or public service nature" as a conditional use.

OTHER COUNTY DEPARTMENT REVIEW

Planning Department staff coordinated with staff from the Department of Health and Human Services.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

This Conditional Use Permit is Categorically Exempt under California Environmental Act (CEQA) Guidelines 15303. Class 3 exemptions cover "the conversion of existing small structures from one use to another where only minor modifications are made in the exterior of the structure."

It is also worth noting Section 15300.2 of the CEQA Guidelines concerning project location. Class 3 exemptions are location-specific. There may be some locations where the conversion of an existing facility would not qualify for this type of an exemption because of critically sensitive environmental concerns. It can be noted this location is a particularly good location for a homeless parking area due to the surrounding open land and the proximity of a restroom at the church administrative center. The project is located in a location where there will not be any impacts to a sensitive environment.

TRIBAL CONSULTATION

Tribal consultation is not required for projects that qualify for a Categorical Exemption under CEQA.

RECOMMENDATION

Planning Department staff recommends the approval of Conditional Use Permit No. 2019-18/IMACA, with the following Findings and Conditions of Approval:

FINDINGS

 The proposed Conditional Use Permit is exempt under CEQA Guidelines 15303, Existing Facilities – Class 3 and the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act have been satisfied.

[Evidence: Class 3 allows for the conversion of existing small structures from one use to another where only minor modifications are made in the exterior of the structure.

The CUP is being applied for to make a minor change to the use at the site that will involve very limited modifications to the existing structure. The project will not have an impact on a sensitive environmental resource.]

- 2. The proposed Conditional Use Permit is consistent with the Inyo County General Plan Land Use designation of Retail Commercial (RC). [Evidence: The goal of this project is to supply a transitional type of facility for homeless individuals and families. The project is consistent with the General Plan designation of RC, as it allows for "service uses and public and quasi-public uses."]
- 3. The proposed Conditional Use Permit is consistent with the Inyo County Zoning Ordinance, which permits "public or quasi-public facilities" as a conditional use in the Public zone.

[Evidence: Highway Services and Tourist Commercial; Section 18.48.030(C) allows for public and quasi-public buildings and uses of recreational, religious, cultural or public service nature as a conditional use. The Safe Parking Project qualifies as a public quasi-public use as a place where homeless facilities are provided for the public by IMACA.]

- 4. The proposed Conditional Use Permit is necessary or desirable. [Evidence: The General Plan's Housing Element includes several goals and policies meant to assist the homeless. This project provides a transitional facility specifically directed to assist the homeless.]
- 5. The proposed Conditional Use Permit is properly related to other uses and transportation and service facilities in the vicinity. [Evidence: The terms of agreement between IMACA and the Church of the Nazarene ensure that the proposed use will not have an impact on surrounding facilities. By its nature, people using the facility will have vehicle transportation to and from the facility. Given that the occupants of the proposed site will have their own transportation to enter and leave the site and that IMACA will ensure that people at the site are provided with necessary services; there will be no impact on transportation or service facilities in the vicinity.]
- 6. The proposed Conditional Use Permit would not, under all the circumstances of this case, affect adversely the health or safety of persons living or working in the vicinity or be materially detrimental to the public welfare. *[Evidence: The Terms of Agreement between IMACA and the Bishop Church of the*

[Evidence: The Terms of Agreement between IMACA and the Bishop Church of the Nazarene are specifically designed to make sure that the Church of the Nazarene will not be impacted. The project site is surrounded by open space land. There is a fence around between the Church property and the surrounding land that will keep project site occupants from leaving this property to the east, south, and north. To travel to the north to the hospital requires crossing West Line Street (SR 168). The Safe Parking Project, as conditioned, will not change or increase the current level or type of use; and therefore, it will not create impacts on the health or safety of persons living or working in the vicinity or be materially detrimental to the public welfare.]

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

1. Hold Harmless

The applicant/developer shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless Inyo County agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the County or its agents, officers, or employees to attack, set aside, void or annul an approval of the county, its advisory agencies, its appeals board, or legislative body concerning Conditional Use Permit No. 2019-18/IMACA. The County reserves the right to prepare its own defense.

2. Compliance with County Code

The applicant/developer shall conform to all applicable provisions of Inyo County Code. If the use provided by this conditional use permit is not established within one year of the approval date it will become void.

3. IMACA shall comply with the requirements set forth in their Terms of Agreement with the Bishop Church of the Nazarene. The terms of that agreement can be modified if: a) agreed to by both IMACA and the Church of the Nazarene and b) if the agreement does not expand the scope of this project to more than fifteen (15) parking spaces.





PROPOSAL SUMMARY

Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action, Inc. (IMACA) proposes to establish and operate a Safe Parking Project on a trial basis at the Church of the Nazarene, 900 W. Line Street in Bishop, CA. IMACA is a not-for-profit Community Action Agency serving Inyo and Mono Counties. The Mission of IMACA is to support, educate, empower, and advocate for vulnerable populations in our community. The Agency administers Head Start, Child Care, Weatherization, Energy Assistance, Food Pantry and Distribution, Affordable Housing and Homeless Assistance Programs.

Over the last several years, the Agency has experienced a growing demand for assistance to individuals and families living out of their vehicles. During the most recent count of persons experiencing homelessness on January 24, 2019, volunteers documented 85 people in Inyo County without adequate shelter and sleeping in vehicles parked on streets, public lands and campgrounds. IMACA would like to address the need in our community for a safe place where people without adequate shelter can park overnight.

This Safe Parking Project proposal is patterned after the program operated successfully by New Beginnings Counseling Center in Santa Barbara since 2003. The request is to permit people experiencing homelessness to park up to fifteen (15) spaces along the west side of the Church property from 7 p.m. to 7 a.m. daily. The vehicle occupants will have use of the restroom facilities on the east side of the administrative office building during that time only. Vehicle occupants will be provided assistance in the morning to vacate the property safely and they will be connected with any necessary supportive services. This program would be a resource for Eastern Sierra Continuum of Care (CoC) service providers and assist individuals and families experiencing or at imminent risk of homelessness with supportive services and permanent, transitional or other types of housing. IMACA has funding to cover all costs associated with the project including site improvements and maintenance.

The following sections describe the sources of funding, administration and operation, and terms of an agreement for the project proposal. This proposal may form the framework for a formal agreement between the Church of the Nazarene and IMACA.

SOURCES OF FUNDING

In late 2018, IMACA received a Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HEAP) block grant from the California Business and Consumers Agency. HEAP provides a flexible source of funding for CoC's to address emergency solutions for homelessness in the community. Establishment of Safe Parking Area, associated improvements and operating costs are eligible uses of these funds.

A second block grant was awarded by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) in early 2019 to IMACA through the California Emergency Solutions and Housing (CESH) Program for innovative projects to reduce the incidence and duration of homelessness. Supportive services for safe parking projects are an approved budget expenditure for this block grant.

IMACA also receives Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program funding from HCD for Rapid Rehousing, Emergency Shelter, Street Outreach and Homelessness Prevention. These programs, along with those available through other CoC service providers would be used to connect vehicle occupants to shelter, services, and transitional or permanent housing.

OPERATION AND ADMINISTRATION

ESG, HEAP and CESH all require CoC's to administer projects that employ Housing First principals, utilize a Coordinated Entry System (CES), and report outcomes through a Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) or equivalent database. IMACA complies with these program mandates and proposes to operate the Church of the Nazarene Safe Parking Lot in a manner consistent with these standards.

Housing First is the principal that participants are provided shelter with a low barrier to entry without preconditions. Housing enables families to stabilize and gain support necessary to become more self-sufficient thereby reducing the likelihood of returning to homelessness. The CoC will select people for the Safe Parking Project through the CoC's CES. Families that present at any of the designated CoC point of entry locations, such as Inyo County Health and Human Services or the IMACA main office will receive an initial assessment by a case worker to determine their vulnerability and risk factor.

Participants will be asked to complete a simple application form and then be placed on a priority list. Individuals and families with the highest vulnerability score will be approved to park nightly in the designated spaces at the Church of the Nazarene. Supportive services such as employment training, counseling, linkage to other sources of income, and other assistance will be available to help families obtain permanent housing. Participants will be required to sign an acknowledgement and comply with the rules outlined in Appendix A. Trained staff will be present in the parking area each night and work cooperatively with local law enforcement agencies.

TERMS OF AGREEMENT

IMACA proposes to enter into an agreement with the Church of the Nazarene to operate the Safe Parking Project for trial period of time not to exceed one (1) year. If the project is successful, the agreement could be extended for a time period acceptable to both parties. Proposed terms of the agreement are negotiable and could include, but are not limited to:

- 1. Occupancy of up to fifteen (15) parking spaces along the west property line (see Attachment A) of the Church of the Nazarene for a Safe Parking Project operated by IMACA.
- 2. Designated parking spaces may be occupied from 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. A trained and experienced case manager or program specialist shall be present to check-in participants and assign parking spaces. Vehicle occupants will be provided assistance in the morning to vacate the property safely and they will be connected with any necessary supportive services.
- 3. Vehicle occupants and project participants will be required to sign an agreement and comply with Safe Parking Rules and Agreement (see Attachment B).
- 4. An IMACA Case Manager will be available to respond to any emergencies. A phone number with access to the Case Manager will be provided to vehicle occupants and the Church. Additionally, the Church will have access to IMACA Program Directors.
- 5. The parking area will be monitored by IMACA staff. Upon a determination by the Church or IMACA, staffing may be increased or decreased based on need.
- 6. IMACA shall be responsible for obtaining any required Zoning approvals from the County of Inyo and coordinating with staff from the City of Bishop. The Agency shall also work directly with the Inyo County Sheriff and Bishop Police Chief on any required site security measures. Additionally, IMACA shall prepare a formal security plan with additional participant and program administration requirements to be approved by law enforcement and the Church prior to operation of the Safe Parking Program.
- 7. Restroom facilities will be available for approved participants in the Church Administrative office building.
- 8. Building improvements will be completed at IMACA's expense to secure the office portion of the building from the restrooms. Other building modifications that benefit both the Church and persons experiencing homelessness may also be completed by the Agency prior to operation of the Safe Parking Project.
- 9. Site improvements, such as resurfacing or repaving the parking area reserved for Safe Parking occupants, may also be completed by IMACA.
- 10. Other site or building improvements, such as compliance with the Americans with the Disabilities Act (ADA), acceptable to both parties may be completed by the Agency that benefit persons experiencing homelessness and the Church.
- 11. IMACA shall be responsible for ensuring that the parking area, church grounds and restrooms are maintained in a safe and orderly manner.
- 12. There shall be a trial period of operation not to exceed one (1) year to determine the effectiveness of the Safe Parking Project and compliance with the Agreement between the Church and IMACA. Any contract violations or failure to address substantiated complaints by IMACA or its employees may result in termination or modification of the Agreement, as determined by the Church at its sole

discretion. The Church may also terminate the Agreement, with not less than thirty (30) days' notice, if the Program violates the purpose of the Church of the Nazarene in the community.

- 13. Termination of the Agreement by Church for reasons other than material breach of the contract by IMACA shall require partial or full reimbursement for any completed site and building improvements that benefit the Church and its congregation.
- 14. IMACA shall provide a waiver to represent the Church and will indemnify and hold all members, directors and congregation harmless.
- 15. IMACA agrees to close the Safe Parking Project periodically upon adequate notice by the Church and provided the dates and times do not unreasonably impact the ability to provide regular services to participants.
- 16. The Church of the Nazarene Safe Parking Project will be operated in compliance with the Eastern Sierra Continuum of Care Written Rules and Coordinated Entry System Policies and Procedures. Participants will be provided with resources necessary to transition from homelessness to available permanent and supportive housing projects. The length of time expected for each participant in the Safe Parking Program is two years or less.
- 17. IMACA will coordinate with other service providers, including The Salvation Army and County of Inyo Health and Human Services, during extreme weather events to ensure the safety of participants. This may include, but is not limited to: providing warm weather gear and sleeping bags; access to a cooling or warming center; and placement in emergency shelter or housing.
- 18. IMACA shall provide liability insurance, with coverage mutually acceptable to the Church and the Agency that includes theft, vandalism, damage and other losses attributable to program operation or participants.
- 19. IMACA will consider other conditions of operation and project administration mutually agreeable to the Church and IMACA.
- 20. IMACA commits to report outcomes annually to the Church with information collected through HMIS. This includes, but is not limited to, exits to permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness, and increase in income.

Attachments: Aerial Map with Location of Safe Parking Area; Appendix A: Safe Parking Rules

Nazarene Church Safe Parking Lot Proposal



LEGEND:



Safe Parking Space

Parcel Boundary Line



IN CASE OF AN EMERGENCY

PLEASE CALL 911

FOR ANY URGENT NON-EMERGENCIES CALL

XXX-XXX-XXXX

Due to our lengthy wait list, you must park in your authorized lot a minimum of 4 nights a week. If you do not renew your permit within 7 days after the expiration date you will be suspended from the program and must make an appointment to discuss reinstatement.

Waiver of Liability

I authorize Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action, Inc.to refer me to other agencies, receive, request, disclose, release and exchange useful or personal information from any personal reference, entity, agency, past, present or, future employer or organization that she/ he may consider; can or may provide assistance to help me obtain my desired goals of becoming self-sufficient. Furthermore, I agree to hold harmless and free of any liability or responsibility the Job Developer/ Case Manager and any of the agencies, entities, individuals, Board of Directors, Organizations; past, present or future employers of the outcome of this release.

Signature _____

Permiso para Compartir Informacion

Yo cloy mi autorizacion a Nuevos Comienzos Centro deAsesoramiento para que pueda referir a otras agencias, recibir, pedir, dar e intercambiar todo tipo de informacion util de cualquier agencia individual, referencia personal, pasados, presentes y futuros empleos, entidades u organizaciones que el / ella considere importante para que yo pueda obtener mis metas de llegar a ser autosuficiente. De hecho he resuelto y estoy de acuerdo en que mantendre libre de toda responsabilidad legal o juicio, a La Coordinadora de Empleos I administrador/a de casos o a cualquier agencia, entidad, individual, mesa directiva u organizaciones. Pasados, presentes o futuros empleadores e impresarios del resultado de la informacion obtenida.

Firma del Cliente:

<u>Church of the Nazarene (Church), Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action, Inc.</u> (IMACA) and County of Inyo (County) Waiver of Liability

Parking lot user hereby agrees to indemnify and save harmless IMACA and the Church, and County, their officers, agents and expenses (including attorney's fees) judgments or liabilities for personal or bodily injury (including death, or other injury resulting from bodily injury) or property damage (including physical injury to property or loss of use thereof) arising out of applicant's negligence or willful misconduct in connection with client's use of the parking facilities.

Client Signature

Date

PARKING RULES, RESTRICTIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE SAFE PARKING PROGRAM:

- 1. Guns or firearms of any kind are strictly prohibited, and the use of alcohol and/ or drugs will not be tolerated. Failure to abide by this rule will result in immediate removal from the assigned location.
- 2. Urinating and defecating on the property is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. Failure to abide by this rule will result in immediate removal from the assigned location.
- 3. Absolutely no violent acts, verbal or physical. If you have an issue with another client, contact the office and we will handle it administratively.
- 4. Camping tarps or camping equipment beyond the top of the vehicle are prohibited.
- 5. Cooking outside the vehicle is absolutely not allowed.
- 6. All trash will be disposed of offsite and the area will be kept tidy.
- 7. Loud music is not permitted.
- 8. Parking lot is for sleeping use only.
- 9. Overnight stays will be limited to the hours assigned. Adherence to in and out times is mandatory.
- 10. Users must keep barking dogs in their vehicle at all times. Animals must be kept on a leash at all times on the property. Animal waste must be must be picked up immediately and disposed of properly.
- 11. Under absolutely no conditions will the client(s) invite other vehicle dwellers to occupy the site or invite any visitors or any type of patrons into the parking lot.
- 12. If bathroom facilities are provided, showering or bathing is not permitted.
- 13. The owner of the parking lot cannot be held liable for damages caused by a third party to the parked vehicle or its occupants.
- 14. Absolutely no more than one vehicle allowed per individual or family staying at the site.
- 15. Absolutely no use of the facility services, i.e., electricity, water, trash or any of the hoses at the site. Failure to comply with this rule will result in immediate termination from our program.
- 16. Please respect the privacy of the surrounding neighbors and their property.
- 17. Children will be watched and kept safe at All Times --- No Exceptions!!!!

- 18. Do not park within 3 blocks of the lot you are assigned to at any time.
- 19. If you do not renew your permit within 7 days after the expiration date, you will be suspended from the program and must make an appointment to discuss reinstatement.
- 20. Safe Parking Permits must be displayed at all times in a prominent location on or in the vehicle that is clearly visible to IMACA or Church of the Nazarene staff.

Note: Please notify us immediately if you are leaving either for a week or permanently, and if you have been issued a key to a site please return it when you leave. We are not responsible to remind clients when to renew permits. We do not automatically renew permits.

These rules will be enforced. Failure to comply with these rules and regulations will result in termination from the Safe Parking Program.

We reserve the right to terminate your participation in the Safe Parking Program at any time, for any reason, and without warning.

All complaints and program feedback will be taken seriously, and program staff will make every reasonable attempt to interview all parties involved. When not presenting a safety issue or major rule infraction (e.g., carrying firearms or any major infraction which requires immediate program termination), program noncompliance will be addressed through the issuance of verbal and/or written warnings. A total of three warnings will be issued to par-ticipants for minor infractions (e.g., leaving the lot late) and the permit holder's permit will be revoked for 30 days upon the third warning. In some instances, one or more permit holder may be relocated to a different lot for 30 days to address interpersonal conflict. After the 30-day revocation or relocation, the permit holder(s) will be required to meet with program staff to discuss reinstatement.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action, Inc. and the Safe Parking Program do not discriminate against people with disabilities or Limited English Proficiency (LEP), and when asked, will make reasonable accommodation for all program participants with disabilities or LEP. If you require an accommodation, please advise the Safe Parking Program's case manager during your intake and/or upon review of this document and every effort will be made to provide meaningful access to the program and its services and/or to a translator.

All complaints in regard to ADA violations should first be referred to the program's case managers and they shall attempt to make reasonable accommodations. If an accommodation cannot be done or poses extreme financial considerations, the case managers shall advise the executive director with the reasons and/or estimates of cost. It is the policy of Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action, Inc. and the Safe Parking Program not to discriminate on the basis of disability. IMACA has adopted an internal grievance procedure providing for prompt and equitable resolution of complaints alleging

any action prohibited by the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. The laws and regulations may be examined in the Safe Parking/IMACA offices at either the Castillo or Carrillo Street locations. Any person who believes that he or she has been subjected to discrimination on the basis of disability may file a grievance under this procedure.

Grievances must be submitted to the IMACA's executive director located at 324 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C, and must be filed within one week of the date the person filing the grievance becomes aware of the alleged discriminatory act. The complaint must be in writing, containing the name and contact information. The complaint must state the problem or action alleged to be discriminatory and the remedy or relief sought. An investigation will be conducted and IMACA will issue a written decision on the grievance no later than 30 days after its filing. The individual filing the grievance may appeal the decision by writing to IMACA' board of directors within 15 days of receiving the decision. The board of directors will issue a written the appeal no later than 30 days after its filing. IMACA will make appropriate arrangements to ensure that disabled persons are provided accommodations, if needed, to participate in the grievance process.

Client Confidentiality and Privacy Policies

Safe Parking program staff will not divulge whether someone is or is not a participant in the program. Program staff will not divulge any personal identifying information of any individual or family participant of the program without consent from the client(s). The Safe Parking program has incorporated into its policies and procedures a process that will ensure the confidentiality of program participants' identifying information; records pertaining to any individual or family provided with assistance; and treatment services offered under any project associated with IMACA. Furthermore, the address or location of any par-ticipant authorization for this information to be made public from the client/program participant to the person or persons responsible for the operation of the program.

I / We, accept and agree to respect, acknowledge, and adhere to the rules, policy, and pro-cedure; guidelines and regulations that are stated above and will accept full responsibility of the consequences of the outcome if there is a violation to this contract.

(Signature of Client)

(Signature of Client)

(Signature of Case Manager)

Attachment 2

January 22, 2020 Planning Commission Minutes

COUNTY OF INYO

PLANNING COMMISSION

MINUTES OF JANUARY 22, 2020 MEETING

<u>COMMISSIONERS:</u> FRANK STEWART CAITLIN (KATE) J. MORLEY TODD VOGEL SCOTT STONER SCOTT KEMP	FIRST DISTRICT (CHAIR) SECOND DISTRICT (ELECT-CHAIR) THIRD DISTRICT (VICE) FOURTH DISTRICT FIFTH DISTRICT	Inyo County Planning Commission Post Office Drawer L Independence, CA 93526 (760) 878-0263 (760) 872-0712 FAX
STAFE: CATHREEN RICHARDS JOHN VALLEJO STEVE KARAMITROS COURINEY SMITH RYAN STANDRIDGE CLINT QUILTER MIKE ERRANTE	PLANNING DIRECTOR COUNTY COUNSEL SENIOR PLANNER SENIOR PLANNER ASSISTANT PLANNER COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR	

The Inyo County Planning Commission met in regular session on Wednesday, January 22, 2020, in the Administration Building, in Independence, California. Commissioner Stewart opened the meeting at 10:00 a.m. These minutes are to be considered for approval by the Planning Commission at their next scheduled meeting.

ITEM 1: PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – All recited the Pledge of Allegiance at 10:00 a.m.

ITEM 2: ROLL CALL - Commissioners: Frank Stewart, Todd Vogel, Caitlin Morley, Scott Stoner, and Scott Kemp were present.

Staff present: Cathreen Richards, Planning Director; Steve Karamitros, Senior Planner; Courtney Smith, Senior Planner; Ryan Standridge, Assistant Planner; Paula Riesen, Project Coordinator and John Vallejo, County Counsel.

Staff absent: Clint Quilter, County Administrator; Michael Errante, Public Works Director.

ITEM 3: PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD – This item provides the opportunity for the public to address the Planning Commission on any planning subject that is not scheduled on the Agenda.

Chair Stewart opened the Public Comment Period at 10:01 A.M.

• Linda Chaplin wished to speak regarding the New Shoe City, located on the south end of Independence. She contacted Building & Safety and they informed her that

they have not met their building requirements and they are not supposed to be open for business. She would like to see the county to address these rouge business coming into town.

Chair Stewart closed the public comment period at 10:06 A.M.

- **ITEM 4:** APPROVAL OF MINUTES (Action Item) Approval of the Minutes from the November 6, 2019, meeting of the Planning Commission. Commissioner Morley asked for a correction of the California Central Point Region area.
- **MOTION:** Moved by Chair Stewart and seconded by Commissioner Vogel to approve the Minutes, from November 6, 2019.

The Motion passed 5-0 at 10:07 a.m.

ITEM 5: NOMINATION & ELECTION OF CHAIR PERSON – The Commission will accept nominations for chair-person for 2020 and hold election.

Chair Stewart asked for new nominations for 2020 Chair Person.

MOTION: Chair Stewart then nominated Kate Morley, and it was seconded by Commissioner Kemp.

The Motion passed 5-0 at 10:08 a.m.

ITEM 6: NOMINATION & ELECTION OF VICE CHAIR PERSON – The Commission will accept nominations for vice-chair-person for 2020 and hold election.

Chair Stewart asked for nominations for 2020 Vice Chair Person.

MOTION: Commissioner Kemp nominated Todd Vogel, and it was seconded by Commissioner Scott Stoner.

The Motion passed 5-0 at 10:09 a.m.

ITEM 7: ROUND VALLEY BRIDGE - The Inyo County Planning Commission will consider approval of a Mitigated Negative Declaration/Initial Study (MND/IS) for the proposed North Round Valley Road Bridge Replacement Project, which would involve the replacement of County Bridge 48C-0044, which was heavily damaged during storm run-off in the spring of 2017. The bridge is located approximately 12 miles northwest of Bishop, California.

Ms. Helms, Associate Engineer, presented the staff report.

- Original bridge was 25', now with the storm damage the bridge replacement will be 85' the longest bridge in the County.
- December 7 there was a public notice and they received two comments.
 - 1. Californian Department of Fish and Wildlife Recommended that demolition and construction begin after peak flow runoff.
 - 2. DWP Requested Coordination with installation of Bridge.

Chair Stewart asked for a time frame of when this project would be complete.

Ms. Helms stated they could possibly do demo of the bridge this fall of 2020. Then in spring 2021, after peak flows of runoff they hope to begin construction. This is all contingent on whether they can legally obtain enough right away from DWP to start the construction of bridge.

Chair Stewart opened the Public Hearing at 10:17 am

No one from the public wished to comment; the hearing closed at 10:18 a.m.

MOTION: Commissioner Vogel made a motion to approve staff report and was seconded by Commissioner Morley.

The Motion passed 5-0 at 10:17 a.m.

Chair Kate Morley recused herself for Item # 8

ITEM 8: CUP-2019-18/INYO MONO ADVOCATES FOR COM-

MUNITY ACTION (IMACA) - IMACA is applying to establish and operate a Safe Parking Project where certain qualifying people experiencing homelessness can park in up to 15 parking spaces from 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. The parking spaces are located on the west side of the Church of the Nazarene at 900 W. Line Street, on property zoned Highway Services and Tourist Commercial and designated Retail Commercial with an Assessor Parcel Number (APN) of 011-380-17. The vehicle occupants will use the restroom at the administrative office building. The project qualifies for a Class 3 exemption (Conversion of small structures) from CEQA.

Mr. Courtney Smith, Associate Planner, started his off his presentation by handing out an updated Conditions of Approval, addressing the security plan of action to work with the Sheriff Department prior to start of the program in an effort to ensure public safety for all.

Vice-Chair Vogel opened the Public Hearing at 10:25 a.m.

• Larry Emmerson was the first to address the commission. Pointing out he was the contact person for the project. He said the ultimate goal was to provide a safe place for people while housing is being sought.

Commissioner Stewart asked if Church staff would have to be present during this time.

Mr. Emmerson said church staff would not be present, only IMACA staff would be present at that time.

Commissioner Stewart then asked if there was a bathing area.

Mr. Emmerson said there would not be an area for showers.

Commissioner Stoner asked who would be in charge of the normal day to day trash that would result in people staying there.

Mr. Emmerson stated that the IMACA staff would haul off the trash and it would be their responsibility.

- Rick Pucci, Board of Supervisor for district 3 spoke next. Stating that the Homeless is a crisis in this county. He has two goals he is working on
 - 1) Low income housing for seniors.
 - 2) Affordable work place housing.

He is happy about the Conditions of Approval on safety being addressed in advance.

Also, he is glad that this program goes until 7:00 am that way the children can go directly to school.

Trying to find a space is very hard because three blocks west is the Reservation, Three blocks east is the City of Bishop. He thought he would come to meeting to try and answer any of the Public's questions.

Mr. Emerson returned to the podium to answer more questions.

Commissioner Vogel asked if the hours could change.

Mr. Emerson said these rules are on a trial basis.

Mr. Lowell Prang from Church of the Nazarene was the next to address the Commission.

- He stated the homeless people are already here.
- His wife has already spent the night with the homeless and he felt she was safe.

Vice-Chair Vogel closed the public hearing at 10:42 a.m.

Commissioner Scott Kemp wanted to thank everyone for coming to meeting to address these questions.

Commissioner Scott Stoner would like to have the project come back to the Planning Commission to revisit the progress of this project in 3 months or 12 months before the trial period ends.

Mr. Emmerson returned to podium and stated he did not want another Public Hear on this subject.

Cathreen Richards, Planning Director stated that if there were problems within the year timeframe we would present a report to the commission. If there are problems Planning will receive Zoning violations and follow up on them. If there were no problems the project would move forward.

MOTION: Vice-Chair Vogel made a motion to approve the application and it was seconded by Commissioner Stoner to approve findings 1-6 and conditions of approval 1-5 as provided for in the updated hand out by staff.

The Motion passed 4-0, with one Recusal by Chair Morley at 10:51 a.m.

ITEM 9: CUP-2019-15/COPPER TOP – The applicant has applied for a Conditional Use Permit and met the requirements for a CUP. The applicant is seeking approval for outdoor seating and parking adjacent to the planned restaurant. The project is located at 442 N. Main Street in Big Pine. This outdoor seating project is permitted as a conditional use, following approval from the Inyo Planning Commission.

Mr. Steve Karamitros, Senior Planner presented staff report. Stating he the CUP requirements were because of the outdoor seating. He did receive one comment from the Public. It was Caltrans asking applicant to contact them on the parking.

Chair Morley opened the Public Hearing at 10:57 am

Owner Matthew Kerry-Otton wanted to explain that there will be 6 tables inside for guests and three outside for guests. With smaller parking spaces available on property and larger parking spaces on the street. With operating hours of Wednesday thru Sunday from 11:00 am to 7:00 pm.

• Linda Chaplin wanted to ask about customer safety after the terrible accident in Lee Vining last fall at the Mono Cone?

Mr. Kerry Otton said that they plan to place big boulders to protect the customers standing in line waiting to order. They are currently in Search of big boulders at this time.

• John Lout was the next to speak from the public. He lives in Big Pine and is concerned with the amount of parking available in his neighborhood. He understands that the owner has to speak to Caltrans but believes he needs To speak to Inyo County Road Department for an encroachment permit. Chair Morley Closed public comment at 11:07 a.m.

MOTION: Commissioner Frank Stewart made a motion to approve the Cup-2019-15/Copper Top With the approval of Findings 1-7 and Conditions 1-3, and was seconded by Chair Morley.

Commission Frank Stewart recused himself for Item # 10

ITEM 10: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT-2019-12/LACKNER - The applicants have submitted an application for a Conditional Use Permit to allow the construction of an addition to an existing nonconforming residence located 1327 Birchim Lane, in the community of Wright's 40 Acres. The applicants submitted an application for the CUP based on Inyo County Code Section 18.78.240. This project is Exempt from CEQA.

Ms. Ryan Standridge, Assistant Planner, presented the staff report.

Chair Morley opened the Public Hearing at 11:14 a.m.

Chair Morley stated with no one from the public wished to speak the hearing closed at 11:15 a.m.

MOTION: Chair Morley made a motion to approve the application and it was seconded by Vice-Chair Vogel to approve findings 1-7 and conditions of approval 1-4, as provided.

The Motion passed 4-0 at 11:17 a.m.

Commission Frank Stewart returned to his seat.

ITEM 11: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT-2019-14/INDY PLACE - This project involves a proposal to establish outdoor seating for seasonal ice cream shop. The applicant estimates the ice cream shop/food establishment operation will be April through October with regular hours of operation, between 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Thursday through Monday.

Ms. Ryan Standridge, Assistant Planner, presented the staff report.

Chair Morley opened the Public Hearing at 11:18 a.m.

- Linda Chaplin wanted to ask for more details on the parking because she does not feel there is an adequate parking? She does not want to change the town atmosphere.
- Owner Joyce Thompson explained the yes her and her husband are a corporation and they are a mom and pop shop. She stated they have not changed the building except to add the deck.

Chair Morley Closed public comment at 11:26 a.m.

MOTION: Chair Morley asked for a motion to approve this application. Commissioner Stewart made a motion to approve findings 1-7 and conditions 1-2, and was seconded by Chair Morley.

The Motion passed 5-0 at 11:27 a.m.

ITEM 12: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT-2019-11/DEEP SPRINGS COLLEGE - The applicant, Deep Springs College, has applied for a CUP to: make the college compliant with the Inyo County Code 18.12 and to replace faculty housing partially lost due a renovation, with a new triplex. The college has been operating at the same location since 1917 and is a grandfathered use as it was established before the County's zoning code. Any changes or expansions do, however, require a CUP. By obtaining the CUP the college can continue the current use as a college, which is considered a public/quasipublic use, and make the proposed improvements. The triplex will house permanent and visiting faculty.

Ms. Cathreen Richards, Planning Director, presented the staff report. There was one comment from Environmental Health they are concerned with the septic system, so under the condition to work with Environmental Health to build a new system.

Chair Morley opened the Public Hearing at 11:33 a.m.

• Padraic MacLeish stated that this is just for better housing for the teachers.

Chair Morley Closed public comment at 11:36 a.m.

MOTION: Chair Morley asked for a motion to approve this application. Commissioner Stewart made a motion to approve findings 1-7 and conditions 1-4, With Condition # 3 being amended to remove design and add replace and was seconded by Commissioner Scott Stoner.

The Motion passed 5-0 at 11:39 a.m.

ITEM 13: VARIANCE-2019-02/LOVINGIER - The applicant, Lonnie Lovinger has applied for a variance for a single-family dwelling to encroach 15-feet into the required 25-foot front yard setback for a 180-square-foot storage garage addition on a property zoned One Family Residences, with a 10,000-sq-ft minimum (R1-10,000) that is located at 258 Brook Lane, in the community Aspendell.

Ms. Cathreen Richards, Planning Director, presented the staff report.

Chair Morley opened the Public Hearing at 11:42 a.m.

No one from the public wished to speak the hearing closed at 11:43 a.m.

MOTION: Chair Morley asked for a motion to approve this application. Commissioner Vogel made a motion to approve findings 1-7 and conditions 1-2, and was seconded by Commissioner Stewart.

The Motion passed 5-0 at 11:44 a.m.

ITEM 14: NON-HOSTED SHORT TERM RENTAL PERMIT- 2019-10/CARLETON &

NIOCHE - The applicant has applied for a Non-Hosted Short-Term Vacation Rental Permit. The applicant has met all requirements, and been approved by the Planning Department, for a Hosted Short-Term Vacation Rental Permit. The applicant is also seeking a Non-hosted Short-Term Rental Permit, with approval from the Planning Commission.

Mr. Steve Karamitros, Senior Planner presented staff report.

Chair Morley opened the Public Hearing at 11:47 a.m.

Chair Morley stated with no one from the public wished to speak the hearing closed at 11:50 a.m.

MOTION: Commissioner Stoner made a motion to approve findings 1-7 and conditions 1-2 and was seconded by Commissioner Vogel.

The Motion passed 5-0 at 11:51 a.m.

Commission Frank Stewart recused himself for Item # 15.

ITEM 15: NON-HOSTED SHORT TERM RENTAL PERMIT- 2019-13/STEWART & JAEGER - The applicant has applied for a Non-hosted Short-Term Vacation Rental Permit. The applicant has met all requirements, and been approved by the Planning Department, for a Hosted Short-Term Vacation Rental Permit. The applicant is also seeking a Non-hosted Short-Term Rental Permit, with approval from the Planning Commission.

Mr. Steve Karamitros, Senior Planner presented staff report.

Chair Morley opened the Public Hearing at 11:52 a.m.

• Joanne Lijeck stated she had been in many times for Short Term Rentals. She asked if the property was on the east side of 40 acers not the west. She believes that Commissioner Stewart has a conflict of interest with voting on Short Term Rentals. She called Public Works but has not received an answer where to find the conflict of interest rules for the Commissioners. John Vallejo, County Counsel spoke and stated they are well with the means of the law and any Commissioner is able to submit an application.

Cathreen Richards then commented that the Planning Department was instructed To finish any Short Term Rental projects that was in the process.

• Next to speak was owner of property Frank Stewart. His property is located to the North Eastern of the rental. His closest neighbor is 3 miles away, so he would be the closest to the rental if there are any problems he would be the first to know.

Chair Morley Closed public comment at 12:01 p.m.

MOTION: Chair Morley made a motion to approve findings 1-7 and conditions 1-2 and was seconded by Commissioner Stoner.

One abstention from voting - Commissioner Todd Vogel

The Motion passed 3-0 at 12:05 p.m.

ITEM 16: NON-HOSTED SHORT TERM RENTAL PERMIT-2019-05/SCHWARTZ – The applicant has applied for a Non-hosted Short-Term Rental permit, located at 255 Sara Lane, in Big Pine. This permit is required for the applicant to begin renting residential space for periods of 30-days or less, and to achieve compliance with Inyo County Code Chapter 18.73. This project is Exempt from CEQA.

Ms. Ryan Standridge, Assistant Planner, presented the staff report.

Chair Morley opened the Public Hearing at 12:07 p.m.

Chair Morley stated with no one from the public wished to speak the hearing closed at 12:08 p.m.

MOTION: Commissioner Stoner made a motion to approve findings 1-7 and conditions 1-2 and was seconded by Chair Morley.

The Motion passed 5-0 at 12:10 p.m.

ITEM 17: NON-HOSTED SHORT TERM RENTAL PERMIT-2019-11/KOKX –

The applicant has applied for a Non-hosted Short-Term Rental permit, located at 665 E. Inyo Street, in Lone Pine. This permit is required for the applicant to begin renting residential space for periods of 30-days or less, and to achieve compliance with Inyo County Code Chapter 18.73. This project is Exempt from CEQA. Ms. Ryan Standridge, Assistant Planner, presented the staff report.

Commissioner Todd Vogel had a question on the General Requirements,

item e) Check out time is 11:00 a.m. REACH Air Medical Services, LLC?

Ms. Standridge said it was just a typo, please disregard.

Chair Morley opened the Public Hearing at 12:11 p.m.

Chair Morley stated with no one from the public wished to speak the hearing closed at 12:12 p.m.

- **MOTION:** Commissioner Vogel made a motion to approve findings 1-7 and conditions 1-2 and was seconded by Commissioner Scott Kemp.
- **ITEM 18: UPDATE ON SHORT TERM RENTAL ORDINANACE -** Based on direction from the Board of Supervisors, staff is recommending updates to the County's Short-term Rental of Residentially Zoned Property ordinance to add stricter penalties for violations, allow for them in the R2 zone, new permitting requirements, and to eliminate the Nonhosted Short-term Rental Permit.

Ms. Cathreen Richards, Planning Director, presented the staff report.

Chair Morley opened the Public Hearing at 12:27 p.m.

• Ernest Varges was the first to speak. In the past he had spoken to someone in Planning and got the idea to do STR, with the loft over his garage. He retired and continued with his plan and now he is caught in the middle of the STR requirements changing. This is the first time at Planning Commission and He wonders where he is at with a lot of money invested in this unit. Will he be able to rent it?

County Counsel John Vallejo stated that until his application has been presented to Commission and has worked with the planner he is assigned we will not be able to Make a decision where this falls under the law.

- Linda Chaplin was the next to address the commission stating that the renters will be driving around trying to find the rentals and there needs to be address that Are visible at night so they will not have to ask anyone the addresses they are looking for.
- Jerry Core was the next to speak. He bought a Pioneer House at Laws, he is working on the property, he has a lot invested, and a lot planned with a caboose being added to property. He does not have any paperwork yet, for short term rental, but does have a current Cup will he be able ask for special circumstances for his very unique project can he have an exception to the rule?

Chair Kate Morley said to continue working with the county departments for your various permits and continue with Planning Department and a decision will be

made after your project is presented to the Planning Commission.

• Joanne Lijek wanted to speak a second time and say she appreciated all the changes made to the Short Term Rentals, but she would like it more defined.

Cathreen Richards said this would be a case by case decision that would be presented to the Planning Commission.

Chair Morley Closed public comment at 12:40 p.m.

Chair Morley wanted to open a Commissioner discussion on the Short Term Rental changes.

- Commissioner Frank Stewart was the first to speak. He has sat thru many Short Term Rental permits and most complaints are unfounded and unsubstantiated. With all of the rental concerns people have addressed there concerns and it has been dealt with.
- Commissioner Kemp stated that his parents have a short term rental and is has been great. People seem to like to be able to rent a home for their families And not have to pay for Multiple Rooms where they are all separated. This Solutions seem to provide privacy and a relaxed alternative.
- **MOTION:** Commissioner Frank Stewart made a motion to adopt a Resolution recommending That the Board of Supervisors approves Zone Text Amendment No. 2020-1/Inyo County – Short Term Rentals attachment # 3 and certifies that it is Exempt from CEQA, and was seconded by Commissioner Stoner.

COMMISSIONERS' REPORT/COMMENTS -

None at this time.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT -

None at this time.

ADJOURNMENT -

With no further business, Chair Kate Morley requested a motion to adjourn the meeting at 12:55 p.m. The next meeting will be February 26, 2020 meeting, at 10:00 a.m. in the Board of Supervisors Room, Administrative Center, Independence, California.

Motion by Chair Kate Morley to Adjourn.

Seconded by Commissioner Todd Vogel.

Motion passed 5-0.

Prepared by: Paula Riesen Inyo County Planning Department Attachment 3

Cimino/Gleason Appeal

February 6, 2020

Inyo County Planning Commission 168 North Edwards Street Independence, CA 93526 RECEIVED 2020 FEB - 6 PM 4: 11

自住医心

Subject: Appeal of Conditional Use Permit 2019-18/IMACA

This letter is to request an appeal of the Inyo County Planning Commission's approval of Conditional Use Permit 23019-18/IMACA (CUP) on January 22, 2020. The permit allows IMACA and the Church of the Nazarene to operate a "Safe Parking" project on property owned by the Church. It is our opinion that the Planning Department failed to perform a thorough investigation while preparing their report. We are also of the opinion that the Bishop Unified School District (School) and the Inyo County Office of Education would like the opportunity to be heard regarding the decision to approve the CUP. The School is the leaseholder of the land directly adjacent to the project and adequate time was not allowed to determine the potential impacts to the School's facility and to the safety of students directly adjacent to the project.

1

While IMACA and other organizations have good intentions to help the homeless, the means to provide such help should not adversely affect students, community members, or neighbors, nor should it compromise the safety of the community as a whole.

Based on the following, it is our opinion that the Planning Department misrepresented the project information and failed to accurately analyze the effects of this project:

- Surrounding Land Use The report indicates the land to the east, south and west is "Vacant/Open Space". This is categorically incorrect. For decades, all three of these sides indicated are operated by the Bishop Unified School District as a facility specifically used for the agricultural program and FFA projects. To overlook this information is gross negligence on the part of the Planning Department in preparing the report and is substantially misleading to anyone referencing the report. The School should have been directly consulted on this proposal as it has the potential to significantly impact the safety of students and School operations.
- Site Location The report again indicates that the project is surrounded by vacant, open space. This is false information. The report should indicate the areas directly adjacent to the project on the east, south and west sides are Bishop Unified School facilities.
- General Plan Consistency The report references consistency with the Housing Element and references "Approval of mixed-use development...", however, the project is not a development of any kind. The use of this reference is out of context in relation to the project and is irrelevant in terms of planning approval.
- Findings --

Item 5 states the terms of the agreement between IMACA and the Church will ensure the proposed use will not have an impact on the surrounding facilities. The terms of the contract are solely at the discretion of IMACA and the Church and can be amended at any time without County input or approval. This does not provide evidence that the CUP is "properly related to other uses...in the vicinity".
Item 6 indicates fencing and the adjacent Highway 168 will "keep project site occupants from leaving the property". This is again misleading. The fencing is simple barbed wired and the road is public access without restriction. Individuals can easily access any areas surrounding the project. The evidence also states that the project will not "change or increase the current level or type of use; and therefore, it will not create impacts on the health or safety of persons living or working in the vicinity or be materially detrimental to the public welfare." This evidence cannot possibly be true if the assumption was made that the surrounding property was "Vacant/Open Space", when in fact it is occupied by young students on a daily basis up to the property boundary.

Conditions of Approval – Numerous times throughout the report, references made to the terms of the agreement between IMACA and the Church being incorporated into the conditions of approval of the permit. Again, the terms of the contract are solely at the discretion of IMACA and the Church and can be amended at any time without County input or approval. The only limitation provided is in increasing the number of parking spaces.

In addition to the shortcomings of the project review, the project details are also flawed and vague and should be of more concern to the Planning Commission in considering this project. The proposal lacks specific details on staffing, security, and law enforcement involvement to ensure public safety; it does not address health and safety issues adequately; and it leaves the County without any control or input as to the operation of the project. Considering the report states that this is a "Public/quasi-public use", the County should have some follow up requirements and approval power should the parties decide to amend their contract i.e. nothing in this CUP prohibits the parties from agreeing to open the Safe Parking 24 hours a day or allowing outside cooking facilities, etc.

As reflected above, the information provided to the Planning Commission was not thorough and has significant information missing that needs to be considered before a valid decision can be made. It is requested that the decision be appealed to allow for direct School District input once a complete analysis of the project and its impacts can be completed.

Sincerely,

Scott Cimino 2630 Sunset Road, Bishop, CA 93514 (760) 784-0729 SRCIMINO @ YAHOO. COM

Laura Gleason 2483 Sunrise Drive, Bishop, CA 93514 - / mailing 50 CORRIEHA (11 (760) 937-4646 TEmpleton, CA

cc: Bishop Unified School District Inyo County Superintendent of Schools

Central coasthome and land@gmail.com

Scott R. Cimino Cindy L. Cimino 2630 Sunset Rd	6599 2.6 2020 90-4211/1211
Bishop, CA 93514 Inyo County Para Inver hundred	Allars & 007105 Dollars De mereter
Eastern Sierra Community Ban 351 N. Main Street Bishop, CA 93514	k Minuis No
FOR	002625"

W.

Attachment 4

2020 Comments

From:	InyoPlanning
To:	Courtney Smith
Cc:	Cathreen Richards
Subject:	FW: FFA farm
Date:	Monday, February 24, 2020 4:16:33 PM

 \odot

From: AIMEE MICHENER [mailto:tnamichener@live.com] Sent: Monday, February 24, 2020 1:09 PM To: InyoPlanning Subject: FFA farm

I am a long time volunteer at the FFA farm & am strongly opposed to the plan to turn the Nazarene Church into a safe parking zone for the countys homeless population. My children & I spend hours at the farm, often in the back fields, helping with the farms various fundraisers & it really concerns me to think of what could happen if the safe parking initiative is passed. I believe its a very real threat to the safety of both the kids and adults involved in the FFA program, as well as to the animals. Im all for assisting people who are down on their luck & need a helping hand, but the location that was chosen is just not the right one.

Aimee Michener

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone



Katherine Kolker, Interim Superintendent 301 N. Fowler Street, Bishop, CA 93514 760.872.3680

February 5, 2020

To: Inyo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors Re: Safe Parking Project

The Bishop Unified School District and Board of Trustees cannot support the Conditional Use Permit as proposed and approved at the January 22, 2020 Planning Commission Meeting known as the "Safe Parking Project". The location of this project as written is at the Church of the Nazarene, which is surrounded on 3 sides of its perimeter by property owned by LADWP and leased by the school district for our school farm/Agriculture program. The school farm is open year-round, and occupied by various livestock and an average of 160 students per year who spend time on the farm to work with their animals at all hours of the day/night. As a school district, safety is our primary concern, and the proximity of this proposed project unfortunately puts our students' safety at risk.

The motivation and rationale for implementing a project that offers space and services to persons experiencing homelessness is commendable and certainly warranted given local and state-wide increases in homelessness. In order for us to consider supporting the proposed location, we would need many more safeguards such as fencing and full-time supervision. We understand that this is likely to be financially prohibitive and would like to see if there might be a more practical location in our county that might serve that purpose without such close proximity and access to our school and our students. The school district would like to extend our willingness to support IMACA's efforts to this end and be part of the upcoming community meeting led by IMACA and HHS.

Respectfully,

Katie Kolker BUSD Interim Superintendent

cc: Larry Emerson, IMACA Meaghan McCamman, HHS

www.bishopschools.org

BISHOP UNION HIGH SCHOOL

DAVID KALK PRINCIPAL DEREK MOISANT ASSISTANT PRINCIPAL

301 NORTH FOWLER STREET BISHOP CA. 93514

(760)873-4275 FAX (760)873-3065

February 5, 2020

To Whom It May Concern:

On behalf of the students, staff, and administration of BUHS, this letter is to formally express our concern about IMACA's proposed Safe Parking Project at the Church of the Nazarene and the safety issues that it presents to the students of BUHS.

That property is bordered on three sides by land that Bishop Unified School District leases from LADWP for the BUHS FFA program. While the actual physical structures used for the program may sit some distance from the church property, the "vacant" land that is directly adjacent to the church is used for the cows, sheep, and other farm animals that our students tend to nearly every day. Having a homeless population "living" on a property that is so close to our students presents some very serious safety concerns for those students who need to tend to their animals at all hours of the day and night. In addition to the concerns of the staff and administration of BUHS, I have had received numerous phone calls from or had face-to-face conversations with parents of our students who share this concern.

I am troubled that this project was approved and allowed to move forward by the Planning Department without any input from the high school or the school district. In fact, some of the information presented in IMACA's proposal - and used in the decision making - to describe the surrounding land was misleading in that the land to both the east and west of the church was described as "vacant".

It is my hope that the stakeholders at BUHS will have an opportunity to share the specific concerns about this project before it is given final approval and allowed to move forward.

Sincerely,

David Kalk Principal Bishop Union High School

THE BRONCO WAY

From:	Nancy McMurtrie
To:	InyoPlanning; Courtney Smith
Cc:	Courtney Smith; InyoPlanning
Subject:	Church parking/ FFA farm
Date:	Friday, February 28, 2020 3:32:20 PM

To whom it may concern,

We are writing this letter based on our concerns for the public parking near the FFA farm. In regards to the concern we feel that the parking lot is to risky for our FFA animals and children. Our main concerns first are for the children and schools that are so close to the church. Second concern is the people that will frequent the parking lot could be sex offenders, drug addicts, human traffickers. In recent forums the county has stated that there will be security guards every two hours to make sure the lot is safe. That is not security and not having someone on site at all times, leads to problems. Third concern is that the human waste and trash issue is huge next to animals at the farm. The use of drugs and needles could be very harmful to livestock and children near by. Final concern is that the community needs a safe place for these types of people but this is not the right location.

Suggestions for buildings :

Old Kmart building in Taco Bell parking lot

Kmart building in Vons parking lot

We understand that our community has a problem but it needs to be addressed properly within the right facility.

Thank you,

Nancy and John McMurtrie

To Whom It May Concern:

I must start off by saying how discussed I am over this approval to allow the homeless to come live in our small beautiful town. It has already changed with seeing squatters, some who have major mental issues. It terrifies me as mom of two young daughters, to be living with this kind of change and being witnesses to this kind of living situation. I feel for these people but I know that you could have came up with a BETTER WAY and PLACE for them to be and go. Besides setting them right next to a school farm, where it has been stated that they have already had issues with these people. I mean come on, who thought this was a good idea?? There has also been an approval for money to help this when it hasn't been approved! Now we have all kinds of unstable homeless people coming into the town to claim these benefits. IT SCARES ME FOR THE SAFETY OF NOT JUST MY CHILDREN BUT ALL CHILDREN! Its to the point that I can't even go to the store without seeing trash in the parking lots to people picking out of the garbage. I really think there is a better way to handle this situation. I have seen that the town workers are taking a stand by mowing fields to stop the squatters. Have you thought of them doing spot fires than BAM goes Bishop up in flames! Most if not all of these people are just taking advantage of free benefits and its disgusting that you are allowing them to do so when there are families out there that deserve it more! If you happen to read the news you will see such things as people taking advantage of government hand outs. They have chosen to live this way and look to the state for hand outs. When are we going to start taking a stand against this and stop continuing to allow these people to run our lives with their mental issues, filth, and disgusting habit. Its time for the not just for town officials but mayor, senate and government officials to wake up on this issue and come together with a probable cause to handling this besides pushing them onto others to handle. My vote is a big fat NO! DON"T LET THIS PASS, for it will not only ruin our lives but the lives of the children.

I only have to sign the anonymously due to my job and not losing it. Sincerely

A very concerned Citizen

Courtney Smith

From: Sent: To: Subject: Cathreen Richards Monday, February 10, 2020 1:55 PM Courtney Smith FW: Safe parking Next to School Farm

-----Original Message-----From: InyoPlanning Sent: Monday, February 3, 2020 11:00 AM To: Courtney Smith Cc: Cathreen Richards Subject: FW: Safe parking Next to School Farm

IMACA Project

-----Original Message-----From: Corey Buffington [mailto:coreybuff@hotmail.com] Sent: Sunday, February 2, 2020 2:44 PM To: InyoPlanning Subject: Safe parking Next to School Farm

Inyo County Planning Comission

I'm writing this letter to voice my concerns about the Safe Parking Project receiving a permit to be next to the Bishop High School Farm. I noticed on the permit the school farm land was classified as vacant. This land has many different uses throughout the year. Many of the labs and activities that take place are on the different pastures of the farm. Students graze and exercise their project animals adjacent to the Nazerene Church. I feel this decision was made not understanding the School Farm's size and location on three sides of the Nazerene Church. The teachers, students, family members and volunteers are at the farm various hours night and day. Depending on the season. Summertime the majority of students are exercising late into the evening and early mornings. Students come and care for their animals throughout the the day. These students walk their animals behind the Church towards the western side of the farm. This area was marked

The acreage and the number of animals that are cared for by the students and Mr. Buffington. Makes Bishop High's school farm unique, if not one of a kind. So I feel we as a community should protect the school farm, students and many childern that visit. By not taking the risk of a possible negative experience between our childern and a "Safe Parker". I ask would you put this project next to your childerns school, after school program or park ? I would not. So please reconsider your location for the safe parking project.

Thank you Corey Buffington

Sent from my iPhone

Corey Yeager Buffington Facebook post

Corey Yeager Buffington is Sefeeling disappointed in Bishop, California.

January 23 at 8:48 PM ·

Just curious how many of my friends have heard or had knowledge of the Nazarene Church and IMACA plans to park transients along the School Farm on Line street ?

We are very concerned of the potential risk to the students and families that frequent the farm. Unfortunately We have already had negative experiences. A homeless person and his tent had to be removed from School farm property. The location were this person was squatting was littered with liquor bottles, beer bottles, and trash.

Both our personal pasture leases have also been tresspassed by transients. One occasion caused the escape of my mule who ran down 395. While we were repairing the fence that had wires crossed and pulled down, Joe came across a hypodermic needle.

Our family lease on the Hwy had stolen items stashed from businesses nearby. Several people had seen this man leaving that lease after Joe had fed our horses . On more than one occasion.

We feel violated by their trespassing and no longer feel safe letting our daughters play in the pastures.

The news of the planning commission issuing a permit for safe parking alongside the Bishop Union High School Farm. Doesn't make me feel safe at all . We are frequently checking the farm all hours of day and night. Taking care of 100 plus animals that live there. Students know the farm to be theirs. They come and go as they please taking care of personal project animals or helping in the management of the 88 acres and farm animals.

We have major concerns for the safety of the childern and students that visit the farm.

Please help us keep our School Farm a safe place by voicing your opinion.#keepourschoolfarmsafe

270 Comments149 Shares

Sarah Simpson It was in the paper a couple of weeks ago

Hide or report this



<u>Corey Yeager Buffington</u> Sarah Simpson I believe letters were sent. But I guess we needed more. I was hoping they would realize our communities children's safety is more important.

Caryn Tomaselli Todd Sorry I don't read the papers so was unaware of this writing letter tommorow against this..

Kami Eldridge Tracy Braithwaite read this after your snarky comments to me on your post

Morgan Maillet Do you mind if i share this?

This sounds like an awful idea, aside from the school farm it also places them closer to the middle school. ...<u>See</u> <u>More</u>

Corey Yeager Buffington Morgan Maillet please do share . We need to make our selves a bit louder.

<u>Miles Maillet</u> Morgan Maillet this makes my blood boil!!! This is not helping these people. This is enabling them to stay on drugs and live off handouts. That close to our local kids and schools is complete bullshit!!! How about we help our kids by keeping these peop...<u>See More</u>

View 4 more replies

<u>**Tawni Romero</u>** That is terrible!!! Can you even imagine what that street will look like.. \textcircled what are they thinking... makes me sick.! Whoever thinking this is a good idea should open up their home or their property to house these people...</u>

Corey Yeager Buffington Tawni Romero heartbreaking for our community

Tawni Romero Corey Yeager Buffington yes it is!! It's NOT ok ... I don't get it!

View 5 more replies

<u>Michelle Forbis</u> Did anyone attend the hearing yesterday for this? Can we start a petition and get locals and businesses along that stretch to sign in opposition to it?

<u>Corey Yeager Buffington Michelle Forbis</u> we were unable to attend. Letter were sent . I'm regretting not starting this post prior to the hearing. Honestly I thought they would choose children's safety over transients.

Michelle Forbis I wonder what was said/determined at the hearing.

View 4 more replies

<u>Mary Pucci</u> <u>Corey Yeager Buffington</u>.....this is me giving you a heads up on what you might do.....your letter is very will written...it should be given to the church and IMACA (Larry Emerson) and a cc to Sheriff Hollowell and police Chief Stec....Joe should have ...<u>See More</u>

<u>Corey Yeager Buffington Mary Pucci</u> thank you . We had a representative attend the IMACA board meeting this afternoon. To Voice the concerns of the school.

I will be putting on my boots for this one !!!!! I'm not one for politics. But,I believe our school farm is a rare

treasure . That our community is so fortunate to have. So I will stand up to protect the kids and farm from any kind of risk.

Mary Pucci Corey Yeager Buffington

View 2 more replies

<u>Caryn Tomaselli Todd</u> So let me get this straight you can't have any tabacco, weed or liquor stores within a certain distance to any schools but a homeless camp is okay.. with feces.. drugs.. needles.. trash.. these people don't want help.. this is disgusting. Not happy

Lara Andersen Is school / district admin aware?

Corey Yeager Buffington Lara Andersen yes they sent a letter and attended board meeting today.

Keri Dishion This makes me sick! The school farm is a place for the kids to go to raise their animals and sometimes just to hang out. These kids need a safe place and with people like that that close it won't be.

<u>Karrie Maurice</u> Unreal that we have to fight for the safety for our children! Where is the common sense with these people that plan this non sense?! I will support in any way needed <u>Corey Yeager Buffington</u>

Corey Yeager Buffington Karrie Maurice thank you

<u>Caitlin Davis</u> This is not ok. Here is a thought maybe bishop mplaining condition could rent land from dwp to put mini homes on and let the homeless work by doing different jobs around or help in someway with different events so the ppl can pay off the mini home.

<u>Ashley Jade</u> Caitlin Davis dwp won't give anyone their supposed stolen land to even the indigenous peoples let alone people who are homeless. They could care less.

<u>Caitlin Davis</u> Ashley Jade the city wants to put them somewhere that's why it could be leased. But really there is a housing shortage and rent is high to high for a low income family to hold onto. Even space rent is over 400 at some parks for a month. I don't want to enable anyone. And it's sad for our kids they won't feel safe there.

<u>Kristen Wedeking Brown</u> In my opinion, it's just enabling. Give them something for free and they will never get help. And next to the school farm??? Seriously? What about security.....trash..what about drug use.....where will they go to the bathroom? Time to get involved.

<u>Corey Yeager Buffington</u> Keri Dishion our farm is a special place to many people. Bishop FFA's returning alumni is proof of the power behind the farm . We need to preserve this sanctuary for our youth. #keepourschoolfarmsafe

Keri Dishion Corey Yeager Buffington super special. Not many towns are as lucky as us. Let me know what I can to to #keepourschoolfarmsafe

Corey Yeager Buffington Keri Dishion I appreciate your help.

1

<u>Amy-Nikolaus N Johnny-Williamson</u> I agree with all that is being said. Just this morning on my way to work between line street and highway 6 on Main Street I saw 5 homeless people digging in the trash cans along main. So disappointed with the city. Instead of dealing with the rise in h...<u>See More</u>

<u>Ashley Jade</u> Amy-Nikolaus N Johnny-Williamson the homelessness has increased so much.. it is shocking. I hope they find a solution and quick.

<u>Amy-Nikolaus N Johnny-Williamson</u> Ashley Jade you can't even go into Starbucks in Vons and sit to have a drink with a friend all the tables in there are filled with homeless and then I have watched them shoplifter right in front on employees. Its the same way at the main Starbucks too. It's maddening.

<u>Angie Harris</u> One person doesn't speak for every person. . There is a difference between "I'm squatting here" and "this is a place I am welcomed, loved, and supported." One homeless person does not speak for all of them. ...<u>See More</u>

George Hitchborn there are places to get that help, B&E is not ok.

<u>Corey Yeager Buffington</u> <u>Angie Harris</u> I agree people need help. But why should we sacrifice our children's safety. Let's help them away from our school campus.

View 7 more replies

<u>Julie Bayles Hevermann</u> Corey Yeager Buffington, is this parking on the church property or on line street. The city did not think this out. Who is going to be responsible for the trash and human waste clean up? If the city/church/ community are concerned for housing those in ...See More

Susie Dougherty Dillard Do you know who we can contact at the church to express our unhappiness with this?

Corey Yeager Buffington Susie Dougherty Dillard the Church sent us to IMACA.

Corey Yeager Buffington Read Mary Pucci comments

Vanessa Keller Susie Dougherty Dillard I guess Eddie Davis is the pastor at the Nazerine Church.

Jordan Dana Burroughs Terrible idea, pretty soon it will be like los Angeles and be a full blown homeless drug camp.

Deborah Clarkson Sorry I'm confused. The article says the parking spaces are to the West of the Church on Nazarene property which doesn't run along side of the farm. Does the farm include property between Nazarene church and Calvary Baptist Church now?

<u>Corey Yeager Buffington</u> <u>Deborah Clarkson</u> yes the farm is over 88 acres . The farm is on 3 sides of the church property

Deborah Clarkson Corey Yeager Buffington okay good to know. I think the church was probably trying to do a good thing, it's too bad we don't have temporary shelter for these people. Hope it all works out, I totally understand your concern.

View 2 more replies

Cheryl Glass Why there of all places?

<u>Scott Stoner</u> Please read up on what is happening here, a lot of comments in this thread are people being scared, and some of them rightfully so. They will have supervision for the 12 hrs they are allowed to be here. They have to sign in and sign out. They have to...<u>See More</u>

<u>Corev Yeager Buffington</u> <u>Scott Stoner</u> we had made the school administrators aware of the plan. When we discovered that this was a possibility. The Church never talked to my husband or the school about the possibility of transients parking overnight.

We are right in the midd...<u>See More</u>

<u>Scott Stoner Corey Yeager Buffington</u> I had a feeling that some voices were not being heard and that is why I wanted to add the condition for it to be rereviewed if it is not working out. I felt it was important to add this so that there would be an avenue to escalate any issues.

View 13 more replies

Scott Stoner Also I did not see any letters for or against this project.

Here is a link to the agenda which has a lot of information regarding the proposed use of space. There are still other caveats including one that a security plan must be made in conjunction with the Sheriff's office as well as BPD....<u>See More</u>

Ernesto Mc Scott Stoner was this idea ever put in the Inyo Register so people could know about it? This was the first I've heard of it. Sounds like a pretty bad location for transients to be staying. Aside from it being next to the school farm I feel bad for the property owners across the street that are going to have homeless people and ports potties for a view now.

<u>Scott Stoner</u> <u>Ernesto Mc</u> yes it was noticed and posted in butt hurt last week as well. And no port potties, the church will allow them to use their restroom. I still struggle with it which is why I wanted the extra condition to evaluate if complaints are received.

View 8 more replies

<u>Cat Black</u> That sounds like one of the worst places to do something like that. Definitely a high risk activity. What idiot would put a homeless encampment next to where children are!!!

<u>Cat Black</u> <u>Corey Yeager Buffington</u> is it possible that with the potential for hazardous substances (ie drug paraphernalia, chemicals, etc.) or waste (poop etc.) that this government action might require an Environmental Impact Report or something before this can be enacted?

Cat Black Is there adequate EMS services. Sounds like there might be security and public safety issues

Cindy Sturtevant Kitts If you need us to write or make phone calls let us know

<u>Christina Christenson Rockwell</u> Why don't they just let them be in their parking lot or get warm inside? Wait that's not good for the parishioners... honestly I don't know what the right solution is but this isn't it.

<u>Andrea Bartlett</u> Im sickened by this! The school farm is exactly that a "SCHOOL FARM"! I'M All for helping people, but not at the cost of children. Get them the F outta there! So sorry that you all are going through this!

Carolyn Merritt Fleischer Going to turn Bishop into a shit hole too like the rest of Ca?

Mary Rossi Yikes

Dawn Kirby We already have one missing Bishop teenager. We just had a shooting at the Wye. A body of a homeless man found down by Hanby. Hundreds of reports of stolen items around town. Businesses being tagged, and places you can't go anymore. Now they are going ...<u>See More</u>

<u>Charmagne Stephanie Debaptiste</u> <u>Dawn Kirby</u> wow I never heard about the body on Hanby? Was it an accident or intentional? And when?

Dawn Kirby I heard about it around a month ago. The body was badly decomposed and had been there around a year. They didn't know if it was murder or just one of them dying. Very scary if it was murder as people usually kill again. But it was by one of their homeless camps.

View 3 more replies

Scott Stoner



Cat Black Scott Stoner So CEQA does apply?

Scott Stoner I am not sure. Mark says yes, but I am not sure.

<u>Carolyn Yount</u> Bottom line a homeless encampments has 0 business anywhere next to a school facility, regardless of stipulations on the inhabitants. Prisons have 24 hour guards and yet somehow those facilities are still full of crime and the local powers that be beli...<u>See More</u>

Justin Snyder If anything happens to the farm or one of our children I say sue the church since they decided to bring them in they can deal with the actions of them. If we start finding things missing and happening hold the church responsible. Just like parents can be held responsible for their childrens actions legally and financially the church should as well. You wanted then you take care of them.

Mary Lou Tracey This is a very bad idea for all concerned. If they are trying to help the transients it should be at the church or IMACA properties

Scott Stoner Mary Lou Tracey they are.

<u>Curt Van Nest</u> We need to make a no homeless policy in this town like they used to have in Elko Nevada and if they found you out after curfew and you couldn't prove your residence they put you on a train and sent you back to the city

Curt Van Nest Not because I hate the homeless but because I'd like to protect our small town

<u>Cat Black</u> <u>Curt Van Nest</u> Elko has a homeless encampment. There are groups that help them with blankets, clothes, and water. They even have some sort of "clean needle" exchange. It works for some things, but it is not something that belongs anywhere near school children

<u>Cat Black</u> Contact Inyo County Planning Dept Steve Karamitros and Catherine Pierce with requests to appeal the planning commission decision!

Cat Black http://opr.ca.gov/ceqa/

Karen Keehn With all the land around Bishop, you'd think there was a better place for our homeless than a place where school kids frequent daily. Must be a better place somewhere around town.

<u>Curt Van Nest</u> How about nowhere in Bishop how about let's keep our town like it used to be where people didn't get shot at and robbed

<u>**Carolyn Yount</u>** I think the councilmen who voted yes on this could save us all a lot of grief and alleviate the concern of the public by inviting them to stay in their backyards instead.</u>

Curt Van Nest Carolyn Yount I don't believe it's been voted on yet but please call the county voice your concerns

<u>Sherry Wilkinson</u> Surely they can find a better location. What happened to putting the well being of our children first above all

<u>Roma McCov-Keller</u> This is deeply troubling. We just went through something very similar in Bend. The parents found that some of the homeless population were also sex offenders and being parked near our community parks. The rights and safety of our children is the MOST I...<u>See More</u>

<u>Andrea Cline</u> Good for you to bring attention to this. The community needs to talk about stuff like this and social Media is exactly a perfect way to get people aware and talking

o <u>Charmagne Stephanie Debaptiste</u> Andrea Cline yes please contact the paper.

Tori Lynne DeHaven This is so terrible! Not only does this put our kids at risk but I imagine it could effect annual activities such as the Haunted Swamp. Personally, I would think twice about taking my kids there if there was a

homeless encampment near by. Occasionally I take my kids to the farm on the weekends, which they absolutely love! I guess I can't do that anymore.

<u>Tori Lynne DeHaven</u> I also would think twice about donating to IMACA, our community is a generous one. But putting our kids at risk is not something that will be tolerated.

<u>Pam J. Kelley</u> This encourages the transients. They live by stealing! Kids safety should be number one! This is so wrong!

Bret Metcalf This is the first time I've heard anything about this. Seems like these things are rarely seen by the public until after it had been passed.

Ashlee Nicole Bret Metcalf it was in the paper

Bret Metcalf Ashlee Nicole I guess I need to start reading the paper

<u>Rebecca Parham</u> No no no that is for our young people and their animals. Please keep it that way. Let the homeless park where there are no kids or animals for them to hurt. Try out by the prison camp

<u>Stacy Hoersting Sparrow</u> Who attended the public meetings? Does anyone have any other constructive ideas to help mitigate widespread waste and illegal camping?

Diane Henry-Walsh I didn't even know about it!

Jed Eropkin Isn't that Caltrans right of way? I haven't anything about it.

<u>**Tina Parkhurst**</u> Nimby is alive and well in Bishop too... rather than saying "we don't want it here" how about coming up with the solution of how to help them and keep everyone safe? This is not a problem that is just going to go away because you tell it to.

Diane Henry-Walsh I don't agree, if we start supporting them more will come.

Gavin Wilkinson Allow more housing to be built.

Tony Wiser Gavin Wilkinson

Ok Gavin, who's going to pay for the more housing and who in that homeless population is going to buy them? Building more houses is not the answer.

Gavin Wilkinson Tony Wiser it's called supply and demand. Increasing the supply lowers the price for everyone.

<u>Ann Strohm</u> I may be confused, but is the site in question next to the farm? The permit language says west of the church. Does the farm extend past the church too?

<u>Delanie Delmas</u> <u>Ann Strohm</u> she answered someone above saying they have 88 acres that wrap around 3 sides of the church.

Ann Strohm Delanie Delmas Thanks. I missed that.

<u>**Tammy Miller Longest</u>** Someone brought up that we have a lot of vacant buildings. There are also a few vacant large houses around also. No idea who they belong to. Most churches have large rec type rooms (I'm sure that's not</u>

<u>**Tammy Miller Longest</u>** And what about the old Toiyabe building, where the doctors office and things were. And the trailers. There are sooooo many other options.</u>

Sabrina Renteria Tammy Miller Longest they are not empty. All are filled for tribal operations.

<u>Ann Strohm</u> I'm concerned that this post conflates homelessness with criminality. Experience with one homeless person who littered and may be using IV drugs does not mean that the entire homeless population in this area is a source of criminal behavior/danger to ...<u>See More</u>

<u>Corey Yeager Buffington</u> <u>Ann Strohm</u> I'm sorry but our personal experience was with different individuals . I also understand that not everyone is a criminal. I believe you can help people away from our youth.

<u>Rhonda Erickson</u> <u>Ann Strohm</u> " one homeless person who littered and may be using IV drugs" is one person too many for me.

Kathy Dodson Who owns that property?

<u>Rebecca Distel Reinig</u> I am speechless and in order to escape the wrath of Bishopites my only comment is...never say you will never be homeless....and pray to God if you are you are met with compassion and understanding. Maybe I can convince City of LA to lease some land close to town for the purpose of helping homeless.

Dawn Kirby most of us work and don't use drugs, so not happening. These are able bodied people who choose to live this way.

<u>Rebecca Distel Reinig Dawn Kirby</u> I have always worked and do not do drugs. Cancer, medical bills and finding out we had 30 days to move from our rental a week before my husband had cancer surgery and a kidney removed and was unable to work, found us living in a tent for three months. It can happen to anyone and not all homeless are drug addicted felons...trust me on that one.

Jaime Holland We do not need a homeless shelter. We need a mental health facility, a homeless shelter is just going to attract more homeless to the area. And we do not need one by the school farm!

<u>Scott M. Wayland</u> Jaime Holland Exactly. Sorry to say, but the more services you provide, the easier you make it, the MORE you will get. How do we fight this?

Rebecca Distel Reinig Jaime Holland the two go hand on hand

<u>Kelli Johnson Van Nest</u> This is incredibly personal to me. I absolutely believe in helping people up in life. So this comment is for those individuals on the fence, or in support. If you know me, you know I love to help people. I have donated time, food, shelter, fuel, pet fo...<u>See More</u>

Connie Marie Watson-Durham Kelli Johnson Van Nest

Diane Henry-Walsh Kelli Johnson Van Nest Been there helped plenty of folks but it's to risky and very dangerous.

<u>C.J. Agev</u> No No No Not by the school farm !!! Absolutely not. These organizers were not thinking clearly. Yes the homeless need somewhere to go. But next to the school farm is NOT the place !!!

<u>Rebecca Distel Reinig</u> C.J. Agev I think I agree with you about not having it near a school. Even if the chances are slim something bad could happen the benefits do not out weigh the risks of maybe harming children. Bishop has

enough open space to separate the two for the benefit of everyone. We do however need to acknowledge and address the homeless problem without passing judgement or blame.

Zaiah Waistell A van down by the river!

Carolyn Yount Here's my two cents, which doesn't buy much.

Homelessness is a national issue and seems to be a growing epidemic in California especially. It's not something we can sweep under the rug, pretend has no unwanted implications or turn away from complete...<u>See More</u>

Corey Yeager Buffington Carolyn Yount I agree with you 100

<u>Micky Everhart Carr</u> Seems like the school district should have some input or at least voice some concern on this because it definitely impacts students!

<u>Ashlee Nicole</u> So first, I haven't read every single comment on this post so sorry but here is my 2 cents. ...<u>See More</u>

Marlene Taylor No000, no, no! Emphatically no.

Lowell Prange Would you rather keep the homeless along highway 6, the KMart parking lot and in many residential areas or camping in fields like the farm? Let's provide the homeless a place with trashcans and restrooms because the alternative is not pretty. I've vo...<u>See More</u>

Diane Henry-Walsh Lowell Prange I wouldn't leave Julie alone ...it's not safe with certain mentally ill homeless people. 🙂

Christie McMillan Lowell Prange

An alternative site MUST be made available. It is well documented that many of the homeless people suffer with drug/alcohol addictions, mental illness and some with criminal histories. ...<u>See More</u>

Dee Berner I read here that it was only for parking overnight in their vehicles, not camping..7 am check out and 7 pm check in monitored ..??

Lowell Prange Exactly. It is very heavily regulated. I won't be surprised if the site is empty most of the time because only people who want to follow a lot of rules are welcome.

Dee Berner Lowell Prange yes..its too visible for the weirdo homeless. These 'homeless' with their RV, trailers, etc. have resources and some have jobs..

Dee Berner I already have had to run the ones with no vehicles out of my Wye Road pasture and clean up thrashed camps. Had DWP guys help a few times..cleaned up a lot myself. They move in when we have to move the pack animals out...

A little unsettling for me.

Lowell Prange Constructive criticism is welcome. Would security lighting, cameras or an impassible fence between the church and the farm help?

<u>Scott Stoner</u> For those of you against this, I hope you are contacting your supervisors otherwise this is all for not. No one else can do anything about it.

Scott Stoner https://www.inyocounty.us/.../planning-commission-appeals

Domenic Dino Saraceno We have 80% of our jails filled with illegal alien felons in our jail at a cost of \$60,000 per person waiting for deportation if we follow through and send them back to there country we will have vacancy's with the money to house and get help for the homeless

<u>Rebecca Distel Reinig</u> Domenic Dino Saraceno I'm wondering where you got your numbers from because based on my quick 10 minute Google search your statement that 80% of jails are filled will illegal immigrants is categorically false. If my math is correct it's more like 19 to...<u>See More</u>

<u>Rebecca Distel Reinig</u> Sorry...deporting illegal immigrants instead of putting them in jail will not solve the homeless crisis. As much as some people want to believe they are the cause of all our social issues and crime it's simple not true.

<u>Harriett Davis</u> This can't be ignored, we absolutely don't want to become a mini San Francisco. The danger to our children, our sense of a small town is in danger. Homelessness is sad and I know many are good people, but there are those who are dangerous or mentally ill and unfortunately we need to protect our families. This is scary!

<u>Mike Cobb</u> I retired in Bishop to get away from this . Sad to see this degradation of my New home. I watched the homeless Trash the Inland Empire to the point of " Out of Control "

Vicky Oglesby No way! WHO decided this is ok?

<u>Mike Dowd</u> Question: Why was this an Inyo county decision when the Nazarene church appears to be in the City limits?

Janie Reeves The fact that they see a need for security there seems like a red flag not to place people right next to the school farm.

Rhonda Erickson Janie Reeves great logic!

Mary Donnelly Should not happen

<u>Charmagne Stephanie Debaptiste</u> <u>Scott Stoner</u> I have a question how many ppl turned out for this meeting on Tuesday? You said in a previous post (which I can not find on here now) that their was a big turn out. Also said their was a vote 4-0 in favor of this.

Scott Stoner Charmagne Stephanie Debaptiste I did not have a count but I would say 90% of the seating was taken.

<u>Charmagne Stephanie Debaptiste</u> <u>Scott Stoner</u> so if you had to guess how many seats were their ? 50, 100 or more. Just curious is all.

Brenda Waistell Maybe we the people should go back to using pitchforks, and clubs to get the point across. Stay off my land, and get out of my town!

Diane Henry-Walsh Ugh! I'm over the trash, the homeless and squatters, I can't walk my dogs without them finding and eating human waste. I don't support supporting them. Bishop has enough problems as does all of CA. We want them to move along. Our whole town is changing and not for the better.

<u>Scott Stoner</u> For those of you against this, I hope you are contacting your supervisors otherwise this is all for not. No one else can do anything about it.

Carole Wade Has this been approved if so crazy?

Tim Fry They get the tents from the church..... Let them live in the church parking lot.....

<u>Christie McMillan</u> An alternative site MUST be made available. It is well documented that many of the homeless people suffer with drug/alcohol addictions, mental illness and some have criminal histories.

Purposely placing an encampment in the path of children is at min...See More

Valerie Thorp That building is directly across the street from a daycare and after school facility. Wouldn't that be worse than by a farm? Here's the thing. We live in a small town, you're not going to find a place where there are no kids. Let these people be helped where someone (Imaca and the church) is willing to help them.

<u>Christina Christenson Rockwell</u> <u>Valerie Thorp</u> it's also right next door to probation and social services. And the daycare and after school program don't run 7pm to 7am.

Melissa Guse I had no idea this is b******

<u>Suzanne Story Harrelson</u> How about voting OUT the politicians that have destroyed California! They have literally taxed people to death! Sure there are a whole lot of homeless people who choose to live that way but you could help change that with stricter laws regarding loiter...<u>See More</u>

Rhonda Erickson Suzanne Story Harrelson



Diane Henry-Walsh This is wrong, we are going to pay out of our pockets for these ideas. Our homes will decrease the value of or homes and property. I include the climbers in this and the poaching living off our land. Not all but many are leaving trash, poop and cigarette butts . Why doesn't any one do anything about "the pit" or up on the bluff?? We've had 2 big fires from the uncampground and in fields from homless. This has bothered me for a very long time. Can we get a petition going? I'll stand out side of Vons and get signatures!

James Thomson How about the county set aside an area behind or in front of thier new building between the G.O. and ford????

<u>Colleen Bacoch</u> This is not a good idea.

<u>Charmagne Stephanie Debaptiste</u> I brought this to the attention of Inyo Register Newspaper and their is an Article in today's paper.

Courtney Smith

From:	David Camphouse <david.camphouse@gmail.com></david.camphouse@gmail.com>
Sent:	Thursday, February 20, 2020 9:07 AM
То:	Courtney Smith; InyoPlanning
Cc:	lemerson@imaca.net; Anna Camphouse
Subject:	Safe Parking

I want to say thank you to the Inyo County Council for looking into Safe Parking, and for approving the recent use of the Nazarene Church for this purpose. I know there are plenty of letters coming your way opposed to this use of the facility.

I do believe that providing more information about the service will be helpful. I would propose that for the several concerns that are repeated about proximity to the High School farm, the concerns about littering and especially about supervision for the program be addressed directly and point by point.

As a pastor, with experience in discerning Safe Parking options throughout southern California, I know there are three issues we have regularly had to address before we could go forward with use for these purposes.

1) Use of facilities and waste water disposal. My experience has been that having a separate facility, like a full access bathroom trailer, or a self contained bathroom building on site, as well as an agreement or arrangement with a local RV park to dispose of black and grey water makes a huge difference.

2) Supervision. Clear expectations of supervision, and police oversight has been very helpful, especially in neighborhood communities where the Safe Parking has been located.

3) A clear denunciation of the fear mongering related to hours of use, drug use, violence and problem behaviors of "those people", with notation of any services offered or required for use of the Safe Parking Zone.

In relation to the last, of fear mongering I would encourage you all to read these articles and welcome you to share your thoughts back to me. These are related to setting up transient communities, food pantries, and safe parking, and the ongoing struggles associated with establishing such services. These articles also point to some studies that have been done that show providing services like Safe Parking, homeless encampments, soup kitchens, and food pantries tend to reduce crime, and increase the overall condition of the community. One article even notes that when crime does increase, it tends to be against the homeless themselves, rather than the homeless persons committing crimes against members of the community at-large.

https://citylimits.org/2015/02/25/after-the-shouting-do-shelters-and-supportive-housing-harm-neighborhoods/

https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/may/23/homeless-villages-crime-rate-seattle-portland

https://www.latimes.com/opinion/op-ed/la-oe-shortt-homeless-victims-20181015-story.html

https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/social-issues/dc-residents-fret-over-shelter-plan-citing-crime-and-property-values/2016/02/27/7be82f4c-d978-11e5-925f-1d10062cc82d_story.html

David Camphouse Pastor (909)786-6256 Cell/SMS david.camphouse@gmail.com

I want to know God's thoughts. The rest are details. -Einstein

From:	InyoPlanning
To:	Courtney Smith
Cc:	Cathreen Richards
Subject:	FW: "safe Parking" appeal
Date:	Friday, February 21, 2020 11:06:01 AM

From: Canon Maurice [mailto:canonmaurice@yahoo.com] Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2020 11:51 AM To: InyoPlanning Subject: "safe Parking" appeal

Good Morning-

I am contacting Inyo County planning department to state my apposition in placing a "safe parking" zone next to the Bishop High School FFA farm. I hope that everyone involved in the planning dept through Inyo County see's that this is not a great decision for placement of this program. I am sure there is several other areas that we can assist this organization in pursuing there goal that is outside of our school area where students are present constantly. I have no faith that this will be monitored correctly and soon enough we will start to see an overflow of trash, drug paraphernalia and other unwanted reminisce of these unfortunate people. I believe we can find an area that works for everyone and keeps the thought in mind of our kids safety first!! I appreciate you taking the time to listen and I look forward to the positive outcome that works best for ALL involved.

Very Kindly,

Karrie Maurice

From:	InyoPlanning
To:	Courtney Smith
Cc:	Cathreen Richards
Subject:	FW: Against Safe Parking project
Date:	Friday, February 21, 2020 11:05:06 AM

-----Original Message-----From: Callie Peek [<u>mailto:calliepeek@yahoo.com</u>] Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2020 3:53 PM To: InyoPlanning Subject: Against Safe Parking project

My name is Callie Peek, and I am against the safe parking project that would be located at the Nazarene Church. The chosen location is too close to the FFA farm, and would pose a danger to the youth who use the farm. Please reconsider this project. Sincerely,

Callie Peek

Sent from my iPhone

From:	InyoPlanning
To:	Courtney Smith
Cc:	Cathreen Richards
Subject:	FW: Appeal of the "Safe Parking Project"
Date:	Friday, February 21, 2020 11:04:35 AM

 \odot

From: Melanie Herrmann [mailto:jacksonrobinsmama@gmail.com] Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2020 4:40 PM To: InyoPlanning Subject: Appeal of the "Safe Parking Project"

To Whom it may Concern,

I am very concerned about the Safe Parking Project potentially using the property of the Nazarene Church. The close proximity to the school farm, where so many of our kids go, is unsettling.

I have knowledge of DWP workers having to clean trash, human fecces, and drug paraphernalia and needles from where the homeless were camping on DWP property.

Most times there is only one teacher at the farm with students and he cannot have eyes on all corners of the farm at once. Further, older students will come and go by themselves caring for their animals, putting them in an uneasy potential situation.

I am sure as a community, we would be able to find a more suitable location in the valley to host this camp, while still keeping the safety and education of our children in the forefront.

A Concerned Citizen,

Melanie Beaman

From:	<u>InyoPlanning</u>
To:	Courtney Smith
Cc:	Cathreen Richards
Subject:	FW: Appeal safe parking
Date:	Friday, February 21, 2020 11:39:36 AM

-----Original Message-----From: ccpreno@aol.com [mailto:ccpreno@aol.com] Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2020 9:20 AM To: InyoPlanning Subject: Appeal safe parking

To whom it may concern: I do not approve of the parking for the homeless at the Nazarene church.

Sincerely Courtney Jacobsen Sent from my iPhone

From:	InyoPlanning
To:	Courtney Smith
Cc:	Cathreen Richards
Subject:	FW: Conditional Use Permit 2019-18/IMACA
Date:	Friday, February 21, 2020 11:04:04 AM

-----Original Message-----From: CATHY ZANATTA [mailto:zanatta2@sbcglobal.net] Sent: Friday, February 21, 2020 8:02 AM To: InyoPlanning; Courtney Smith Subject: Conditional Use Permit 2019-18/IMACA

I am writing to strongly object to the Use Permit listed above. I am not a resident of your county but I have family and grandchildren who live in Bishop. I have spent much time at the Bishop High School farm as my grandchildren are involved in FFA and 4H. The thought of homeless people being allowed so close to this area worries me greatly. The students using the farm should not be concerned, or fearful of the homeless who most likely would wander onto the school property. The students should be the main consideration here. Surely there is another option for the homeless.

With Respect, Cathy Zanatta

Sent from my iPad

From:	InyoPlanning
To:	Courtney Smith
Cc:	Cathreen Richards
Subject:	FW: Homeless parking
Date:	Friday, February 21, 2020 11:41:24 AM

-----Original Message-----From: Rhondda Saunders [<u>mailto:rhondda.saunders@icloud.com</u>] Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2020 7:14 AM To: InyoPlanning Subject: Homeless parking

To whom it may concern,

This idea of starting a homeless night parking at The nazarene church is neither a safe or good idea. Children go to the school farm sometimes very early in the morning to take care of their livestock and the last thing they need is to be confronted with the homeless on their way there.

You can take a hint from the Methodist church's soup kitchen which feeds the homeless during the week.

They started out with good intentions and things went well till the homeless started showing up hours before lunch to lounge on the lawn and panhandle the students as the passed to and from the high school.

They harassed and verbally abused the passing students till the law had to be called.

Then they started breaking and stealing private property.

There has never been an area anywhere that was improved, enhanced or prosperous as a result of inviting homeless people in.... as good of an idea as it may sound like right now and as much as people want to ease these people's burden it only takes one bad incident to ruin the whole thing.

Sent from my iPhone

From:	InyoPlanning
To:	Courtney Smith
Cc:	Cathreen Richards
Subject:	FW: Safe parking
Date:	Friday, February 21, 2020 11:05:41 AM

-----Original Message-----From: Rachelle Schley [<u>mailto:rrschley@gmail.com</u>] Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2020 11:58 AM To: InyoPlanning Subject: Safe parking

To Inyo county planning I am apposed to the location of the proposed safe parking! Keep the high school students, and high school farm Safe!

Sent from my iPhone

From:	InyoPlanning
To:	Courtney Smith
Cc:	Cathreen Richards
Subject:	FW: Safe parking project
Date:	Friday, February 21, 2020 11:05:25 AM

From: jbar1979@aol.com [mailto:jbar1979@aol.com] Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2020 12:50 PM To: InyoPlanning Subject: Safe parking project

To Whom it may concern;

I am strongly against having the homeless park at the Nazarene church. I feel that it is too close to the high school farm. Schools should be a safe environment, I would not feel safe with my child being there.

I do agree that the homeless need a safe area also, but this is not the right place for them to stay.

Thank you, Amy Phillips

Sent from AOL Mobile Mail Get the new AOL app: mail.mobile.aol.com

From:	InyoPlanning
To:	Courtney Smith
Cc:	Cathreen Richards
Subject:	FW: Safe Parking Project
Date:	Friday, February 21, 2020 11:40:30 AM

From: Erin McMurtrie [mailto:volcanic5b@yahoo.com] Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2020 8:45 AM To: InyoPlanning Subject: Safe Parking Project

To:Inyo County Planning DepartmentFrom:Jess & Erin McMurtrie

We are opposed to the projected location of the "Safe Parking Project" located next to the Bishop High School Farm or any of our school campuses.

Thank You, Jess & Erin McMurtrie

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

From:	InyoPlanning
To:	Courtney Smith
Cc:	Cathreen Richards
Subject:	FW: School farm
Date:	Friday, February 21, 2020 11:41:02 AM

-----Original Message-----From: Kyle Oney [mailto:oneykyle60@yahoo.com] Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2020 8:19 AM To: InyoPlanning Subject: School farm

I am a lifelong Bishop president and I am opposed to the safe parking zone behind the school farm. Thank you for your careful consideration on this matter. Kyle Oney Bishop ca Sent from my iPhone

From:	InyoPlanning
To:	Courtney Smith
Cc:	Cathreen Richards
Subject:	FW: Safe Parking Project
Date:	Friday, February 21, 2020 12:03:28 PM
Attachments:	<u>0438_001.pdf</u>
	<u>0439_001.pdf</u>

From: Katie Kolker [mailto:kkolker@bishopschools.org]
Sent: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 4:08 PM
To: Darcy Ellis
Cc: Clint Quilter; InyoPlanning; lemerson@imaca.net; Meaghan McCamman
Subject: Re: Safe Parking Project

Good Afternoon Ms. Ellis,

I have attached two letters here re: the Safe Parking Project: one from the Bishop Unified School District/Board of Trustees and one from the BUHS Principal. I would appreciate if you would forward them to the appropriate members of the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors.

I have also been in contact with Larry Emerson from IMACA to express our concerns and we will continue communicate and work with him directly. I have cc'd him and Meghan McCamman from Health and Human Services on this email.

Thank you,

Katie Kolker

Bishop Unified School District Interim Superintendent Alternative Ed. Principal BUSD: (760) 872 - 3680 PGHS: (760) 938 - 2001

Courtney Smith

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: InyoPlanning Monday, February 24, 2020 4:16 PM Courtney Smith Cathreen Richards FW: 'safe parking'

Imaca

From: Kayla [mailto:yellowmunky11@yahoo.com] Sent: Monday, February 24, 2020 10:47 AM To: InyoPlanning Subject: 'safe parking'

I am opposed to the safe parking site being so close to the local school farm. The school farm is where several classes of students walk each day and are or will be exposed to more needles and drugs that homeless people have left behind from parking in! They need a safe place, I agree but our students and children of the community come first! Use the old Vons by taco bell or some other area that is not surrounded by young school age children!! The school farm does more good for our children and continuing the Owen valley's way of life and farm culture through education and animal husbandry, it really would be unfortunate for it's own city to cause or influence the destruction of that!
From:	Katie Dowers
To:	InyoPlanning; Courtney Smith
Subject:	Letter Supporting the appeal of Conditional Use Permit 2019/18 IMACA
Date:	Friday, February 28, 2020 2:46:19 PM

I am writing this letter in support of the appeal for the Conditional Use Permit 2019/18 IMACA. We have three boys who attend school in Bishop, two of which are a part of the Bishop FFA. Our two boys who are members of the FFA, go to the school farm on Sundland Dr. daily. They have animals that they care for and have access to 24 hours a day. Up until this point, we haven't worried about them going to the farm alone. Sometimes it's late at night or really early in the morning. If this Conditional use permit is allowed, we will not feel safe for our boys to be at the farm alone and especially during late night hours and early morning. The location of this permit is just not right for our town. Besides the fact that it's in close proximity to the Care Center, Hospital and schools. When my dad spend a lengthy amount of time in the Bishop Care Center we would have to go there at all hours and times depending on his needs.

Please consider all the many factors that could raise problems for our children, families, sick and elderly. Thank you for your time,

Katie Dowers

 1650 N. Sierra Hwy
 P: (760) 873-5801

 Bishop, CA 93514
 F: (760) 873-4311

Email: bishopveterinary@yahoo.com



Nicole Milici, DVM Joel Figueroa, DVM Taylor Ludwick, DVM Emma Lantz, DVM

Ceina Runley, DVM

March 3, 2020

To: The Inyo County Planning Department From: Dr. Nicole Milici, DVM; Owner of Bishop Veterinary Hospital

To Whom it May Concern;

This letter is in appeal of the safe parking initiative suggested to take place at the Nazarene Church in Bishop, CA. I am in opposition, not to the need for a safe parking location for the local Bishop homeless community, but suggest a more thought out and planned location. Putting transient adults in such close contact with minors is asking for problems. Although I would love to believe that most people are good, including our Bishop homeless population, there have been numerous studies conducted on mental illness and substance abuse in homeless populations. Substance abuse has been reported in homeless populations as high a 40% and that was in 2017. Mental illness is also very prevalent in homeless populations. Young adults and children already impressionable and don't need to have any more exposure to substance abuse or access to drugs and alcohol.

In conclusion, we absolutely need a safe place of the Bishop homeless population but in close proximity young adults and children is asking for trouble. Thank you for your consideration on this matter. Please feel free to contact me with any further questions.

Sincerely,

& Mole Mulaci, DM

Dr. Nicole Milici, DVM

Have County Planning MAR 1 6 2020 RECEIVED

FW: Comments on Safe ParkingProject Proposed at Bishop Nazarene Church

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net> Wed 9/16/2020 9:12 AM To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Ann Strohm <annstrohm@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 8:19 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>; Fran Hunt <franhunt@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Comments on Safe ParkingProject Proposed at Bishop Nazarene Church

Hello,

I am writing to show support for the Nazarene Church Safe Parking Project. Since the previous proposal, there have been amendments based on public input and the overall planning process has been thorough. Project collaboration between IMACA, the church, the police department, the Inyo County Sheriff, as well as the city and county exemplifies just how much a small community can accomplish through cooperation. I acknowledge that some in our community opposed the original proposal and maybe do not want this project to move forward. I wish there were no need for such a project, but that is our reality. People in our community today are sleeping in their cars! Others in our community may be one paycheck or two away from the same fate. Some of them graduated from Inyo County schools and have served in our military. They are our neighbors.

The number of homeless people, recorded during the most recent homelessness survey, has probably grown since the pandemic and subsequent economic challenges began. Like it or not, people sleeping in cars is not going away any time soon.

Disallowing a safe place to park will not stop our neighbors from sleeping in their cars. Where else are they going to go? Providing a safe parking spot for the night is the least we can do. The benefits to them, and to the community, are many. Among them: a better night's sleep for all of us, information flow between services and the homeless, and the opportunity for local government to demonstrate compassion. During these trying times, this one thing is a simple way we can be a good neighbor to those who need it most.

I cannot imagine the anxiety a parent would feel trying to sleep through the night in a place they *hope* is safe for their kids. We all know that children can be scared of monsters and strange noises even in the security of a safe home. People experiencing homelessness have enough uncertainty in their lives; surely we can remove one layer of it by moving forward with this project. As a community, let's provide a Safe Parking place, where a grown up can whisper to a child, "Go ahead and sleep. We are safe." Thank you,

Ann Strohm 751 W Pine St, Bishop

--

"Hope begins in the dark, the stubborn hope that if you just show up and try to do the right thing, the dawn will come. You wait and watch and work: you don't give up." -- Activist and writer Anne Lamott

FW: Proposed Safe Parking Project

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net> Wed 9/16/2020 9:15 AM To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Lynn or Brian Lamb <inyolamb5@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 9:29 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Proposed Safe Parking Project

Hello!

I'm writing in support of the Safe Parking Project.

Our community is small enough to take the upper hand on the homeless pandemic and we are rich enough in compassion to provide the much-needed space and support to the homeless in our community.

We need to provide support to the homeless. This safe area will allow the homeless a close proximity to the supportive services they may need.

I hope we come to a consensus and realize providing assistance to the homeless in our community is an appropriate and compassionate way to keep our community safe.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lynn Lamb

FW: IMACA safe parking lot support

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 9:16 AM

To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Carla Eckland <cleckland@gmail.com> Sent: Sunday, September 13, 2020 7:38 PM To: Info <info@imaca.net> Subject: IMACA safe parking lot support

To Whom it May Concern:

My name is Carla Eckland, I grew up in Bishop and am a first grade teacher at the elementary school in town.

I am writing to thank you for providing the safe parking lot services to those who do not have homes or choose to live in their vehicles. I support the ongoing arrangement and request that the City Council continue to provide, anyone who needs or wants it, the security of a simple parking space and bathroom, even when the COVID crisis ends. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or need more feedback. Thank you for your service!

Best, Carla Eckland

FW: homeless parking lot

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 9:13 AM

To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Cindy De La Mora <cdelamora@bishopschools.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 6:55 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: homeless parking lot

To Whom It May Concern,

As a teacher in this community for 32 years and students being my priority, I think it is a horribly dangerous idea to have a homeless camp next to the school farm.

I understand that being poor and homeless does not always equal danger. However, most homeless are homeless due to drug addiction and mental illness.

Untreated addictions combined with untreated mental illness **does** greatly lend itself to danger, illicit activities and most definitely leads to crime.

Our students go to the school farm at all times of the day(early mornings, weekends, and evenings) to care for their animals. They need a safe place to go.

I am definitely against a homeless camp/parking lot next to the school farm. I will sign any petition in order for this not to happen.

Sincerely, Cindy DeLaMora

Cynthia De La Mora Bishop Union High School Bishop, Ca. 93514 760-873-4275 ext. 360

FW: Safe Parking Project

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 9:13 AM

To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: derik olson <derikolson@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 6:56 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Safe Parking Project

Hello!

I am writing to express my support for the Nazarene Church's Safe Parking Project. This project was made as a result of public input and the cooperation of IMACA, Bishop PD, Inyo County Sheriff, and City and County representatives. Naturally, there are people in the community who don't want this project to move forward, likely with safety concerns; it is unfortunate our community needs something like this. But, the project would actually make our community safer.

Homelessness means sleeping in cars. The homeless are victims of poverty, abuse, and more, and recently, a growing number are due to the pandemic and resulting economic challenges. Many are graduates of Inyo County schools; many have served in our military. Unfortunately, the problem is not going away any time soon, but rejecting this proposal will not stop people from sleeping in their cars. Providing a safe parking spot for the night is the least we can do. This project has many benefits, to individuals and to the community. Thank you for helping Bishop by promoting a Safe Parking place for the homeless. It's the right thing to do.

Thank you.

Derik Olson 276 Wildrose Lane Bishop, CA 93514 760.873.7904

FW: Safe Parking Lot Proposal

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 9:11 AM

To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Erin Shea <ekshea@alum.mit.edu>Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 10:55 PMTo: Info <info@imaca.net>Subject: Safe Parking Lot Proposal

Hello-

I am a resident of Bishop and I strongly support the Nazarene Church Safe Parking Project. The planning process has involved IMACA, Bishop PD, Inyo County Sheriff, City and County representatives, and input from the community. The project is well-planned and will help some of the neediest members of our community.

While I realize that many people are uncomfortable with the idea of the Safe Parking Project, I feel that the successful track record of Safe Parking Lots in other cities and the strong collaboration between stakeholders here in Bishop gives this project a high probability of success. If this project is not implemented, it does not mean that people will not sleep in their cars, it means that they will sleep in their cars in unsafe places. The economic challenges currently facing our country, the pandemic, the high cost of housing in Bishop, and myriad other reasons mean that many members of our community have no choice but to sleep in their cars. It is our duty as good citizens and compassionate community members to help them sleep safely.

Sometimes it is frightening to try something new to solve a problem. However, IMACA has a well thought out plan of operation for the Bishop Safe Parking Project. I ask that my neighbors consider how this proposal will bring a measure of stability and peace to those in our community that need it most.

Erin Gilpin

FW: Comments on Safe Parking Project at Bishop Nazarene Church

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 9:11 AM To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Fran Hunt <franhunt@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 8:49 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Comments on Safe Parking Project at Bishop Nazarene Church

Comment Re: Notice of Community Video Conference to Review Safe Parking Project in Bishop

Homelessness has been a plaguing issue in California and across the nation for many years. I have three friends who have had to live out of their vehicles for long periods of time, so I am aware of some of the issues and risks they have faced. My friends were just a small example of a much larger problem, but I see their faces when I think of the dozens of people in Bishop who face challenges trying to figure out one of life's most basic necessities, where they will lie down to rest each night. That a not insignificant percentage of the homeless are veterans also deeply troubles me and deserves our collective care and attention.

Given the pressing need to address this issue, I am grateful that IMACA and the Nazarene Church here in Bishop are offering a Safe Parking Lot option. Safe Parking Lots have been successful in other areas. While they do not fix the larger causes and problems of homelessness, they do provide a safe alternative and give vulnerable people a place to sleep more soundly. Even in our large, rural area, it's not easy to find safe quiet places to camp or park for long periods of time without being forced to move frequently/nightly. And the Safe Parking Lot will also provide critical access to restroom and shower facilities and an opportunity for folks to connect with public services that might help them find more permanent housing.

I realize that some in the community have worried about the safety of such a facility. But I am aware of the details of the project and therefore confident that IMACA has a thoughtful and safety-focused plan of operation that will include appropriate monitoring and security. I live within just a very short walk of the Nazarene Church. I will sleep soundly knowing that the Safe Parking Lot is not only well managed – but is allowing other folks a safe night's sleep as well.

I hope that our City will continue forward with this project. The homeless are our neighbors and I believe we are called to care for them as we would ourselves.

Thank you, Fran Hunt Bishop, CA

FW: Safe Parking Proposal - Nazarene Church

Bob Hughes

bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 9:15 AM

To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: hdougherty@sierragem.com <hdougherty@sierragem.com>
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 8:03 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Safe Parking Proposal - Nazarene Church

As a member of the Bishop community and a Saint Vincent de Paul member I support the safe parking plan at the Nazarene Church. This has been a very effective way to assist the homeless in Santa Barbara for 16 years so they have a secure place to park their car and can obtain an uninterrupted safe nights sleep. In California it is legal to park your vehicle on a public street over night which leaves the homeless with no bathroom facilities. This plan provides a secure environment with toileting facilities and over night monitoring of the cars to ensure there is no disturbance of the occupants. Any violation of the parking rules will result in the individual(s) being banned from the area.

I support this proposal.

Heidi Dougherty

FW: Safe Parking Project

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 9:14 AM

To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Jane Gillam <jane@amargosaconservancy.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 3:31 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Safe Parking Project

In support of the Safe Parking Project at the Nazarene Church:

- Let's acknowledge that poverty exists in our community. Homelessnss isn't a plague, or a tragedy. It's just reality.
- Let's recognize that every citizen has something to contribute to the community. People who live homeless are no more dangerous to society than people who live down the street.
- Let's support the Safe Parking Project as an opportunity to IMPROVE our community, not bring it down.

I stand with IMACA and the Nazarene Church.

I regret the positions of the County Board of Supervisors and the Bishop High School Board, and I call on them to support this project.

Jane Gillam

FW: Safe Parking

Bob Hughes

bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 9:16 AM

To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Jessica Ary <jessica.ary@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 3:06 PM To: Info <info@imaca.net> Subject: Safe Parking

Hello,

I am writing in support of the Safe Parking plan. Having a safe place to park at night is essential for everyone, whether we are living in a home, RV, or vehicle. We all deserve to feel safe and have access to sanitary conditions.

This year has illustrated why this is necessary more than ever. Individuals and families need a place to protect themselves from the pandemic while we were ordered to shelter in place. But where do you go when your RV or vehicle is your home? How do you find security?

Now with the closure of the National Forests throughout the state, there are fewer places to park, camp, and have access to restrooms. Where do those in vehicles go when they are no longer allowed to camp on the public land surrounding our area, or at the state and county campgrounds?

The world around us is changing, and we need to adapt to the needs of the population in our area.

Thank you,

Jessica Ary

she/her (310) 699-0297 jessicaary.com

FW: IMACA safer parking

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 9:13 AM To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Joe Buffington <joe_buffington@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 6:57 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: IMACA safer parking

To whom it may concern:

My name is Joe Buffington and I run the Bishop High School farm that borders the Nazarene church on 3 sides. It has been said many times that your program will border vacant land but it will come close to 120 high school students a day as well as every preschool and many elementary school classes that comes and enjoys the virtue of our facility.

We want to promote agriculture and we have the means to do so by having one of the largest and the most unique school farms in the country. Teaching young people to appreciate where their food comes from is important to me and it should be important to all.

That being said we have the opportunity to teach students in a way they are unimpacted by the outside world and may even come to the farm by themselves to take care of animals.

This program of safe parking seems positive for the homeless community but it will fundamentally change how we educate students and how the community interacts with our open learning facility.

We cannot exchange one group's safety for the next. I do not think the church or IMACA wants to be responsible for incidents of theft or inappropriate behavior around young children. the homeless community deserves a chance to better themselves but the children cannot help themselves and it is our job to educate and help them.

I am opposed to this location of the safe parking program and I hope you find a more suitable location farther away from children at the school farm, and vunerable populations at both the hospital and the care center

Joe Buffington

FW: Safe Parking Project

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net> Wed 9/16/2020 9:10 AM To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

-----Original Message-----From: julie tiede <julietiede@suddenlink.net> Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 10:53 AM To: Info <info@imaca.net> Subject: Safe Parking Project

I would like to briefly state my strong support for the Safe Parking Project to be placed at the Nazarene Church. I attended the Planning Commission meeting where it was approved with certain conditions and have had the opportunity to listen to a presentation from Mr. Emerson on the project. I have been extremely impressed with the plan and the safeguards that IMACA and the Community Partners have developed to make sure the project is safe, and still available to those in need. It is clear there is a community need for this project and while I have heard the concerns of some of the community, IMACA has put key safeguards in place to address those concerns and minimize any type of risk either real or perceived. It is my understanding that IMACA worked with the Bishop Police in insuring the plan addresses community safety concerns. Clearly the Police are the experts in area of safety and their input on the Project should be heavily relied on when making a decision to move forward. Thank you for your time!

Julie Tiede 760-915-0052

FW: Safety at the BUHS Student Farm

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 9:11 AM

To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Karyn Holland <kholland@bishopschools.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 9:22 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Safety at the BUHS Student Farm

Thank you for taking public comment regarding the proposed location of the homeless parking at the Nazarene Church, located adjacent to the Bishop Union High School Student Farm.

As a teacher at the high school, I can attest to the incredible value of the student farm to the students. I don't know of any other student farm in the nation that provides the opportunities and education that our Bishop students receive at the Bishop High farm. Although I teach history, my students come into my classroom excited about the daily experiences in their agricultural education classes, sharing stories of pigs, cows, and challenges they eagerly accept and face as future farmers.

Because the student farm is so valuable and unique, I urge you to find an alternative location for the Homeless Safe Parking Program. In a county as large as Inyo County, certainly a safer and more appropriate location can be found. I do not wish for my students to be at risk nor feel unsafe in any way while working and learning at the farm. The location of a Homeless Safe Parking Program is not appropriate next to a school.

Thank you again for your consideration,

Karyn Helfrich Holland

Karyn Helfrich Holland Social Studies Dept. Chair Bishop Union High School <u>kholland@bishopschools.org</u> (760) 873-4275 ext. 2791 To make an appointment for individual help during my office hours, please go to <u>https://calendly.com/khollandbuhs</u>. Please show up at the beginning of the Zoom meeting. Thank you!

FW: Nazarene Church

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 9:12 AM

To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Kathleen Stout <kstout@bishopschools.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 6:57 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Nazarene Church

Dear Whomever it may concern,

Please look at another location for the homeless encampment instead of the Nazarene Church. As the BUHS school counselor I think having a homeless encampment is a great idea. However, I feel there has to be a lot better places in bishop than right next to the BUHS school Farm. I feel it could cause a safety issue for our students and our community children. I know I take my child to the school farm and let him go wild. Please reconsider the location.

--

Kathleen Stout **If you would like to make an appointment with me click below.** <u>calendly.com/kstout2766</u> Kathleen Stout BUHS School Counselor (760) 873-4275 Ext: 2766 <u>kstout@bishopschools.org</u>

"Anyone who can only think of one way to spell a word obviously lacks imagination."

- <u>Mark Twain</u>

FW: Homeless encampment concerns

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 9:14 AM

To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Kt Kress <ktkress@yahoo.com> Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 6:42 PM To: Info <info@imaca.net> Subject: Homeless encampment concerns

To Whom it may Concern,

I'd like to express my concerns about the homeless encampment that is being discussed. I've been told that the plan is to place the encampment at the church next to the Bishop High School Farm, and I'm beyond uncomfortable with this. There are many students who go to the farm in the evenings to walk their animals, as well as the teacher who spends endless hours at the farm taking care of everything. If the homeless encampment was in the parking lot next to the farm students would no longer feel comfortable (and possibly they would not be safe) walking their animals on their own. Parents will not feel comfortable having their kids work on the farm any longer, and this will change everything about the school farm. Out of respect for the BUHS Ag teacher who runs an amazing program, and for the students of Bishop, the parking lot next to the school farm is NOT a good idea for the homeless encampment. Down town Bishop doesn't look good, but it's much more safe than putting the homeless next to a school! Please, please think about this before moving forward with this decision. Keep in mind that it's not necessarily the homeless who are there long term that we're worried about, it's the "drop-ins", and where they might feel like wandering...the school farm has equipment, animals, places to hide out, etc. NOT OKAY, and quite scary if you think about it.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

A very concerned citizen

FW: Nazarene Church Safe Parking Project

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 9:14 AM

To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: mist412@gmail.com <mist412@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 5:28 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Nazarene Church Safe Parking Project

We support the Nazarene Church Safe Parking Project. IMACA worked hard to come up with a satisfying plan that includes community input. As a result, everyone will sleep better, and our community will show that it governs with compassion.

Mike and Stephanie Sheltz Bishop

FW: Safe Parking Project comment

Bob Hughes

bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 9:16 AM

To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Margy Marshall <margyjoy2004@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 2:25 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Safe Parking Project comment

To: All involve entities

From: Margaret J Marshall, resident of the greater Bishop area

Regarding: Safe Parking Project at the Nazarene Church

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this project. Because of the limitations of Zoom, I chose to make my comments in writing.

I support the Nazarene Church Safe Parking Project. The planning process, including all the amendments made as a result of public input, has been thorough. The cooperation of IMACA, Bishop PD, Inyo County Sheriff, and City and County representatives has been heartening to watch, and the resulting project has been improved by that cooperation.

I realize there are people in the community who don't want this project to move forward. I, too, wish it wasn't needed. But the fact is that people in our community are sleeping in their cars! Many of these unfortunate souls are graduates of Inyo County schools, and have served in our military. They are our neighbors. The numbers recorded during the most recent homelessness survey, have no doubt grown amid the pandemic and resulting economic challenges. Like it or not, people sleeping in cars is not going away any time soon.

Not providing a safe place will not stop our neighbors from sleeping in their cars. Providing a safe parking spot for the night is the least we can do. The benefits to them, and to the community, are many. Among them: a better night's sleep for all of us, information flow between services and the homeless, and the opportunity for local government to demonstrate compassion.

I can't imagine the terror of spending the night in a hidden place that I only hope is safe. We all know that children can be scared of monsters even in the security of a safe home. As a community, let's provide a Safe Parking place, where Mom and Dad can whisper "it's OK, we're in a safe place, go to sleep".

Thank you for reading this.

Margaret J Marshall

FW: Support Letter

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net> Wed 9/16/2020 9:17 AM To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Mike O'neill <miketoneill@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 12, 2020 5:02 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Support Letter

Hello IMACA,

I believe the safe parking idea in Bishop is a good one and it has a good security plan in place too. We need this function to help others in a homeless situation to have better health safety and hygiene conditions in our community. thank You awesome IMACA folks and the Nazarene Church for your compassion and dedication to helping people in our town that are in real need.

Thank you!

Mike T ONEILL

FW: Proposed Safe Parking Lot at the Nazarene Church

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net> Wed 9/16/2020 9:11 AM To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

-----Original Message-----From: Roberta Cummings <robertamcummings@yahoo.com> Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 9:23 PM To: Info <info@imaca.net> Subject: Proposed Safe Parking Lot at the Nazarene Church

I fully support the Safe Parking Lot at the Nazarene Church as has been approved by the Planning Commission. I am aware that some people object on the grounds that homeless people parking in their cars overnight pose a safety issue. This objection has not proved to occur when other areas have instituted such safe areas. Much planning has taken place to ensure the safety of everyone involved, including the people parking in their cars and people who may come near the parking lot. We as a community must do what we can to help everyone in our community; this includes the homeless; this includes providing the homeless with a safe place to spend the night.

Sincerely, Roberta Cummings 760-873-3058 September 14, 2020

Re: Proposal for Safe Parking lot at Church of the Nazarene

Before any of us had even heard of COVID-19, there was another pandemic plaguing California and the rest of the nation—homelessness. Over half a million people are without a shelter of their own every night in the richest nation the world has even seen. Over 10% of the homeless are veterans. The number of homeless school children has increased by more than 70% over the past decade. And, yes, even in Bishop, California, dozens face the dilemma of where to lie down each night. Clearly, this is a huge problem across our country, one that is not going to be an easy fix, but I am so grateful that IMACA and the Church of the Nazarene for offering a Safe Parking Lot here in Bishop.

Safe Parking Lots have been successful in many other cities around the West, from San Diego to Seattle. Though not a panacea for the bigger problems of homelessness, these programs at least give people a place to sleep without the worry of a tap on the windshield in the middle of the night and an order to "move on." It's reasonable to think that in a rural area like ours, moving on wouldn't be a big problem, but even finding a place to legally camp for an extended period of time comes with a lot of red tape and restrictions. The Safe Parking Lot allows access to restroom and shower facilities that are often otherwise not available. Just as importantly, it provides opportunities for folks to connect with other public services that can get at the roots of long-term homelessness.

Some in the community might worry about the safety of such a facility, but IMACA has come up with a sound and secure plan of operation. The sad irony is that the homeless are at far more risk of harm at the hands of the larger population than vice versa. This proposal would bring a measure of peace to lives that are often in chaos. To deny that reassurance and to turn our backs on this vulnerable population and this well-crafted proposal would be a mistake.

Sincerely

Harold McDonald Bishop, CA

FW: Virtual meeting for safe parking Lot

Bob Hughes

bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 9:17 AM

To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: anne gasior <typhus66@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 13, 2020 5:26 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Virtual meeting for safe parking Lot

My questions that I would like to see addressed about the safe parking lot are as follows:

1. cost of the COVID 19 parking lot versus cost of the Safe parking lot proposed at the Nazarene Church

2. problems that arose at the COVID 19 parking lot and solutions that were developed

Thank you, Anne Gasior

FW: Parking project

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net> Wed 9/16/2020 11:21 AM To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Tim Wickersheim <twickersheim@bishopschools.org>
Date: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 at 11:20 AM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Parking project

My name is Tim Wickersheim and I am the other Agriculture teacher at Bishop High School. I assist Joe Buffington at the school farm helping students raise their animal. I am opposed to this parking project because of the proximity of the parking lot to the school farm where students are present working with their animal. Most students spend hours at the farm and I feel their safety would be in jeopardy. Students come early in the morning and at night to feed their animal as well as work with them. Thank you for your time.

Mr. Tim Wickersheim

Ag Mechanics Instructor FFA Advisor Bishop Union High School twickersheim@bishopschools.org (760) 873-4275

FW: Overnight Homeless Overnight Parking

Bob Hughes

bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 10:59 AM

To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: SHANE SCOTT <muley4me@hotmail.com>
Date: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 at 10:39 AM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Cc: Shane Devon Aiden Trista <devonnshane@hotmail.com>
Subject: Overnight Homeless Overnight Parking

To whom it may concern,

I am writing this not only as a concerned citizen, but a concerned parent. If the homeless are allowed to park at this location it will be detrimental to our community. Our children and community members walk, and ride their bicycles past this location. Our children go to the School Farm right next to this location.

I admit, we need to assist the ones that want to better themselves, but we cannot enable the ones that take advantage of us. There has to be other locations to be considered; Kmart parking lot by Vons, a County Campground being a dedicated location, and leased DWP property to name a few.

Recently due to Covid-19, the County placed overnight parking at the old Kmart location. The ones that took advantage of this had to abide by rules, which were not very many. The ones that did not want to abide by rules continued to have camps throughout the valley, trespassing on lands, recking the environment with trash and wastes.

Please, please, please, reconsider this. If this is allowed, there will be negative effects within our community.

Sincerely,

Shane Scott

I want to say thank you to the Inyo County Council for looking into Safe Parking, and for approving the recent use of the Nazarene Church for this purpose. I know there are plenty of letters coming your way opposed to this use of the facility.

I do believe that providing more information about the service will be helpful. I would propose that for the several concerns that are repeated about proximity to the High School farm, the concerns about littering and especially about supervision for the program be addressed directly and point by point.

As a pastor, with experience in discerning Safe Parking options throughout southern California, I know there are three issues we have regularly had to address before we could go forward with use for these purposes.

1) Use of facilities and waste water disposal. My experience has been that having a separate facility, like a full access bathroom trailer, or a self contained bathroom building on site, as well as an agreement or arrangement with a local RV park to dispose of black and grey water makes a huge difference.

2) Supervision. Clear expectations of supervision, and police oversight has been very helpful, especially in neighborhood communities where the Safe Parking has been located.

3) A clear denunciation of the fear mongering related to hours of use, drug use, violence and problem behaviors of "those people", with notation of any services offered or required for use of the Safe Parking Zone.

In relation to the last, of fear mongering, I would encourage you all to read these articles and welcome you to share your thoughts back to me. These are related to setting up transient communities, food pantries, and safe parking, and the ongoing struggles associated with establishing such services. These articles also point to some studies that have been done that show providing services like Safe Parking, homeless encampments, soup kitchens, and food pantries tend to reduce crime, and increase the overall condition of the community. One article even notes that when crime does increase, it tends to be against the homeless themselves, rather than the homeless persons committing crimes against members of the community at-large.

https://citylimits.org/2015/02/25/after-the-shouting-do-shelters-and-supportive-housing-harm-nei ghborhoods/

https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/may/23/homeless-villages-crime-rate-seattle-portla nd

https://www.latimes.com/opinion/op-ed/la-oe-shortt-homeless-victims-20181015-story.html

https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/social-issues/dc-residents-fret-over-shelter-plan-citing-cri me-and-property-values/2016/02/27/7be82f4c-d978-11e5-925f-1d10062cc82d_story.html

--In Grace,

Rev. David Camphouse Pastor (909)786-6256 Cell/SMS david.camphouse@gmail.com

Rev. Dr. Anna Crews Camphouse Pastor (909)706-2245 Cell/SMS annacrewscamphouse@gmail.com

FW: IMACA Bishop Nazarene Church

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net> Wed 9/16/2020 12:35 PM To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: mike durbin <goal_tender_1@hotmail.com>
Date: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 at 11:52 AM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: IMACA Bishop Nazarene Church

To whom it may concern,

I have drafted this letter as a concerned citizen of Inyo County, and parent of students within the school district. It has come to my attention IMACA has again proposed to designate the parking lot of the Bishop Nazarene Church to be used for the homeless and transient community to reside. I completely understand the importance of providing for those who need shelter when they are unable to provide for themselves. The gesture is not concerning to me, however the chosen location is.

The proposed area IMACA has chosen borders land frequently utilized by the Bishop School District. The Bishop FFA farm is located on the adjoining property to the Bishop Nazarene Church. It is common for students to come and go from the FFA Farm at all hours of the day to check on and care for their animals. The FFA farm is often used for outdoor community social functions such as the FFA pumpkin patch and FFA haunted swamp. The south western portion of the FFA farm property is poorly lit, or has no lighting at all. The area is also popular for Bishop Residents to recreate on W. Line Street in front of the Bishop Nazarene Church.

Upon the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic, the City of Bishop and County of Inyo established a temporary area for the transient community to stay during the night. The subjects who opted to park at the temporary designated area were required to follow a set of rules. If the rules were violated, the violators would have to leave the area. During this period, the temporary area was rarely used. Even after this area was provided, subjects who did not desire to follow set rules continued to reside in camps throughout the Owen's Valley. These camps were often established on closed public lands or posted private property. These encampments were frequently found to be full of litter and human waste causing a health hazard to people or wildlife in the area.

My chief concern is if these disheveled camps begin to be established on the FFA farm property due to the close proximity of the Bishop Nazarene Church. It is unfair and unhealthy to expose students, recreating Bishop Residents, and school faculty to unsanitary conditions caused by uncontrolled litter and waste.

Please consider another location for the Bishop transient community to reside. Again, I completely understand the importance of providing for those who need shelter when they are unable to provide for themselves. However the current proposed location is not the best choice for the community as a whole.

Thank you,

Mike Durbin



Sept 16, 2020

To Whom It May Concern:

Please consider the needs of the increasing number of homeless folks in our area. I understand that some residents have concerns, change is always scary, and for many of us not "seeing" the plight of people without homes is an easy way to forget about it while we live in the comfort of our homes. But this time asks all of us to risk being uncomfortable.

The Covid Pandemic and associated economic losses have driven more people into the streets, it is not a choice but a tragedy. It doesn't affect single pockets of people but vulnerable folks of all kinds, including single mothers, elderly and those with disabilities.

Let Bishop be a community that takes care of all its members, that is at the back of those who need it most. The Safe Parking Lot will help create safe space for those in need. I don't understand what would possibly outweigh the benefit of that?

Understanding the vulnerability of the human condition is something we are asked to learn as humans at this time. Along with it comes compassionate action, and inclusion of the disenfranchised and the courage to risk ourselves for a world that is more just, more reciprocal, more inspiring, in short, the world we all want to live in.

Ask yourself just this one question: what if one of the Safe Parking Lost residents was your father, sister, son or friend from high school? What would you want for them? Then extend that same grace to those who you don't know, and might be afraid to get to know. They are someone's father, sister, son or best high school friend. They have relations to. They are in fact your relations, even if more distant than close family. Treat them like that.

Thank you for reading my comments.

Sincerely,

Petra Lentz-Snow

P.O> Box 796 Big Pine CA. 93513

Letter Re: Support for Safe Parking Lot in Bishop, CA From: Kristen Stipanov, Bishop resident

Hello,

I am writing in support of IMACA and the Church of the Nazarene's proposed Safe Parking Lot in Bishop.

Homelessness is a huge problem throughout our country and also throughout Inyo County and Bishop. I know many of our local homeless people personally.

Safe Parking Lots throughout the West, from San Diego to Seattle, have succeeded in at least giving some people a place to sleep, go to the restroom, and take a shower. The formal administration of a Safe Parking Lot program in Bishop would also help connect people in need with other public services. This program would succeed here in Bishop.

IMACA has a plan to operate the Safe Parking Lot safely and thoughtfully. I hope that our strong community will take this great opportunity to care for our most vulnerable.

Thank you. Sincerely, Kristen Stipanov

FW: Safe parking at the Nazarene Church

Bob Hughes
bhughes@imaca.net>
Wed 9/16/2020 3:40 PM
To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

From: Irene <irenebhc@hotmail.com>
Date: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 at 3:35 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Safe parking at the Nazarene Church

My name is Irene Cameron. I live in Bishop. I think creating a safe parking lot for homeless people is a wonderful idea. Bishop has no established homeless shelter so our homeless population has to park out on a street, camp out along the canal or spend their nights at other unsafe places. The restrictions to stay at the safe parking lot are thorough and reasonable. I applaud the Church of the Nazarene for offering this space to people in need, following the gospel's command to take care of the poor. God bless you.

1. Irene Cameron

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

Tonight's community meeting

Jeff Perry <jperry193@yahoo.com> Wed 9/16/2020 5:19 PM To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net> To: Larry Emerson, and to whom it may concern,

I know that those involved are weighing options for the Safe Parking Project and that you will hear many valid perspectives during this process, so I'll keep this brief.

The idea of providing a safe area for our homeless population is a great one. It is kind, humanitarian, socially responsible, and, I believe, morally necessary. I, as a local father, teacher, business owner, would whole-heartedly support such an idea and its implementation... but NOT in such close proximity to a public school operation.

I therefore offer my voice against any further consideration of the Nazarene Church property with its current infrastructure as the site of such a program. I do strongly support a solution that involves a location removed from our public school facilities.

Sincerely,

Jeff Perry

Fwd: Parking Nazarene church

Bob Hughes

bhughes@imaca.net>

Wed 9/16/2020 5:21 PM

To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

Get Outlook for Android

From: Tracy Thomas-david <tracythomasdavid@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 5:10:38 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Parking Nazarene church

Hi my name is Tracy David I work at the hospital here in Bishop and I am just curious as to why we did not continue with the parking lot from January 2020, our town offers very little in the way to the community as a whole and that we can't find it within ourselves to give people a safe place to park is certainly beyond my comprehension. I would suggest that it be allowed to continue and if it becomes a problem in so far as it may affect peoples lives then it could be readdressed or maybe look at a different area say the back of Kmart , but not sit on it for another 6 months, let's show some compassion here people .

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

Fwd: Safe parking project

Bob Hughes <bhughes@imaca.net> Wed 9/16/2020 5:21 PM To: Larry Emerson <lemerson@imaca.net>

Get Outlook for Android

From: Nicholas Marcucci <nicholasjmarcucci@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 4:34:18 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Safe parking project

I will be unable to attend the zoom meeting tonight but I heartily agree with plans for safe parking. Please let me know how I can be of help and if there is some other form of support I can offer.

Cheers, NIck
From: Kathy Peterson <persimmon395@gmail.com>
Date: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 at 7:08 PM
To: Info <info@imaca.net>
Subject: Support for Safe Parking Proposal

Dear IMACA:

I am writing to express my support of IMACA's proposal to operate a monitored, overnight parking lot for people experiencing homelessness and living out of their vehicles at the Bishop Nazarene Church in Bishop, CA. There is a demonstrated need for such a strategy to support people experiencing homelessness, and to connect them to supportive services. I also believe the three-month pilot project period will allow IMACA to test this Safe Parking program in this new location, and make changes as needed to help the program run more smoothly.

Further, based on my work with IMACA, I am confident IMACA will be a good partner and facilitator of this program, and that they possess the ability to address any issues that arise. I encourage the approval of this strategy as a critical part of the safety net for people experiencing homelessness.

Thank you,

Kathy Peterson Bishop, California

17:50:54	From	Karen Kong to All panelists : Do we have only audio? can we see the other participants?
17:51:16	From	Ted Stec to All panelists : This format looks a bit different. Can you see me? Ted Stec
17:51:17	From	Marilyn Mann to All panelists : Hello KAren - You may want to check your settings
17:51:46	From	Karen Kong to All panelists : thanks
17:51:52	From	Katie Kolker to Ted Stec and all panelists : I can't see you Ted
17:51:59	From	Susi Bains to All panelists : For now the public will only be able to see the panelists
17:52:00	From	Margy Marshall to All panelists : All I see is the person talking, not the entire panel.
17:52:01	From	Sheriff Hollowell to Ted Stec and all panelists : Not yet, if a question comes up that you need to answer they will pror
17:52:13	From	Ted Stec to All panelists : okay
17:53:32	From	Raychel Hosch to All panelists : There is no view option
17:53:35	From	Karen Kong to All panelists : they have it blocked for us. no gallery view. only speaker view option
17:53:35	From	Margy Marshall to All panelists : My screen doesn't have any of those normal view icons. It's fine. I'll just see who's
17:55:16	From	Tiffany Lau to All panelists : I appreciate all of the work IMACA and the Nazarene Church is doing for the safe parking
17:56:46	From	Tiffany Lau to All panelists : How have the plans been modified to meet COVID-19 safety protocols?
18:08:04	From	Tiffany Lau to All panelists : How many vehicles have been parking at the temporary emergency parking on Main St?
18:12:48	From	Sonia Harvey to All panelists : are there background checks done as part of the process?
18:12:54	From	Margy Marshall to All panelists : By
18:13:09	From	tim VILLANUEVA to All panelists : Where would the people be staying otherwise
18:13:34		Margy Marshall to All panelists : By "camper" do you mean tent campers? What about people who have a pickup with a campe
18:14:49		EddieDavis to Margy Marshall and all panelists : Camper refers to tent campers
18:17:43	From	Tiffany Lau to All panelists : Did any of the opposition letters suggest an alternate location?
18:17:46		tim VILLANUEVA to All panelists : Was any public harassed at the emergency parking?
18:18:51		IMACA INC to Margy Marshall and all panelists : Chief Stec has been promoted
18:20:15		Bishop church of the nazarene to All panelists : No showers are available at the church. We discussed with IMACA for po
18:23:23	From	David Kalk to All panelists : Another concern related to student safety and the proximity to the BUHS Farm is the indiv
18:25:20		Tiffany Lau to All panelists : The people experiencing homelessness are already in the community, and some of them are
18:29:20		joe buffington to All panelists : animals birthing, feeding animals, bottle feeding, care for sick animals are just a fe
18:31:44		EddieDavis to joe buffington and all panelists : If we could pull this off well, with good security, I would hope you we
18:31:46	From	Anne Gasior to All panelists : Hi Everyone, I support the trial of a homeless parking system, it is needed for our home
18:31:54		April Powell to All panelists : All of the participants also want safety and security and have expressed that they are
18:32:15		Anne Gasior to All panelists : I truly think this is worthwhile. Thank you Anne Gasior
18:35:20		Devon Scott to All panelists : Who will take responsibility if something happens to a child at the school farm due to t
18:35:41	From	Callie Peek to All panelists : What type of monitoring for drug use and Meagan's laws list monitoring is being done to
18:39:31		Tiffany Lau to All panelists : To David Kalk's comment: If these issues of people sleeping on the farm are already occu
18:40:02	From	Leah Dutcher to All panelists : I have worked the graveyard shift at the parking lot on main street since May, and since
18:46:39	From	joe buffington to All panelists : there are no reacuring issues but we are subject to burglary like everyone in our com
18:47:35	From	Katie Kolker to All panelists : Can we clarify the hours that the safe parking lot monitor (staff member) would be work
18:51:08		Simone Mata to All panelists : Has the same screening criteria been utilized at the Emergency Parking location that wil
18:56:31		Kathy Peterson to All panelists : Chief Stec, would you comment on how responsive IMACA has been to addressing any issue
18:58:56		Ted Stec to All panelists : IMACA and staff were responsive. Security and accountability are no. 1 no matter the locat
19:02:44		Bishop church of the nazarene to All panelists : there are no showers at the church
19:02:53		Bishop church of the nazarene to All panelists : the methodist church has the showeres
19:10:31		Tiffany Lau to All panelists : The plan also included the monitor checking at least once every hour, correct?
19:35:02	From	EddieDavis to Alondra Meza and all panelists : Thank you for your input Alondra! That was brave and well articulated. It
19:39:05		Leah Dutcher : I'm the graveyard lot monitor who spoke a few minutes ago- I pay attention ALL night, and watch what's goi
01:59:16		Leah Dutcher: I'm the graveyard lot monitor who spoke a few minutes ago I pay attention ALL night, and watch what's g
19:39:29		Leah Dutcher: That would just be a perimeter check.
19:39:50		Karen Kong: Thank you very much for this meeting, and for staying on for this extra hour to finish answering all the
02:43:55		corey buffington: I

Attachment 5

Site Photos

Attachment 5: Pictures looking out from project location



View to south

Attachment 5: Pictures looking out from project location



View southeast to farm

Attachment 6

Bishop Unified School District Letter of Support



December 9, 2020

To: Inyo County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors Re: Safe Parking Project

The Bishop Unified School District and Board of Trustees appreciate and support the security updates added to the Safe Parking Project, proposed to be located at the Church of the Nazarene on Line St. The location is surrounded on 3 sides of its perimeter by property owned by LADWP and leased by the school district for our School Farm/Agriculture program. In February 2020, the School District opposed the project as plans did not adequately address the safety concerns from our school community. Since then, IMACA has held a community meeting to elicit community input on the project. BUSD, the Church of the Nazarene, and IMACA have also met and collaborated several times to establish several added security features including motion-sensor lighting, motion-sensor cameras, additional fencing, an adjustment to the location where cars would be parked overnight, and a 24/7 hotline that community members can call to communicate any issues or concerns.

Student safety is our top priority. The motivation and rationale for implementing a project that offers space and services to persons experiencing homelessness is commendable and certainly warranted given local and state-wide increases in homelessness. We recognize that we cannot speak for every individual family in our school community. We also recognize that students in our District may benefit from this project if their families were put into an unfortunate position where they didn't have a safe place to stay at night. The school district would like to extend our support of IMACA's efforts to this end and support the Safe Parking Project pending the added security measures are in place.

Respectfully,

Katie Kolker BUSD Superintendent

cc: Larry Emerson, IMACA Meaghan McCamman, HHS

www.bishopschools.org

Attachment 7 - Safe Parking Security Plan

BISHOP CHURCH OF THE NAZARENE SAFE PARKING LOT SECURITY PLAN

Safe Parking Lot Description

Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action, Inc. (IMACA), in collaboration with the Eastern Sierra Continuum of Care (CoC), proposes an overnight parking lot for people experiencing homelessness and living out of their vehicles at the Bishop Church of the Nazarene in Inyo County. Program participants will occupy up to fifteen (15) off-street parking spaces at 900 W. Line Street. During program operating hours, homeless individuals and families in the parking lot will also have access to and use of two restrooms in the church administration building located adjacent to the parking spaces.

The purpose of this project is to provide a safe and secure place where people living out of vehicles can park at night and have use of sanitary facilities. People experiencing this type of homelessness will also be offered food and clothing and linked with supportive services, emergency shelter, transitional and permanent housing and other available assistance from CoC service providers. Safe parking lots have been operating successfully in California cities and counties without major crime or incident and are considered an important component of homelessness prevention services in many communities.

On January 22, 2020, the Inyo County Planning Commission approved a Conditional Use Permit for IMACA to operate the Safe Parking Lot at the Bishop Nazarene Church subject to several conditions, including the requirement for a security plan to be reviewed and approved by the Inyo County Sheriff. Subsequently, the Bishop Unified School District contacted IMACA and the County to express concerns about potential impacts to the agricultural program operated on property abutting the church site on three sides. To ensure the Districts' student safety concerns were adequately addressed, IMACA invited school administrators to review and comment on the draft Safe Parking Security Plan. Additional lighting, monitoring cameras, and other student safety measures have been incorporated into the revised Safe Parking Security Plan approved by the church, law enforcement, and School District.



Security Plan Summary

The Security Plan for the Nazarene Church Safe Parking Lot describes the measures that will be implemented by IMACA and the CoC to operate the program successfully and ensure public safety. The purpose of the plan is coordinate with law enforcement, the school district, and church on site and building improvements and operating procedures that effectively provide safety for program participants, students, and the general public. The Plan includes operating procedures, site and building security measures, school safety provisions, staffing requirements, intervention procedures and three-month and one-year review processes for safe parking at the church. Additional measures shall be implemented at the parking lot during the pandemic to help prevent the spread of the coronavirus.

Safe Parking Operating Procedures

A. Participant Application Process.

Applications for the Safe Parking Lot will be accepted by homeless service providers through a Coordinated Entry System (CES). This is the system operated by the CoC where persons experiencing homelessness may access services and assistance. Entry locations include, but are not limited to, the Northern Inyo Hospital across the street to the north of the church, IMACA, and Inyo County Department of Health and Human Services. Applications will be reviewed to determine if the following minimum standards for entry are met:

- Applicant must be homeless and living out of a vehicle;
- Applicant must be licensed to drive the vehicle in California;
- Applicant must have insurance for vehicle;
- Vehicle must be operable; and
- Vehicle must be registered.

If the applicant and vehicle meets these criteria, they will be placed on a list maintained in CES which prioritizes the most vulnerable populations first. These populations include families with children, persons with mental and physical disabilities and chronically homeless. After the initial selection of qualified applicants, vacancies will be filled from the CES prioritization list.

B. Applicant Screening. After initial screening, applicants will receive a background check through Megan's Law. In addition, the CoC will utilize the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), a database of information collected on persons experiencing and at imminent risk of homelessness in the Eastern Sierra, to determine if there have been any incidents, such as crime, during past assistance warranting additional consideration or disapproval.



- C. Assessments, Referrals, Supportive Services and Housing Placement Consistent with CES Policies and Procedures, applicants will receive an initial and staged, progressive assessments to determine appropriate measures for stabilization and housing placement. Applicants will be provided with supportive services and housing available from government agencies and non-profit organizations.
- D. Safe Parking Permits.

If approved for the program, participants will be required to sign a liability waiver and acknowledgement of agreement to comply with a code of conduct. The participant will then be given a permit which they must display in a prominent location in the vehicle. Permits shall be issued for three (3) months, after which the permit must be renewed within seven (7) days after expiration. If not renewed within this time frame, the participant must reapply for reinstatement. Clients must utilize the permitted space a minimum of four (4) nights per week to retain a space in the program. Staff must be notified within 36 hours of any absences from the lot, except in an emergency. Extended absences longer than three (3) weeks will result in the client forfeiting the space. The client will then be placed on a waitlist when they return. Spaces will not be held for clients in excess of three (3) weeks and only with prior approval.

The maximum length of stay continuously or with non-consecutive permits in the Eastern Sierra Safe Parking Program is one (1) year. The program director may grant an extension if it is determined that there are extenuating circumstances warranting additional time in the Safe Parking Program.

E. Check-in and Check-out Procedures.

Check-in will begin at 7:00 p.m., daily, except when hours of operation are modified to accommodate church functions or provide for student safety as set forth below. To facilitate the efficient flow of traffic and reduce the potential for accidents and congestion on W. Line Street, program participants shall be checked in on the church property. Safe Parking participants will enter the church property at the east entrance and queue in the front driveway to eliminate backup into the street (see Attachment C-Site Plan). The west driveway approach will be temporarily closed during check-in. The monitor/security guard will check for an approved permit and assign a parking space for the night. Participants arriving more than 30 minutes after the start time will not be allowed to park for the night. Check-out will begin at promptly at 6:45 a.m. and all vehicles cleared from the property no later than 7:00 a.m. Vehicles not removed from the church property may be towed as provided in D. of the Site Security section below.





F. Vehicle Parking Spaces.

Only the fifteen (15) parking spaces located perpendicular to Line Street and the church administration building (Exhibit C-Site Plan) shall be occupied with safe parking vehicles. Vehicles and trailers are limited by the size of the parking spaces which are approximately 10 feet wide by 20 feet deep. Vehicles towing trailers may be parked in spaces provided that they do not block the driveway and there is adequate space for emergency vehicle ingress and egress.

G. Code of Conduct for Participants

Permittees shall sign a form acknowledging and agreeing to abide by the Safe Parking Rules, Restrictions and Responsibilities-see Attachment A. Generally, the rules require vehicle occupants to be respectful of others, not create noise or disturbances, stay within the boundaries set forth by the program, and clean up after themselves and their pets. An area adjacent to W. Line Street and between the two driveways shall be designated for pets. The parking lot is for sleeping only and no visitors or guests are permitted. Program participants may not park on the street or nearby properties, including school grounds and hospital, at any time.

H. Enforcement of Rules

Major rule infractions include carrying a firearm, use of alcohol and/or drugs, urinating or defecating on the property outside the restroom in the church administrative building will result in immediate termination from the program and removal from the property. Minor infractions of the rules, such as leaving the site late, will be addressed with a warning to the participant. If a participant is issued three warnings, they will be suspended from the program and must meet with program staff to discuss reinstatement. The Program Director has the discretion to determine if a rule violation rises to the standard of a major infraction and may terminate a permit for cause.

Site Security

A. Lighting

Solar powered, motion-activated lighting shall be installed and maintained by IMACA in the following locations (as shown on Attachment C-Site Plan) to ensure the safety of program participants, students, and others: along the west side of the property, south of W. Line Street (2 lights); south of the administration building (1 light in addition to 2 existing); on the east side of the administration building (3 lights); on the east and west sides of the main sanctuary (2 lights); and any other locations as deemed appropriate by the church, law enforcement or school district.

B. Cameras and Video Recording

Motion-activated cameras shall be installed on the east side of the administration building and at the southeast corner of the main sanctuary and monitored by program staff to ensure that people do not damage property, commit criminal acts





or behave inappropriately when out of direct view in the parking lot. Live streaming video and recordings will be available for viewing by the church and law enforcement. Participants will be required to sign an acknowledgment and release of the camera video.

- C. Visitors and People Experiencing Homelessness Without Permits or Camping The Safe Parking Lot is for people who are homeless and living out of their vehicles. Camping is not permitted on the church site or adjacent properties. People experiencing homelessness and without a permit or camping shall be referred to other projects and service providers for assistance. Program staff shall conduct visual inspections to ensure that those who cannot be accommodated at the church do not park or camp nearby.
- D. Perimeter fencing

Temporary safety fencing may be installed along the south boundary of the safe parking area to deter access to and from the adjacent agricultural areas. The fencing will be placed prior to operation of the parking lot and removed after all participants have vacated the property.

- *E.* Regular Site Patrol by Parking Lot Monitor Program staff shall regularly (at least once per hour) inspect the restrooms, buildings, parking lot, and property perimeter and take action or report suspicious behavior as appropriate.
- F. Removal of Inoperable and Unauthorized Vehicles Signs shall be posted on the church property stating that unauthorized vehicles shall be towed at the owner's expense in compliance with California Vehicle Code Section 22658. IMACA and the church shall be solely responsible for the removal of unauthorized vehicles. In the event an authorized and permitted vehicle is inoperable and cannot be moved, program staff shall contact a tow company to repair or remove the vehicle immediately. Program funding may also be available for pay for minor vehicle repairs.

Building Security

A. Camera(s) and Video Recording

A motion-activated camera shall be installed outside the restrooms, in the hallway, and monitored by program staff to ensure that people do not damage property, commit criminal acts or behave inappropriately when out of direct view in the parking lot. Live streaming video and recordings will be available for viewing by the church and law enforcement. Participants will be required to sign an acknowledgment and release of the camera video.

B. Lighting

Additional motion-activated lighting may be installed outside the restrooms and on the administration building.



C. No Access to Other Rooms in Building and Outside from Restrooms The doors to other rooms in the administration building and outside to the south shall be locked, secured and inspected regularly by Program staff.

School Safety

A. Policy Statement

Pastureland leased by the Bishop Unified School District for the Agricultural Program abuts the Nazarene Church property on the east, west and south. This open area is accessible by high school students during the day and on occasion at night. Students tend animals in preparation for a fair in July and may walk near the Safe Parking Lot.

Student safety is the highest priority and shall not be compromised during operation of the Safe Parking Lot at the Nazarene Church. Contact between students and homeless people living out of their vehicles is strictly prohibited and any participant who speaks to, approaches or contacts a student will be immediately removed from the parking lot and terminated from the program. Criminal activity shall be reported to the Inyo County Sheriff's Office immediately.

B. Safe Parking Lot Participant Boundaries

Program participants may use only the assigned parking spaces, restrooms and paved area between the administration building and the west property line. The paved, site and building areas to the south and pastureland to the east and west are out-of-bounds for program participants. Program staff will monitor participants and anyone who is observed in areas that are out-of-bounds, as shown on Attachment C, will be asked to leave the safe parking area immediately.

- C. Monitoring During Daytime (Non-operation) Hours Church and IMACA staff shall periodically monitor the cameras and inspect the site and adjoining agricultural land during the hours when the Safe Parking Lot is not in operation. Any suspicious or illegal activity shall be investigated and reported to the Sheriff's office.
- D. Adjusted Hours of Operation for Daylight

Beginning May 1 and ending August 1, the Safe Parking Lot will open at 9:00 p.m. each day but will still end at 7:00 a.m. The purpose of these adjusted hours is to reduce the potential for contact between people experiencing homelessness and high school students at the adjoining high school agricultural facility.



Coronavirus Pandemic Operating Standards

A. Policy Statement

IMACA

People experiencing homelessness are particularly vulnerable to the spread of infectious disease because many do not have immediate access to food, water, sanitary facilities, medical treatment, and other basic needs and services. The parking lot will provide a safe place to park and access to sanitary facilities and medical assistance, if necessary.

- B. Parking Lot Spacing During the COVID-19 pandemic, each program participant shall be assigned a parking stall with at least one space between each vehicle.
- C. Special Code of Conduct Rules During Pandemic In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, special rules apply at the parking lot. All participants must practice social distancing and use masks, gloves, and hand sanitizer. The parking lot is provided to participants for sleeping only and a safe place to park at night during the pandemic and no visitors or guests are permitted.
- D. Additional Facility Sanitizing
 Monitors shall be required to use personal protective equipment and hand sanitizer.
 Restrooms shall be sanitized in accordance with CDC standards at least once daily.

General Security Procedures

A. Policy Statement

The Eastern Sierra CoC and IMACA commit to operating the Nazarene Church Safe Parking Program in accordance with operational standards to protect the life and safety of participants, program staff, students, and the general public.

B. Crime

Safe Parking Program staff shall follow these procedures if a crime occurs during operating hours:

- Keep your fellow employees as safe as possible.
- As quickly as possible after the crime is committed, call for any medical help that maybe needed.
- As quickly as possible after the crime has been committed and medical help has been called for, contact the Inyo County Sheriff's Office and your supervisor.
- In your own words, write down all details of the crime as you remember them, including the date, the time, a description of the person or persons and/or what they were wearing, and what happened.
- List the names of all employees at the scene when the crime took place, and get written statements from them with as many details as they can remember about the crime and the persons involved.
- If clients or visitors are present, ask them to stay until the police come so that they can give statements to the police. If they do not want to stay, do not force



them. Instead, ask for their names and telephone numbers so they can be contacted later by the police.

- Remember: Do not try to be a "hero" or to stop the crime from being committed. Your safety and the safety of others is much more important to IMACA than the fate of the criminal or the property.
- C. Medical Emergencies

Program staff shall follow these procedures if a crime occurs during operating hours:

- Call 9-1-1.
- Keep others calm.
- Keep the area around the victim clear and accessible to emergency personnel.
- Remain with the individual until help arrives.
- Notify the program or executive director.
- Complete incident report and submit to Safe Parking Program office within 24 hours.
- D. Life Threatening Situations

In the event of an individual, or individuals, acting in a manner that is threatening or violent and nonviolent crisis intervention tactics are not successful in de-escalating the situation, CoC Safe Parking Program staff are to:

- Call 9-1-1.
- Isolate the situation.
- Remain calm and nonthreatening.
- Attract attention of others to assist.
- Never position yourself in a way that you may become trapped.
- E. Fire or other disaster requiring evacuation

In the event of an emergency evacuation, all staff members should proceed to the nearest building or site exit while observing the area around them to ensure that others are exiting as well. Once in a safe place, a staff member should notify the proper authorities if necessary and then the executive director. Follow the internal emergency contact information protocol (found below) if needed.

- If a small fire, utilize the fire extinguisher located ______. Follow directions on fire extinguisher for use.
- In the event of a large fire, evacuate staff and clients from the area immediately, clear the bathrooms and building if safe to do so, and close doors behind you.
- Direct everyone to an identified safety point.
- Call 9-1-1 and notify church staff and the executive director.
- Do not reenter the parking lot or building until told it is safe to do so from fire or police department.
- F. Evacuation Plan

All program staff, security, and people in vehicles shall immediately exit buildings and the site and assemble in the location furthest from the point of hazard.



G. Harassment

Verbal, physical, visual, and sexual harassment of coworkers, co-employees, staff members, interns, clients, volunteers, and members of the public is absolutely forbidden. Harassment can take many forms. You must be sensitive to the feelings of others and must not act in a way that might be considered harassment by someone else. A few examples of prohibited harassment (for illustrative purposes only are):

- Unwanted sexual advances or propositions of a verbal, written or visual nature.
- Offering employment benefits in exchange for sexual favors.
- Making or threatening reprisals after a negative response to sexual advances.
- Visual conduct: leering, making sexual gestures, displaying of sexually suggestive objects or pictures, cartoons or posters.
- Verbal conduct: making or using derogatory comments, epithets, slurs and jokes.
- Verbal sexual advances or propositions.
- Verbal abuse of a sexual nature, graphic verbal commentaries about an individual's body, sexually degrading words used to describe an individual, suggestive or obscene letters, notes, or invitations.
- Physical conduct: touching, assault, impeding or blocking movements.
- Use of company e-mail, voicemail, Internet, intranet, or other electronic devices in the delivery of uninvited jokes, pictures, or cartoons with offensive, sexually explicit, or harassing content.

Safe Parking Monitor

A. Monitor Staffing Times and Days

The Nazarene Church Safe Parking Lot shall be monitored by competent and professional program staff 30 minutes prior to opening and until all vehicles and people experiencing homelessness have left the site and the restrooms and property have been cleaned in accordance with the standards outlined in this Plan.

- B. Location Monitoring Station Monitors shall be stationed at a location adjacent to Line Street where there is the greatest field of vision and parked vehicles and the path of pedestrian travel is visible. If the entrance to the restrooms is not visible, the monitor shall stream camera video from a mobile application on a cell phone, tablet or laptop computer to track the movements of participants and make sure they return to the safe parking area.
- C. Responsibilities of the Safe Parking Lot Monitor
 - The Parking Lot Monitor shall:
 - Routinely patrol, not less than once an hour, through the confines of the parking lot and restrooms, including areas between all buildings, structures, fenced pastureland and park spaces.







- Observe and report any suspicious, illicit, or illegal activity or evidence of vandalism to the Inyo County Sheriff and to designated IMACA staff.
- Supervise and control access to the facilities and grounds within the participant access area as shown more precisely on Attachment C.
- Ensure the IMACA's rules and municipal codes are not being violated.
- Prohibit the entrance of visitors to facilities on the church property.
- Be observant of behavior in common areas such as smoking and/or vaping in non-smoking areas and take appropriate action.
- Investigate all credible reports of suspicious, illegal activity from members of the public within the confines of the designated patrol perimeter and report all evidence of vandalism.
- Report inoperative interior and exterior lighting.
- Ensure church restrooms are locked during after-hours, non-operation and closures.
- Document all reports of suspicious, illegal activity and/or vandalism. Include the nature, precise location, and outcome of all incidents in addition to any other pertinent details.

D. Safe Parking Lot Monitor Minimum Qualifications and Role Description The Role Description for the Safe Parking Lot Monitor is provided in Attachment B. The minimum qualifications for the position are:

- Must be personable and polite with ability to use discretion, initiative and good judgment in dealing with client and general public in the performance of duties;
- Computer proficiency required including word processing, data entry, and spreadsheets;
- Must have valid driver's license, a good driving record, and be insurable on company policy;
- Prior experience dealing with or serving families experiencing homelessness, including working with diverse clientele is preferred;
- Knowledge of and ability to interpret, determine, and apply local, state, and federal laws, regulations, policies, and procedures relating to housing and homeless assistance programs is preferred;
- Must have excellent communication skills, both written and verbal, with ability to engage persons who are in distress without judgment;
- Shall possess strong organizational skills and have the ability to prioritize and perform multiple tasks;
- Ability to keep accurate and precise records;
- Must be able to work efficiently with minimal supervision;



- Must successfully complete a Life Scan background check and able at all times to adequately perform: 1) on-site inspections; 2) screening procedures; 3) Cardio-Pulmonary Resuscitation ("CPR") techniques; 4) simple first aid procedures; 5) crowd control techniques and procedures; 6) fire prevention and fire control techniques and procedures; 7) security measures; 8) emergency police procedures; 9) bomb threat procedures; 10) black-out and building evacuation procedures; and 11) all other procedures and techniques necessary to adequately perform required services.
- E. Training

IMACA conducts formal training from time to time and may provide the necessary training to meet the minimum qualifications in Section D, above. Attendance by the Safe Parking Lot Monitor is mandatory at safety training sessions.

Procedures for Issues Requiring Intervention

- A. 24-Hour Phone Number for Safe Parking Lot Law Enforcement, Homeless Service Providers, and the School District may call 760-279-4350 any time to contact IMACA staff with questions or to report incidents at the Safe Parking Lot.
- B. Incident Reports

Safe Parking staff shall ensure prevention, timely reporting, and remediation for all critical incidents. Critical incidents include events such as participant and staff injury, aggression, violence, death, suicide and attempted suicide. All critical incidents are to be reported immediately.

Prevention of critical incidents relies on training safe parking program staff how to avoid them. All program staff shall seek additional support whenever they feel unsafe or unsure regarding a participant.

Staff shall report all potential critical incidents immediately to the Inyo County Sheriff's Office and program management via e-mail and/or face to face. Staff shall adhere to local, state and federal mandated reporting laws.

Review by program management will be conducted to determine if the incident will result in participant termination from the program. If the participant is terminated from safe parking, staff will provide an appropriate referral to another program.

C. Contact Supervisor/Executive director The on-duty monitor shall call 911 any time there is a threat to life or property and then call the Executive Director in an emergency. Church staff should then be contacted when the emergency is related to the building or building safety. The church staff phone number can be found in the front office. If the Executive Director





cannot be reached, the Program Director should be contacted, then the Office Manager if the above mentioned are not reached.

A current phone roster is provided to every staff member when employed and a new one is provided when changes are made within the agency. A Safe Parking Program office copy of the list can be found in the phone roster binder on the bookshelf. All Safe Parking Program staff should keep the contact numbers for the executive director, program director and office manager in their cell phones.

Property Maintenance

A. Trash and Debris.

Program participants will be responsible for leaving their parking space and the property in a clean and orderly manner. Trash receptacles shall be provided at convenient locations in the parking lot by IMACA and emptied daily. The Parking Lot Monitor and volunteers shall police the occupied area thoroughly in the morning and dispose of all trash prior to vacating the property.

B. Restrooms

Program participants will have access to two restrooms located in the administration building through the front doors facing Line Street. Participants may use the designated restrooms during regular operating hours and only for the intended purpose and not for congregating, consuming alcohol or using drugs. Motion activated camera(s) shall be installed outside the restrooms and use will be monitored by the Program Monitor(s). The doors in the hallway that provide access to other building rooms and outside shall be secured in a manner that complies with the Building Code and meets the requirements of the church. IMACA staff or a qualified contractor shall be responsible for cleaning and sanitizing the restrooms during and after safe parking each night. The standard for cleanliness shall be complete sanitation and stocking of restroom supplies.

Insurance Standards

The IMACA/Eastern Sierra CoC Safe Parking Lot shall have insurance provisions approved by the Church of the Nazarene Board of Directors with a minimum liability limit of \$1,000,000 each occurrence and \$3,000,000 annual aggregate for bodily injury, personal injury, property damage and non-owned/hired automobile liability. In addition, the insurance policy shall include provisions for:

- Certificate of Liability Insurance with Additional Insured Endorsement;
- Hold Harmless Agreement;
- Indemnification Agreement; and
- Workers Compensation.



Three-month Trial Period and One-Year Review

A. Three-Month Trial Period

IMACA

After three months of operation, the Church of the Nazarene shall conduct a review of the Safe Parking Lot and may allow the project to continue indefinitely, terminate the agreement with IMACA, allow another extended trial period, modify conditions of approval, or take other action as deemed appropriate by the Board of Directors. IMACA shall request comments regarding the trial period operation of the Safe Parking Project from the Inyo County Sheriff's Office, the Bishop Police Department, the Bishop Unified School District, program participants and other interested parties for the evaluation. Prior to Board action, all comments and recommendations shall be forwarded to the Church for consideration. If no substantiated complaints have been received and there have been no major incidents, the Board may consider a reduction in the number of hours the parking lot is monitored by IMACA staff.

B. One-year Review by Inyo County Planning

IMACA shall submit a report to the Planning Department after the Program has been in operation for one year. Based on that report, the Planning Director shall have the discretion of whether or not the report will be brought back to the Planning Commission at a Public Hearing. The Planning Director will base that determination on the type and severity of issues that are included in the report. The Planning Director will provide the determination, and the report submitted by IMACA, to the Planning Commission as an information item at the subsequently scheduled regular meeting of the Planning Commission.

C. Outcome and Incident Reports IMACA shall prepare outcome reports utilizing HMIS data and provide a summary of all incidents and present them to the church for the three-month trial period review and the Inyo County Planning Director for the one-year review.

Revisions to Security Plan

Minor revisions to this Plan not impacting public safety may be reviewed and approved administratively by IMACA and the Nazarene Church. Major changes to the Security Plan that include, but are not limited to, revisions to the hours of operation, security measures and operating procedures shall require review and approval by the Church, Inyo County Sheriff's Office and the Bishop Unified School District.

Attachments:

Attachment A— Parking Rules, Restrictions and Responsibilities for Participants in the Bishop Nazarene Church Safe Parking Program;

Attachment B—Safe Parking Lot Monitor Role Description;

Attachment C—Site Plan; and

Attachment D – Incident Report Form





IN CASE OF AN EMERGENCY, PLEASE CALL **911** FOR ANY URGENT NON-EMERGENCIES, CALL **760-279-4350** CELL PHONE IS ON 24 HOURS A DAY

<u>Church of the Nazarene (Church), Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action, Inc.</u> (IMACA) and County of Inyo (County) Waiver of Liability

I authorize Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action, Inc. (IMACA) to refer me to other agencies, receive, request, disclose, release and exchange useful or personal information from any personal reference, entity, agency, past, present or, future employer or organization that they may consider. I also agree to the services and assistance provided by IMACA and other agencies to help me obtain my desired goal of becoming self-sufficient.

Furthermore, I agree to indemnify and hold harmless IMACA, Church, and County, their officers, agents and expenses (including attorney's fees) judgments or liabilities for personal or bodily injury (including death, or other injury resulting from bodily injury) or property damage (including physical injury to property or loss of use thereof) arising out of negligence or willful misconduct in connection with use of the parking facilities.

Client Signature

Date

Acknowledgement of Camera Surveillance and Consent to Share Live Streaming and Recorded Video

Parking lot participant hereby acknowledges that the premises are under surveillance and that camera(s) are positioned in strategic locations to monitor users and deter crime, vandalism and violations of the Parking Rules, Restrictions and Responsibilities. In addition, the safe parking participant acknowledges and agrees to the sharing of live streaming and recorded video from the camera(s) to the Bishop Church of the Nazarene, Inyo County Sheriff, Bishop Police Department, IMACA and other parties on a need to know basis only.

Client Signature

Date





PARKING RULES, RESTRICTIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE BISHOP NAZARENE CHURCH SAFE PARKING PROGRAM:

- 1. Guns or firearms of any kind are strictly prohibited, and the use of alcohol and/ or drugs will not be tolerated. Failure to abide by this rule will result in immediate removal from the assigned location.
- 2. Urinating and defecating on the property is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. Failure to abide by this rule will result in immediate removal from the assigned location.
- 3. Absolutely no violent acts, verbal or physical. If you have an issue with another client, contact the office and IMACA staff will handle it administratively.
- 4. Camping tarps or camping equipment beyond the top of the vehicle are prohibited.
- 5. Cooking outside the vehicle is absolutely not allowed.
- 6. All trash will be disposed of offsite and the area will be kept tidy.
- 7. Loud music is not permitted.
- 8. Parking lot is for sleeping use only.
- 9. Overnight stays shall be limited to the hours assigned. Adherence to in and out times is mandatory.
- 10. Users must keep barking dogs in their vehicle at all times. Animals must be kept on a leash at all times on the property. Animal waste must be must be picked up immediately and disposed of properly.
- 11. Under absolutely no conditions will the client(s) invite other vehicle dwellers to occupy the site or invite any visitors or any type of patrons into the parking lot.
- 12. Showering, bathing, and laundering of clothes are not permitted in the restrooms or on the property. Please request assistance from IMACA staff for these services.
- 13. The owner of the parking lot, IMACA, or the CoC shall not be held liable for damages caused by a third party to the parked vehicle or its occupants.
- 14. Absolutely no more than one vehicle allowed per individual or family staying at the site.
- 15. Absolutely no use of the facility services, i.e., electricity, water, trash or any of the hoses at the site. Failure to comply with this rule will result in immediate termination from our program.
- 16. Please respect the privacy of the surrounding neighbors and their property.
- 17. Children shall be watched and kept safe at All Times --- No Exceptions!!!!
- 18. Do not park on nearby properties or streets prior to or after the Safe Parking Program hours.
- 19. Stay within the boundaries of the Safe Parking Lot and do not trespass on adjacent properties or go beyond the paved area or to the south toward the main sanctuary.
- 20. If you do not renew your permit within 7 days after the expiration date, you will be suspended from the program and must make an appointment to discuss reinstatement.
- 21. Safe Parking Permits must be displayed at all times in a prominent location on or in the vehicle that is clearly visible to IMACA or Church of the Nazarene staff.





- 22. Additional rules in response to the COVID-19 pandemic:
 - Practice social distancing. Social distancing will stop or slow down the spread of COVID-19. Program participants shall stay within their vehicle or the immediate vicinity and at least six (6) feet from people other than the vehicle occupants.
 - No congregating.
 - No socializing.
 - Cover your mouth and nose with a mask when outside your vehicle.
 - To the extent possible, it is recommended that you avoid visiting areas where people come together in close contact and can pass the disease on to others. If you must perform a critical activity such as grocery shopping, go when you think fewer people will be at the store.
 - Wash your hands often with soap and water for at least 20 seconds, especially after blowing your nose, coughing, or sneezing, or having been in a public place.
 - Avoiding touching eyes, nose or mouth with unwashed hands.
 - Avoid close contact with people who are sick and stay away from large gatherings and crowds.

Note: Please notify us immediately if you are leaving either for a week or permanently. We are not responsible to remind clients when to renew permits. We do not automatically renew permits.

These rules will be enforced. Failure to comply with these rules and regulations will result in termination from the Safe Parking Program.

We reserve the right to terminate your participation in the Safe Parking Program at any time, for any reason, and without warning.

All complaints and program feedback will be taken seriously, and program staff will make every reasonable attempt to interview all parties involved. When not presenting a safety issue or major rule infraction (e.g., carrying firearms or any major infraction which requires immediate program termination), program noncompliance will be addressed through the issuance of verbal and/or written warnings. A total of three warnings will be issued to participants for minor infractions (e.g., leaving the lot late) and the permit holder's permit will be revoked for 30 days upon the third warning. After the 30-day revocation, the permit holder(s) will be required to meet with program staff to discuss reinstatement.





In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, IMACA and the Safe Parking Program do not discriminate against people with disabilities or Limited English Proficiency (LEP), and when asked, will make reasonable accommodation for all program participants with disabilities or LEP. If you require an accommodation, please advise the Safe Parking Program case managers during your intake and/or upon review of this document and every effort will be made to provide meaningful access to the program and its services and/or to a translator.

All complaints in regard to ADA violations should first be referred to the program case managers and they shall attempt to make reasonable accommodations. If an accommodation cannot be made or poses extreme financial considerations, the case managers shall advise the executive director with the reasons and/or estimates of cost. It is the policy of IMACA and the Safe Parking Program not to discriminate on the basis of disability. IMACA has adopted an internal grievance procedure providing for prompt and equitable resolution of complaints alleging any action prohibited by the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. The laws and regulations may be examined in the Safe Parking Lot or the IMACA offices at 137 E. South Street, Bishop, CA 93514. Any person who believes that he or she has been subjected to discrimination on the basis of disability may file a grievance under this procedure.

Grievances must be submitted to the IMACA's executive director located at 180 E. Clarke Street, Bishop, CA, and must be filed within one week of the date the person filing the grievance becomes aware of the alleged discriminatory act. The complaint must be in writing, containing the name and contact information. The complaint must state the problem or action alleged to be discriminatory and the remedy or relief sought. An investigation will be conducted and IMACA will issue a written decision on the grievance no later than 30 days after its filing. The individual filing the grievance may appeal the decision by writing to IMACA Board of Directors within 15 days of receiving the decision. The Board of Directors will issue a written response to the appeal no later than 30 days after its filing. IMACA will make appropriate arrangements to ensure that disabled persons are provided accommodations, if needed, to participate in the grievance process.





Client Confidentiality and Privacy Policies

IMACA and Safe Parking program staff will not divulge whether someone is or is not a participant in the program. IMACA and program staff will not divulge any personal identifying information of any individual or family participant of the program without consent from the client(s). The Safe Parking program has incorporated into its policies and procedures a process that will ensure the confidentiality of program participants' identifying information; records pertaining to any individual or family provided with assistance; and treatment services offered under any project associated with IMACA. Furthermore, the address or location of any participant assisted through the Safe Parking Program will be anonymous except upon written authorization for this information to be made public from the client/program participant to the person or persons responsible for the operation of the program.

I / We, accept and agree to respect, acknowledge, and adhere to the rules, policy, and procedures; guidelines and regulations that are stated above and will accept full responsibility of the consequences of the outcome if there is a violation to this contract.

(Signature of Client)

(Signature of Client)

(Signature of Case Manager)

EXHIBIT B – SAFE PARKING LOT MONITOR ROLE DESCRIPTION

Bishop Church of the Nazarene Safe Parking Program

Parking Lot Monitor duties include:

- Monitoring assigned parking lot in Bishop daily. An employee must exercise independent and sound judgment and take the initiative in applying best methods to communicate with program participants in a respectful, yet firm manner to correct inappropriate conditions which arise. This requires dedication to public service often made difficult by emotional stress of events. Assignments are received from case managers and are carried out under established policies and procedures. Work is reviewed through conferences, written reports, and observation of results attained. Monitor essential duties include:
 - Maintain close communications with Case Managers of Safe Parking Program.
 - Monitor assigned areas to ensure compliance with existing Safe Parking Program regulations.
 - Identify vehicles in violation and confirm identities or to determine whether vehicles need to be removed from program.
 - Write warnings and citations for illegally parked vehicles.
 - Prepare and maintain required records, including logs of activities, and records of warnings and citations.
 - Enter and retrieve information pertaining to vehicle registration, identification, and status.
- Providing on-site case management and crisis intervention as appropriate. Assist with case management services, record keeping and contract compliance. Assist clients with all aspects of stabilization, housing search and connecting to support services. Assist with data collection/gathering demographic information. Provide basic needs and emergency services available through program, e.g., food and clothing distribution. Assist program participants in locating additional resources by making referrals to other community agencies as needed. Facilitate connecting program participants in need of mental health services to agency clinic or higher level of care. Connect program participants with employment support services, faith-based organizations and other civic organizations and community groups in place to assist in housing search and re-housing activities.
- Engage in street outreach activities. Assist in determining eligibility of individuals and families for the homeless prevention and rapid re-housing program. Assist in assessing and evaluating the level of service required. Assist with conducting street interviews and gathering demographic information. Help families and individuals in crisis as able. Provide assistance with basic needs and emergency services and assist with applications for other agency services. Make community referrals as appropriate. Assist with weekly street outreach and food distribution.



ATTACHMENT D

Incident Report Form for the Bishop Church of the Nazarene Safe Parking Lot

Name and role of person completing this form:

Signature of person completing this form:

Date:

Incident

Date and time of incident:

Name/s of person/s involved in the incident:

Description of incident:

Witnesses (include contact details):

Reporting of the incident to IMACA/Church/Law Enforcement

Incident Reported to:	Date:
How (this form, in person, email, phone):	

Follow Up Action

Description of actions to be taken:

Attachment 8

Letters Submitted Prior to Publication of Agenda

(Letters Received after Publication will Still Be Included as Part of Record of this Meeting, and Shared in Advance with the Board of Supervisors)

251 Arcturis Circle Bishop, CA 93514 February 9, 2021

To whom it may concern,

Safe Parking Proposal at Nazarene Church

As a Vincentian with the St. Vincent de Paul Society I have learned about the sad and desperate conditions which people who live and work in Bishop must endure to continue to work here. The reality is for many reasons, not least of which is the high cost of housing, there are people and their families, who have no other choice but to sleep in their vehicles. Because there is no designated shelter in Bishop people in this situation can park their cars on any side street. This is not an ideal situation as that leaves the occupants of the cars vulnerable to harassment, potential damage to their cars and no sanitary place for toileting. The homes in front of which cars are parked overnight are not appreciative of their presence and make their concerns known. The model for the safe parking proposal has been implemented at Santa Barbara churches for at least 10 years. I have studied the Nazarene Church proposal and found it to be very thorough. There are many safeguards and regulations that support a program which assists the homeless who live in their cars to have a safe night sleep. With input from the Sheriff Department and the Bishop Unified School District and Board for additional safety measures, the school board approved the plan.

I strongly urge the Supervisors to reject the appeal before them so the use permit can be implemented on a trial basis as originally designed.

Respectfully submitted,

Heidi Dougherty

-----Original Message-----From: Gert Dougherty [mailto:gert@usamedia.tv] Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 7:26 AM To: Darcy Ellis Subject: Safe parking project

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

I would like it to be known that I strongly oppose the parking project they have planned for the parcel near the BUHS school farm. I raised an animal for FFA there in 1964. My daughter's raised FFA animals there in the 1980's. My grandchildren raised FFA animals during the last six years. There was never a safety issue there. There are times when the students are at the farm other than regular school hours. Almost a daily occurrence.

How this project can be considered is beyond me. Please think about the consequences, if just one child is "bothered" by a resident of the project. It is you that will have that to live with.

Thank you for listening.

Gary Dougherty

Sent from my iPad

-----Original Message-----From: cindy s. kitts [mailto:cindykitts422@gmail.com] Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 10:56 AM To: Darcy Ellis Subject:

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

Allowing IMACA to out a safe place next to the school farm is a huge mistake. The FFA has been in that location for years with no problems. Putting in this "space" will pit a serious need on the farm. People will be harassing the animals, which in some cases can cause death. The FFA is an important project for many high school kids. They are instrumental in keeping the farm going 365 days a year. There has to be a better place. Please reconsider Cindy Kitts

-----Original Message-----From: Krista Carucci [mailto:kristacarucci@yahoo.com] Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 11:10 AM To: Darcy Ellis Subject: IMACA's "Safe Parking Project " - STRONG OPPOSITION

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing in strong opposition to the location of the "Safe Parking Project" currently being proposed at the Nazarene Church on Line St. While I do not currently reside in Bishop, I have a lot of family and friends who frequent this farm daily, monthly and yearly for events, school FFA projects, fundraising, community events and the ability for kids to learn the true meaning of hard work and dedication to something other than themselves. The FFA farm that is currently next store to the proposed site of the "safe parking project" has been in our community for longer than I was born. It is absolutely insane that anyone in their right mind would be looking to put a homeless camp next to a school site, let alone one that needs to be accessed by kids and community members everyday, all hours of the day and without any protection from the types of activities that potentially accompany the homeless community.

While I believe in helping others and providing shelter for those that need it, this needs to be weighed with the safety and protection of hardworking community members who have worked tirelessly to grow and sustain an FFA program for decades that is vital to the local community. It's vital that this community be able to continue a program that trains and protects the farming culture and community for generations to come, without threat from the homeless. The proposed site for the "Safe Parking Project" should be out of town on the outskirts of the community, where direct exposure to homeless activities are not a threat to our children, community members, family members or children. Bishop should not be a safe haven for the homeless, it should be a safe haven for hardworking families and their children. That is the beauty of growing up in a community like Bishop, is that you are somewhat insulated from the harsh realities of life and what that brings. That is why people choose to live in Bishop and stay in Bishop. People from all over the country travel to Bishop to see the natural beauty this community is surrounded by. That should continue to remain the focus.

So, in short, not only do I oppose the site of the "Safe Parking Project" at the Nazarene Church, but I oppose it all together in the city limits and community of Bishop, California.

From: Joe Buffington [mailto:joe_buffington@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 11:56 AM
To: Darcy Ellis
Subject: safe parking program opposition

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

Hello

I am writing in opposition of the Imaca Safe parking program. This program is to be located next to the care center, hospital, middle school and also the school farm. Our community is very unique and very generous. We want to help people but when it comes to a program like this being in a location that could so easily hurt young people we all need to stand up for what it right.

If this program comes in we will see many changes to the area that will effect the safety of everyone around the area. The nazerine is sourounded by the farms cow pastures and is frequented by students and public at all times.

We must protect people who cannot protect them selves. If this passes and there are problems it is on the heads of imaca, the nazerine church and also the board of supervisors.

Please make the right decision and have imaca find a new location where the community and the homeless population can both be happy.

From: BobandSandyWinzenread [mailto:sierrawiz@suddenlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 12:06 PM
To: Darcy Ellis
Subject: Homeless Parking at the Nazarene Church

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

It has come to our attention that the Inyo County Board of Supervisors is to take action at the Board meeting scheduled for Tuesday, February 16, 2021, on the matter of allowing overnight, homeless parking in the Nazarene Church parking lot on West Line Street in Bishop. We wish for the Board to take into consideration the choice of this location. The Bishop High School farm totally surrounds the proposed site. We are greatly concerned for the safety of the Agriculture students that tend to the farm as part of their education curriculum. It is realized that the homeless situation is a serious society problem that must be compassionately considered, but do not feel that this location is desirable. It is not far fetched to consider that problems will manifest such as sanitation and drug use. When taken in consideration with the farm's use by our students, it would seem that a more suitable site could be found. Thank for you consideration of our thoughts. Sincerely, Sandy and Bob Winzenread
From: Carolyn Yount [mailto:carolynyount_4h@yahoo.com] Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 1:00 PM To: Darcy Ellis Subject: IMACA Safe Parking Project

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

Dear Inyo County Supervisors,

I am writing this letter in regards to IMACA Safe Parking Project that is planned to be developed at the Nazarene Church on West Line Street and shares a common fence line with the school farm on 3 sides of the selected property.

I am asking that you reconsider the placement of the facility in such close proximity to the Bishop High School Farm. No one is arguing that everyone who is homeless has a mental health or substance abuse problem, however a study conducted in 2019 by the California Policy Lab at UCLA found that 78% of unsheltered homeless (homeless people not living at a homeless shelter) suffered from some form of mental health concern and 75% suffered from substance abuse concern. Please read the following links for the statistics.

Are many homeless people in L.A. mentally ill? New findings back the public's perception

Health Conditions Among Unsheltered Adults in the U.S. - California Policy Lab

https://www.capolicylab.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Health-Conditions-Among-Unsheltered-Adults-in-the-U.S.pdf

The close proximity to the school farm brings the safety of the children into question. How are the fences going to be monitored to keep individuals from trespassing on the school farm during the dark hours of the night? Setting hours of operation for the school farm is not feasible when the care of livestock is involved. During baby season night checks are a necessity to insure the safety of the mother and the baby. Night checks are usually made in the late hours of the night to ensure everyone is good and no one needs any assistance in birthing. If there is a sick animal, night checks are required to monitor the animals health and make sure it is progressing and does not need immediate medical attention. It is the partial responsibility of the students to perform these night checks on schedule. The farm is a resource to teach hands on learning of all aspects of farming and livestock production. This is "life on the farm". Mother Nature doesn't care what your watch says. Would you be comfortable sending your child out into a wooded pasture with a spot light to do night checks or moving a cow with a stuck calf from that dark pasture to the barn knowing of the potential risks this "Safe Parking Project" may produce? Would

you be comfortable going out at 1 in the morning to help your child in the dark knowing what potential risks have been created?

I understand that there is some plan in place for security and litter removal but there was at the Safe Parking Site on Main Street as well and it did not stop people from leaving garbage or emptying the contents of their vehicles into the parking lot or leaving bags of litter behind the barriers that were placed around the perimeter instead of using the garbage receptacles that were provided at the front of the development. Loose litter has the potential to cause a lethal health hazard to the sheep and cattle that graze those fence lines. If they were to ingest a single plastic bag they can die from a condition called softwear poisoning in cattle and intestinal obstruction in sheep. Due to the design of the cows stomach the foreign material is not permitted to pass through the stomach compartments. This creates a prolonged and painful death where the ingested soft, inedible object interferes with the animals digestion causing it to slowly starve to death. The only treatment is euthanasia or a cost prohibitive surgery. In sheep, the foreign object can become intertwined in the intestine causing the intestine to die and subsequently the sheep to parish as well.

Is there a plan in place for individuals with dogs? Domestic dogs are known for killing multiples at a time. Who is going to pick up the financial responsibility for project livestock destroyed and maimed incurred by dogs that were not properly secured at the facility?

I want to ask how it would make you feel knowing you voted to allow this project to proceed at this location and something happened to one of the students or livestock due to your decision. If this was pitched to be put at the City Park would you entertain that location as well or would you be concerned with the safety of the unsupervised children that frequent there?

As a parent of a future Future Farmer of America that will be using the amenities of the school farm in a few years, I am asking that you reconsider the location of this project. Perhaps there is an empty city or county lot that is more suited for a development like this? Why couldn't this have been planned into the new county facility that is being built on Highway 6? This valley has already suffered one tragedy involving a minor. Do we need to put others at risk?

Sincerely,

Carolyn Yount

From: Krista Carucci [mailto:kristacarucci@yahoo.com] Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 1:34 PM To: Darcy Ellis Subject: Re: IMACA's "Safe Parking Project " - STRONG OPPOSITION

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

Please note, that I do understand that not all homeless individuals are a "threat" and are merely individuals that have fallen on hard times. I do understand this and am very sensitive to this, so please note that I do think its an amazing effort to try and get people back on their feet, but in a way that is controlled and not a direct threat to the children and community that are serving to help them. Unfortunately, there are too many variables within the homeless community that make it difficult to ensure they will remain within the confines of the church property and not pose any undo threat, whether intentional or non-intentional to children and families using the adjacent property. Thanks so much for your understanding.

On Tuesday, February 9, 2021, 01:10:13 PM CST, Krista Carucci <kristacarucci@yahoo.com> wrote:

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing in strong opposition to the location of the "Safe Parking Project" currently being proposed at t he Nazarene Church on Line St. While I do not currently reside in Bishop, I have a lot of family and friend s who frequent this farm daily, monthly and yearly for events, school FFA projects, fundraising, communit y events and the ability for kids to learn the true meaning of hard work and dedication to something other t han themselves. The FFA farm that is currently next store to the proposed site of the "safe parking project" has been in our community for longer than I was born. It is absolutely insane that anyone in their right mi nd would be looking to put a homeless camp next to a school site, let alone one that needs to be accessed by kids and community members everyday, all hours of the day and without any protection from the typ es of activities that potentially accompany the homeless community.

While I believe in helping others and providing shelter for those that need it, this needs to be weighed with the safety and protection of hardworking community members who have worked tirelessly to grow and su stain an FFA program for decades that is vital to the local community. It's vital that this community be able to continue a program that trains and protects the farming culture and community for generations to com e, without threat from the homeless. The proposed site for the "Safe Parking Project" should be out of tow n on the outskirts of the community, where direct exposure to homeless activities are not a threat to our c hildren, community members, family members or children. Bishop should not be a safe haven for the hom eless, it should be a safe haven for hardworking families and their children. That is the beauty of growing up in a community like Bishop, is that you are somewhat insulated from the harsh realities of life and what that brings. That is why people choose to live in Bishop and stay in Bishop. People from all over the country travel to Bishop to see the natural beauty this community is surrounded by. That should continue to re main the focus.

So, in short, not only do I oppose the site of the "Safe Parking Project" at the Nazarene Church, but I opp ose it all together in the city limits and community of Bishop, California.

From: Dawn Kirby [mailto:risingstarranch@gmail.com] Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 4:49 PM To: Darcy Ellis Subject: parking project

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

I oppose the parking project that is going in at the Nazarene Church because of the close proximity to the school farm. My grand children are in FFA and spend time at the school farm. It is a known fact that many of the homeless use drugs and alcohol. This community has already had one missing teen, we do not need another. By letting them stay in the parking lot does not mean they are going to stay in their cars. The school farm borders the Nazarene Church on all sides. Last year we had to put out a fire that was started by a homeless man. It could have burned down most of West Bishop. This is a bad idea.

Dawn Kirby Bishop,CA From: sean frank [mailto:seanlapg@me.com] Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 10:31 PM To: Darcy Ellis Subject: Appeal 2020-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

Hi,

I was asked to send the below Facebook comment of mine to your email address. I'm Not exactly sure who you are.

The below is my Facebook post regarding dealing with homeless shelters when I was a police officer down in Glendale. I'm also quite familiar with the homeless issues in the greater Los Angeles area and Santa Monica. The below is not very professionally written as I typed it quickly and it was for Facebook consumption but everything I talk about is accurate and I would fully expect my experiences to be repeated if Bishop continues to provide homeless resources in town. See the below.

You guys need to fight this. I used to be a cop in Glendale and similar operations were established in the patrol area that I worked for 10 years. When these camps/shelters opened up it attracted mostly drugs abusers, the mentally ill, and alcoholics. The calls for police services SKYROCKETED at the location and around it. I would find used needles and human feces at the location and all around the surrounding area. No amount of social services helped abate this disgusting behavior. The people who fell on hard times would quickly take advantage of social services offered, find jobs, and get back on their feet. The people remaining in these locations were the ones who didn't want true help but would be happy to take a free handout. The quality of life for businesses and residents in this area dropped. Property values dropped. The residents in the area were incredibly upset about how these operations harmed their quality of life. From my experience as a police officer in Glendale, CA I STRONGLY encourage you to not create this type of operation or facility ANYWHERE near the center of bishop, businesses or people's homes. I fully understand the desire to help you fellow man and I often go out of my way to help homeless people. I find they will take a handout but not a hand up. They want to stay homeless, stay drunk, and not improve their lives. The "normal people" who become homeless quickly become not-homeless. I dont have a dog in this fight down their in Bishop but I strongly strongly (with tears in my eyes) encourage you to put an operation like this OUTSIDE of your town so as to not destroy the quality of life for your hard working local families and business that may be impacted. I remember vividly that homeless from the local shelter were defecating in the bushes of a school a few blocks away. I districtly remember the worst smell I ever encountered in my life (outside of decayed dead bodies) walking through those bushes when tasked with making sure the homeless were not relieving themselves at the elementary school. I remember people overdosing on heroin on the sidewalk to the front of the "safe place" and watching them die. No I didn't do CPR on these because TB and HIV were a big problem among the homeless back then. Dont allow this location in your town.

Put it outside of town someplace where it won't destroy your taxpayers and voter's QOL (quality of life). You have been warned. Lastly - I think a friend down in Bishop recently sent me a video of a adult man openly masturbating in broad daylight in the current safe space parking lot down in Bishop. Do you want your kids, elderly parents, or family having to drive past an adult male openly masturbating himself why watching traffic go by? Do you want your kids seeing that? Do you want your local cops having to deal with that? You all see the issues LA is having with homeless madness. Do you want to encourage them coming to Bishop? Are you mad? You have been warned Bishop. Stand up and fight to protect your neighborhood and QOL.

Sean Frank Mammoth Lakes From: Marjorie Routt [mailto:marjorieroutt@gmail.com] Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2021 6:37 AM To: Darcy Ellis Subject: Safe Parking Project

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

Good Morning,

I would like to take a moment of your time to ask you to reconsider the location for IMACA's "Safe Parking Project" proposal.

The location of this proposed area is surrounded by lands that are utilized by our local schools, specifically the school farm and Bishop FFA. In addition the proposed location is within close proximity of our local schools themselves.

As a mother of three young girls who enjoy the time they spend at the farm and who dream of raising animals there, it is concerning that the safety of our children and their animals is not the first concern of our county. There is no guarantee that individuals at the "Safe Parking Project" would not wander onto the school farm or cause harm to the 88 acres that serve our students. The school farm is a treasure within our community and allows our youth an opportunity that many other community's can not offer.

I understand the need for such a project but ask you to consider another location that doesn't put our community's youth at risk. Not only is this proposed area surrounded by lands utilized by our students, it is incredibly close to our school campuses.

Respectfully, Marjorie Routt -----Original Message-----From: Terry [mailto:terrycimino1111@gmail.com] Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2021 7:43 AM To: Darcy Ellis Subject: Homeless location objection

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

To Inyo County Supervisors, and all concerned,

I'm writing to let you know of my objection to having the homeless encampment/parking being at the church parking lot located next to the school farm. I don't believe it to be safe for the kids or animals. I also do not want it kept at the old Kmart/Taco Bell parking lot. My reasons for that are all for safety. My sister lives in the senior mobile park owned by the city. Her home is right behind the alley between that building and the new building owned by Mr. Marchio.

I have spoken and met with Larry Emerson working with HHS about this. There are people that continue to go down that alley, day and night. One of them in particular goes down there to fight, yell, and argue with himself, he's loud and is very unnerving to my sister especially at night. There has been several men go there to her fence to urinate. She's had to chase them off. She and her granddaughter (who she watches a few days a week) do NOT need to see that. They don't seem to care who sees their body parts as some put it away and some don't. They go to have sex in that alley as well as do their drugs. After the recent snow my sister has stated she found footsteps from over the fence to her shed. So I don't know how often they've been in her yard at night but we now know they ARE going in her yard looking to see what they can get. Why else would they be in her yard? They have no other business being there.

When I spoke to Mr. Emerson about this, he did send an email stating he'd spoken to Jeff Thompson and Meaghan McCammon about this however nothing has been done. Mr. Marchio, told me he'd offered to put up a fence to close off that alley at his expense and no one would get back to him. I truly worry about my sisters safety and with all she has been subjected to I don't think it needs to be near kids and animals at the school farm as well.

My solution would be to let them park in the new parking lot located on Highway 6 that will house the county employees. If they're only supposed to park at night, county employees would be gone for the day.

Thank you for giving my letter consideration.

Terry Cimino

From: Jaime [mailto:partsgirlj25@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2021 8:54 AM
To: Darcy Ellis
Subject: Objection to the Imaca Safe Parking Project

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to object to the IMACA Safe Parking Project. I am a very concerned parent of a FFA Student. My son is a junior at BUHS and raises numerous livestock species for our annual Livestock Show. Usually he is by himself when he is at the farm, as he gets there at the break of dawn, and is there late into the evening working with his animals after dark. I have seen the people that park at the old Kmart parking lot, out in the desert, and along HWY 6. For this to be allowed to happen so close to a school facility like the Farm is very concerning to me. I have seen comments like "the homeless are harmless" or that "they have mental issues" or they are just addicted to drugs? Do you think any normal person wants their kids to be around people with that type of mentality, especially in the early morning and late evenings? There are plenty of empty buildings around town, along with empty parking lots. We have other options in this town for this project, and not so close to a school facility. The farm promotes student learning and is where students go and feel safe. If this was approved this would be one more place the kids would not be able to go freely and feel safe. Please do the responsible thing and deny this request.

Thank you.

Jaime Holland Bishop High School Parent. From: David Cooke [mailto:David@cookefurniture.com] Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2021 9:20 AM To: Darcy Ellis Subject: Appeal No. 2020-01

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

To whom it may concern

Subject: Opposition to homeless safe parking lot.

Having grown up in Bishop it is disheartening to see the growing number of homeless people "camping" out where we like to walk our dogs or go fishing. Equally disheartening is to see the amount of trash left behind by the homeless and tourists who visit our beautiful area.

I have seen first hand what happens to an area when a homeless refuge is established. My vendor's business in Whittier at 7623 Baldwin place had a homeless refuge established and now the area is so bad they have break ins and vandalism on a constant basis, with no help from law enforcement they are now looking to move the business out of whittier.

Also the proposed location is very close to schools and children walking to the FFA farm. A homeless camp is not safe to have near our children.

The Inyo Register reported the following.

"The Eastern Sierra Continuum of Care, a coalition of human service providers, proposed to operate a Safe Parking Lot with up to 15 vehicles on the Bishop Church of the Nazarene property. Persons experiencing homelessness and living out of vehicles would be permitted to park overnight in spaces along the church's west property line, only between 7 p.m. and 7 a.m. daily, and must leave the premises in the morning."

It is very alarming that the people pushing this think that homeless people will be so timely they will obey a 7 p.m. to 7 a.m. schedule, it is difficult for normal people to move their car by 7 a.m.

I think a better place would be out next to Browns recycling and a work program can be established to have them sort recyclables and be rewarded for picking up trash. To lift them out of poverty you must give them opportunity and a purpose not a free parking lot.

Sincerely

David Cooke 80 Running Iron Rd Bishop CA 93514 760-872-2345 From: Marilyn Carter [mailto:mcarter93514@yahoo.com] Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2021 5:56 PM To: Darcy Ellis Subject: Concerning FFA Farm and "Safe Parking Project" Objection

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

Inyo Board of Supervisors:

As a past teacher, parent, and grandparent of five grandchildren, who have and are still participating in 4-H and the FFA program, I am invested in this program and concerned for our children. Especially at this time in our society with kids being out of in class learning and having little social interaction with peers, we as a town and community owe protection to the future of our children. Many of the kids of Bishop and Bishop High School see the FFA farm as a part of their lives. We are unique in California to have an on campus farm! Most communities just have an FFA Chapter and all animals are raised at home with the assistance from instructor. Many of our students would not have the opportunity to raise animals or participate in the program if not for the farm. This program is an essential part of Bishop High School where the students have day to day hands on involvement. The students love to hang out at the farm and work with Mr. Buffington, learning about animals, plants, and our precious environment. Classes in these areas are a part of the curriculum.

I certainly believe we need a place for the homeless people, but not around young people "twenty four seven." Our High School Students are still young and cannot deal with these issues. Yes they need to be taught, but not at the expense of their safety! So many homeless are mentally challenged and also use drugs, being a big issue for our town. Do we want them using drugs or even selling marijuana or worse drugs to our kids. I worked in a situation where many students, not all but several were users and I certainly do not want that for my grandchildren or your children. Our children have enough to deal with without being challenged by people over the fence, who are not invested in our community or our children. Why should they be, since they are needy also!

I am an advocate for the FFA program and could go on forever about the opportunities provided for students, who choose this program for a future. My granddaughter is a junior at Davis, majoring in Foods and Nutrition, and Environmental Studies. There are many opportunities for various jobs in the field of Agriculture. I personally have learned about the endless careers by being an advocate for my grandchildren. It is up to our community to keep our children safe. What is wrong with the old KMART parking lot, where people are aware of the needs of the homeless and they are not hiding in the bushes!

Marilyn M. Carter former BUHS teacher From: Maria Kemp [mailto:mkemp826@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2021 8:22 PM
To: Darcy Ellis
Cc: Maria Kemp
Subject: Safe Parking

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

Please accept this letter of objection to the proposed safe parking location at the Nazarene Church. We commend the Nazarene Church for their willingness to serve our local homeless community. However, as parents of school-aged children, we believe the risks of the location outweigh the benefits. The Nazarene Church is surrounded by high school FFA property on 3 sides and has the middle school across the street on the fourth side. We have numerous other parking lots in town, none of which are surrounded by local schools. One possibility may be the soon-to-be vacant county building adjacent to the IMAH thrift store. That space has a parking lot that will be empty as soon as the county employees make their move to the new building. We don't claim to have solved a complicated and multi faceted problem, but we do believe there are enough risks involved in the homeless community being housed in close proximity to schools, that it is imperative that a different location be used. Thank you.

Matt and Maria Kemp Bishop -----Original Message-----From: LM W [mailto:7sunbums@gmail.com] Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2021 9:18 PM To: Darcy Ellis Subject: Letter of objection

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

To who it may concern:

I object to the location of IMACA's "Safe Parking Project" at the Nazarene Church. My concern is public safety, and the close proximity to the school farm. My daughter has always felt safe at the school farm and I have never had any safety concerns of her being there. I would be deeply concerned for my daughters safety if there was homeless housing directly adjacent to the school farm. The pandemic has been very difficult for my daughter, she has been very fortunate to have the farm during this time. Please do not make this safe place somewhere that I am not comfortable for her to be alone. The school farm offers so much for the children in Bishop, especially in the time of this pandemic. Please consider other locations for the "Safe Parking Project".

Lisa Wray 674 Snow Circle Bishop, CA 93514 323 434 0999 -----Original Message-----From: Erin Gladding [mailto:eringladding@hotmail.com] Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2021 6:29 AM To: Darcy Ellis Subject: Safe Parking Project

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

Good morning.

I'm writing you today in order voice my concerns for the Safe Parking Project proposed at the Nazarene Church.

It seems unsafe to have this project in such close proximity to our schools. Not only does the property join the High School FFA farm but also have concerns about the location being so close to Home Street school.

The route in which the occupants could take in coming and going via walking seem unfavorable to the schools.

I've also driven by the current temporary Safe Parking location on Main Street in the mornings and on a number occasions have seen people sleeping outside of the designated parking lot. Individuals and tents have spilled over onto the sidewalk on Main Street.

This is such a shame to see and would be even more devastating to our community in the location proposed at the Nazarene Church. Thank you for taking the time to hear my concerns and I hope you will consider a safer location for this project.

Erin Gladding

February 11,2021

To whom it may concern,

It is commendable albeit overdue that Inyo County has finally acknowledged there is an extensive homeless population. Historically we have been very welcoming to those who choose to live a nomadic life, and very generous to those who require health, housing and benefits.

The current plan to encourage further drain on county resources by providing yet another homeless encampment is ill conceived, unnecessary and an invitation to those who would take advantage of our well known generosity. Numerous empty, unused properties already exist that do not impact children and their ability to safely attend classes.

Congregations the world over are called by the Creator to minister to their fellow man. In this case common sense should also prevail. Allowing anonymous persons to hang out where they have access to school children is irresponsible. Desire to do good works should not negatively impact others.

Please consider an alternative available space, or upgrade a space already impacted by the homeless. Those of us who are adversely affected by continuous trespassing on our streets, lawns and pastures would be very grateful, and our young students will be able to continue safe learning directed toward becoming productive members of society.

Karen L. Tibbett 193 Johnston Dr. Bishop, CA 93514

From: phillip powell [mailto:mr.powellonly@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2021 2:28 PM
To: Darcy Ellis
Subject: Support for safe parking shelter program

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Inyo County Network. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize and trust the sender. Contact Information Services with questions or concerns.

Hello My name is Phillip and I just wanted to show some support for the safe parking shelter program that IMACA has provided to those in need.

IMACAs safe parking shelter is a much needed program in our community. Through this and many of the other IMACA programs, the spread of Covid-19 amongst those experiencing homelessness has been successfully maintained. This program does not simply provide a place for people to be just because they are experiencing homelessness. Safe parking shelter is a safe, structured and stable environment that those experiencing homelessness can go to gain stability in their lives. Some of the clients who participate in the safe parking program work, suffer from serious medical issues and even attend school. As these individuals and families work to lift themselves up out of a difficult situation, many are making a nightly choice between paying for shelter or purchasing food or gas.

Also creating further barriers to stability are the isolation and lack of social/community support that so often accompany homelessness. Not everyone who participates in the program is on drugs, suffering from mental illness or a criminal. Some of the participants are just people who are in need of a helping hand. I see community opinion's that suggest the program is not safe for the high school children to be so close or around but many nights I have passed the safe parking lot or while waiting in line for Taco Bell have witnessed some of the local high school children bully, harass and disturb those who participate in the program. Something else that concerns me is people willing to allow their children unsupervised all throughout Bishop, being surrounded by registered sex offenders but have a problem with homeless being placed in one designated area, that will be monitored by security cameras, 24 hours a day. Bishop is full of registered sex offenders and I don't see any one in the community rallying up to fight against that!

The community needs to know that the safe parking program will provide a welcoming environment with meaningful resources and tools, and dignified support to help individuals and families stabilize and transition back into permanent housing. With services focused on basic needs assistance, employment, job readiness, financial education, and housing, IMACAs goal is to create a pathway out of homelessness while being a support to people where they are in their lives now. We can't keep closing our eyes to homelessness and shaming those for being less fortunate or for not living up to society's expectations. While some people feel the safe parking shelter is an eye sore to the community, to those in need the program helps to save lives and improve overall wellbeing.

Best Wishes,

Phillip P.